

Review

# Graphene in gas separation membranes—State-of-the-art and potential spoors

Ayesha Kausar<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Ishaq Ahmad<sup>1,2</sup><sup>1</sup>NPU-NCP Joint International Research Center on Advanced Nanomaterials and Defects Engineering, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China<sup>2</sup>UNESCO-UNISA Africa Chair in Nanosciences/Nanotechnology, iThemba LABS, Somerset West 7129, South Africa\* **Corresponding author:** Ayesha Kausar, [dr.ayeshakausar@yahoo.com](mailto:dr.ayeshakausar@yahoo.com)

## CITATION

Kausar A, Ahmad I. Graphene in gas separation membranes—State-of-the-art and potential spoors.

Characterization and Application of Nanomaterials. 2024; 7(1): 4581.

<https://doi.org/10.24294/can.v7i1.4581>

1

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: 7 February 2024

Accepted: 27 February 2024

Available online: 9 April 2024

## COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 by author(s).

Characterization and Application of Nanomaterials is published by

EnPress Publisher, LLC. This work is

licensed under the Creative

Commons Attribution (CC BY)

license.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

by/4.0/

**Abstract:** Graphene and derivatives have been frequently used to form advanced nanocomposites. A very significant utilization of polymer/graphene nanocomposite was found in the membrane sector. The up-to-date overview essentially highpoints the design, features, and advanced functions of graphene nanocomposite membranes towards gas separations. In this concern, pristine thin layer graphene as well as graphene nanocomposites with poly(dimethyl siloxane), polysulfone, poly(methyl methacrylate), polyimide, and other matrices have been perceived as gas separation membranes. In these membranes, the graphene dispersion and interaction with polymers through applying the appropriate processing techniques have led to optimum porosity, pore sizes, and pore distribution, i.e., suitable for selective separation of gaseous molecules. Consequently, the graphene derived nanocomposites brought about numerous revolutions in high performance gas separation membranes. The structural diversity of polymer/graphene nanocomposites has facilitated the membrane selective separation, permeation, and barrier processes especially in the separation of desired gaseous molecules, ions, and contaminants. Future research on the innovative nanoporous graphene-based membrane can overcome design/performance related challenging factors for technical utilizations.

**Keywords:** graphene; polymer; nanocomposite; membrane; gas separation; selectivity; permeation

## 1. Introduction

For environmental remediation purposes, membrane technology has been widely adopted especially for the separation of desired or toxic gaseous species [1]. Among membranes, polymeric membranes have durability, long functioning, and efficient performance so achieved significance for the separation applications. The graphene filled nanocomposite membranes possess superior characteristics for technical fields such as gaseous, water molecules, and chemical separations [2]. The subsequent membranes were formed for large scale gas separation, water decontamination, fuel cells, and several other applied fields [3,4]. Primarily, the graphene derived nanocomposite membranes have been developed with torturing pathways in the matrices to promote gaseous, water molecules, ions, or diffusion of other species [5]. Consistent graphene dispersion in the membranes was found to improve the targeted impurities and toxic molecules from the medium of interest [6,7]. The membrane processes studied for these nanocomposites include the ultrafiltration, microfiltration, nanofiltration, and reverse osmosis [8–10]. The resultant membranes were competently applied for eliminating the pollutants [11]. The graphene reinforced

membranes revealed superior structural benefits than the pristine polymer designs due to facile manufacturing and performance advantages [12]. Research developments have reported technical growth of these membranes for numerous sectors [13].

In the polymeric membranes, graphene, graphene oxide, and other modified graphene forms have been applied [14]. It is worth mentioning that the thin layer neat graphene nanosheet has been designed for selective permeation of gaseous molecules [15]. In polymeric matrices, graphene has revealed fine reinforcement effects relative to other carbon nanofillers (fullerene, carbon nanotube, etc.) [16]. Including multilayered graphene or graphene oxide in the polymer membranes have been known to form two dimensional nanochannels for the selective permeation and barrier effects of gaseous molecules [17]. Efficient and facile processing technologies have been applied such as solution casting, doctors blading technique, in situ method, phase inversion, infiltration, lift-off/float-on, etching, etc. have been applied [18,19]. Mostly, thermoplastic matrices have been examined to form the graphene derived nanocomposites and membranes for gas separation [20–22]. The pore sizes and graphene dispersion patterns directly affect the gaseous molecular permeability and diffusivity features of these membranes [23–25]. Consequently, graphene scattering and layering in matrices have been known to develop percolation trails for the diffusing gaseous molecule [26]. However, fine graphene dispersion, optimization of pore sizes and processing conditions have yet not been attained towards high performance commercial scale gas separation membranes. Applications of gas separation membranes for gaseous pollutants and desired molecules were found for the fields of fuel cells, gas sensors, chemical industries, etc. [27,28].

This review basically emphases on the design, development, and aspects of the graphene derived nanocomposite membranes for selective gas permeation application. Fine graphene dispersion, interface effects, and optimum pore formation in the membranes have broadened the potential of the gas partition membranes. This overview is groundbreaking to portray the methodical progressions of graphene resultant membranes for the gas separation. For the separation of gaseous species from mixtures, various polymer matrices have been filled with the graphene nanofillers to form the selectively permeable membranes. To the best of knowledge, this state-of-the-art review is innovative to depict the advancements in gas separation membranes including the membrane designs, physical properties, and effect of graphene inclusion on the gas transportation features. This manuscript has been found indispensable for the future advances of gas separation graphene nanocomposite membranes, and so can be helpful guide for the interested field researchers.


## **2. Graphene**

Two dimensional nanosheet like carbon nanostructure is referred as graphene [29]. It is constituted of  $sp^2$  hybridized carbon atoms, discovered in 2004 [30]. Graphene was synthesized using numerous strategies like mechanical or liquid exfoliation of graphite, chemical vapor deposition, laser technique, plasma practice, and chemical synthesis methods [31–33]. Graphene is a thin layered transparent nanostructure [34]. Graphene has high electron mobilization of around  $200,000 \text{ cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  and high thermal conductivity of  $3000\text{--}5000 \text{ W/mK}$  [35]. Excellent


mechanical properties of graphene include high Young's modulus of 1 TPa and strength of >200 times than steel [36]. Graphene nanosheet has wrinkling effect due to the van der Waals interactions [37]. To enhance the dispersion effects and final features, graphene nanosheet has been functionalized to introduce various surface functionalities such as hydroxyl, carbonyl, carboxylic, epoxide, etc. [38]. Properties of graphene has been synergistically combined with other nanomaterial to form the nanocomposites. Graphene based nanocomposites revealed numerous superior electrical, mechanical, thermal, and physical features [39–41]. Consequently, the graphene derived nanomaterials have been applied in wide ranging technological structure and applications like electronics, sensors, actuators, energy devices including fuel cells, batteries, membranes, engineering structure, and biomedical advanced devices [42].

### 3. Graphene and nanocomposites in gas separation

Graphene based nanoporous membranes have been applied for the gas molecule transport [43–45]. The ultrathin graphene nanosheets have been designed for gas separation [46–48]. Lee et al. [49] studied the selective separation of carbon dioxide  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules from  $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2$ , and  $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$  gas mixtures. Graphene nanosheets have affinity for  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules and pores in graphene nanosheet were suitable for the passage [50–52]. Among the gas mixtures, high gas flux was observed for  $\text{CO}_2/\text{O}_2$  as 0.43 [53]. Jiang et al. [54] used first principles density functional theory to examine the permeability and selectivity of nanoporous graphene nanosheets. **Figure 1** shows graphene nanosheet with hydrogen passivated pore. The nanopore width was 0.02 Å according to electron density isosurface Isovalue. The snapshot of gas molecules passage is given in **Figure 2**.




**Figure 1.** (a) An all-hydrogen passivated pore in graphene; (b) pore electron-density isosurface Isovalue is at 0.02  $e/\text{Å}^3$  [54]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.



**Figure 2.** Molecular dynamics simulations of H<sub>2</sub> diffusing through nitrogen functional pore (600 K) [54]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.

According to geometry optimization studies, H<sub>2</sub> molecules entered through pores at 244 fs and molecules stayed there for 180 fs. Then molecules diffuse out through pores at 424 fs. High H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> permselectivity was observed, as per first principles molecular dynamics simulation studies on porous graphene. It has been observed that hydrogen atoms on graphene nanopores decreased the pore width to 2.5 Å, while the pore length remained same as 3.8 Å (**Figure 3**). Consequently, the interaction energy of incoming molecules to graphene nanosheet and diffusion barriers affected molecular adsorption or transportation. The resulting van der Waals density functional barrier for H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> was observed as 0.22 and 1.60 eV, respectively.




**Figure 3.** Interaction energy between H<sub>2</sub> vs. adsorption height. Inset: adsorption height and orientation of H<sub>2</sub>. Red squares/solid lines = vdW-DF; black circle/dashed lines = PBE [54]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.

The graphene membranes having porous nanostructures were designed and studied aiming the gas separation [55–57]. Graphene and graphene oxide membranes were designed having fine pores for the molecular sieving purposes. Koenig and colleagues [58] deposited the single layered graphene on silicon oxide substrate. Graphene layer was studied for the permeation of gas molecules. Etching process was applied for the separation of membrane from the substrate. Pristine graphene nanosheet is not permeable to gas molecules, however, the etched graphene membrane had porous nanostructure for gas molecule passage. Consequently, the etched


graphene nanosheet was permeable to H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas molecules [59–61]. Li and researchers [62] designed the ultrathin porous graphene oxide membranes with pore size of ~0.34 nm to 1 nm. The membranes were studied for permeability and selectivity properties of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and gases. The H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity of 3400 and H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> of 900 were observed [63,64]. Smaller gas molecules revealed facile permeation, relative to the larger molecules through the porous membranes [65–67].

For gas separation applications, poly(methyl methacrylate) was applied for effective membranes thermoplastic material [68–70]. For the formation of polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes, facile methods have been used [71,72]. Most commonly, solution cast procedure has been applied [73]. In this method, polymer is dissolved in an appropriate solvent. The nanoparticles of interest are also dispersed in a solvent. Afterwards, both the dispersions are mixed to yield a consistent phase. The mixed solution is spread on an open surface to evaporate the solvent. Phase inversion method has also been used for the fabrication of graphene filled nanocomposite membranes [74]. In this procedure, polymer is transformed from the liquid to solid phase. During this process, like controlled solution evaporation and immersion precipitation are involved. Additionally, interfacial polymerization has been used for the formation of graphene nanocomposite membranes [75]. Interfacial polymerization consists of various steps such as oil phase formation, emulsification, and finally solvent evaporation. All the membrane formation methods have capabilities for fine dispersion of graphene nanofiller in the polymeric matrices.

Baldanza and co-workers [76] developed the graphene filled poly(methyl methacrylate) nanocomposite membranes by applying the wet deposition process. Here, the 'lift-off/float-on' method was used for obtaining membrane [77–79]. For the preparation of fine graphene nanosheets, the chemical vapor deposition practice was used. **Figure 4** illustrates the lift-off/float-on procedure for the membrane formation. The poly(methyl methacrylate)/graphene nanocomposite membrane with 0.06% loading had thickness of 550 nm. According to the scanning electron microscopy images, graphene nanosheets were found to be sequentially layered in the polymeric membranes. According to permeability coefficients of humidified or pure O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> measured for varying R.H. levels for poly(methyl methacrylate) and poly(methyl methacrylate)/graphene, the resultant membranes own lower permeability coefficient of  $1.30 \times 10^{-17}$  and  $0.21 \times 10^{-17} \text{ mol}\cdot\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{Pa}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively, for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, than the unfilled polymeric membrane (**Figure 5** and **Table 1**). The reduced permeability values of gases were attributed to the formation of better dispersion and development of more twisted gas diffusion paths for the gas molecule permeation [80]. Nevertheless, few studies have reported the poly(methyl methacrylate) and graphene nanocomposite based gas separation systems and more concentrated future research efforts may lead to the formation of the high performance selective gas permeation membranes.



**Figure 4.** (a) ‘Lift-off/float-on’ and wet depositions adopted to produce poly(methyl methacrylate); (b) thickness of single nanocomposite layer on Si wafer (inset: cross-section AFM); (c) SEM cross-section plane of nanolaminate [76]. Reproduced with permission from MDPI.



**Figure 5.** Gas permeability coefficients (25 °C), PMMA (blue bars) and Gr-PMMA (red bars): (a) CO<sub>2</sub> and humidified CO<sub>2</sub>; (b) O<sub>2</sub> and humidified O<sub>2</sub> [76]. Reproduced with permission from MDPI.


**Table 1.** Permeability coefficients of CO<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> through PMMA nanocomposite [76]. Reproduced with permission from MDPI.

Nanolaminate/Permeating Gas	P [mol·m <sup>-2</sup> ·Pa <sup>-1</sup> ·s <sup>-1</sup> ]	P [Barrer]
PMMA/CO <sub>2</sub>	21.9 (± 0.8) × 10 <sup>-17</sup>	6.5 (± 0.2) × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
Gr-PMMA/CO <sub>2</sub>	1.30 (± 0.1) × 10 <sup>-17</sup>	0.39 (± 0.03) × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
PMMA/O <sub>2</sub>	4.79 (± 0.01) × 10 <sup>-17</sup>	1.434 (± 0.003) × 10 <sup>-1</sup>
Gr-PMMA/O <sub>2</sub>	0.21 (± 0.01) × 10 <sup>-17</sup>	0.063 (± 0.003) × 10 <sup>-1</sup>

Poly(dimethyl siloxane) was investigated towards essential material aiming membrane formation [81–83]. The separation processes of carbon dioxide and other toxic gases have been studied using the poly(dimethyl siloxane) membranes. Here, membrane thickness has been found to affect the gas permeability and separation properties [84]. To enhance the membrane features, nanofillers have been reinforced in the matrices for fine performance. Ha and co-workers [85] reported on the graphene oxide filled poly(dimethyl siloxane) membranes through solution processing. The kinetic diameters of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> gases (in the range of 0.16 to 0.50 Å) affected the selectivity and permeability performance according to membrane porosity and microstructures. The membrane permeability was observed up to 99.9% by including 8 wt.% graphene oxide. Moreover, selectivity properties of the CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> have been observed. The gas transportation features were found to be reliant on the fine nanoparticle scattering in the polymer matrix. The




microstructure and matrix-nanofiller interactions were also observed to be linked with the nanofiller alignment and scattering in the matrix for formation of gas transportation pathways. Koolivand and researchers [86] fabricated the poly(dimethyl siloxane) and graphene oxide derived membranes. Facile Hummer's method was used to form graphene oxide [87]. For these membranes, the combination of solution and ultrasonication processing methods have been applied. Adding 5 wt.% graphene oxide loading, CO<sub>2</sub> permeability and CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity of 29% and 112%, respectively, were observed. Berean et al. [88] opted solution processing and ultrasonication for the formation of poly(dimethyl siloxane)/graphene nanocomposite membranes. Due to the interactions, graphene dispersion and matrix-nanofiller interactions have been perceived. **Figure 6** shows change in the permeability behavior of the membranes with graphene loading. The membrane permeability was about 60% enhanced with the nanofiller loading for CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and CH<sub>4</sub> gases. Among these, CO<sub>2</sub> had greater permeation with the 0.5 wt.% graphene than other gases showing permeation at 0.25 wt.%. The greater permeation of CO<sub>2</sub> at higher nanofiller contents was observed due to its fine affinity towards graphene nanosheets.



**Figure 6.** (a) change in permeability for gas species with graphene concentration; (b) experimental data, Maxwell model & Nielson model for CO<sub>2</sub> permeation (0.25 wt.% nanocomposite) [88]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.

**Figure 7** depicts the formation and behavior of diffusion pathways in poly(dimethyl siloxane) and graphene reinforced poly(dimethyl siloxane) nanocomposites. Aligned graphene nanosheets developed layered nanostructure with voids in the matrix. Formation of continuous gas diffusion trails were responsible for the passivation of the gaseous molecules through the matrix. Gas permeability of N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and CH<sub>4</sub> was enhanced up to 60% with just 0.2 wt.% graphene contents. Consequently, neat poly(dimethyl siloxane) had CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity of 3.6, which was increased up to 4.2 in the poly(dimethyl siloxane)/graphene membrane.




**Figure 7.** Diffusion paths for PDMS and PDMS/graphene nanocomposites, path length =  $l$ ; diffusion path ( $D_\alpha$ ) = red; diffusion path through interfacial void ( $D_\beta$ ) = green [88]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.

Polysulfone has been used as a popular matrix for membrane formation and also for the gas separation application [89–91]. In this context, the mixed matrix membranes of polysulfone have been reported [92–94]. The resulting polysulfone membranes have been observed functional for toxic gas separation such as carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and sulfur oxides [95]. Zahri and co-workers [96] reported on polysulfone and graphene oxide based membranes through dry wet phase inversion process. The polysulfone based nanocomposite membranes revealed high CO<sub>2</sub> permeability of 64–87 GPU. In addition, with the nanofiller loading CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity was increased in the range of 19–25. The fine selectivity of the nanocomposite membranes was credited to the dispersal patterns in the polymer matrix [97]. Sainath and co-worker [98] designed the mixed matrix gas separation polysulfone/graphene oxide nanocomposite membrane for gas separation using the solution method. As compared to pristine polysulfone membrane, graphene oxide filled system revealed >3 times higher selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>. Fine selectivity was attributed to the homogeneous dispersion and formation of efficient diffusion trails in the matrix. Zhu and co-workers [99] opted the vacuum infiltration process to form graphene oxide filled nanocomposite membranes of the phosphotungstic acid grafted polyphenylsulfone-pyridine matrix. Transmission electron micrographs of polyphenylsulfone-pyridine, phosphotungstic acid, and graphene oxide based system is given in **Figure 8**. The nanofiller was observed to be homogeneously dispersed in the polymer matrix.

With the increasing nanofiller concentrations, fine nanoparticle distribution was observed in the matrix. In addition, with increasing nanoparticle loading pore diameter as well as porosity have been found to enhance. It has been observed that the grafting of polymer matrix was also effective to disperse the nanofiller particles in the matrix. Henceforth, polysulfone and derivative based membranes with graphene or graphene oxide have been developed with the superior morphology, gas separation, selectivity, and permeation performance.

Some membrane systems based on polyimide and graphene have been reported for efficient gas separation [100–102]. An attempt by Melicchio and colleagues [103] used knife casting method to form graphene oxide filled Matrimid<sup>®</sup> 5218 polyimide derived membranes. The membranes were studied for the permeability and selectivity of H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gases. H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> selectivity was found as 3.5, while the permeability of H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gases was 8–28 Barrer. The nanocomposite membrane permeability and selectivity were found to rely on the nanofiller contents and dispersion in the polymer matrix.







**Figure 8.** Transmission electron microscopy images with different pyridine moiety proportions in PPSU-Pyx (polyphenylsulfone-pyridine) (a) 20%; (b) 60%; (c) 100%; (d) porosity and diameter of membranes [99]. Reproduced with permission from ACS.

For membrane application, poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) matrix material has been found useful [104–106]. Alberto and co-workers [107] formed graphene reinforced poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) for CO<sub>2</sub> separation. Accordingly, the CO<sub>2</sub> permeability of poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne)/graphene nanocomposite membrane was  $3.5 \times 10^3$  Barrer, i.e., 39% lower than the neat polymer membrane. For poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne), graphene oxide has been rarely used as a nanofiller. Olivieri et al. [108] designed the graphene oxide filled poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) using solvent technique with chloroform. For the membranes, the CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> gases had diffusion coefficient of 25%, 14%, and 9%, respectively. The membrane systems based on poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) have also been researched [109–111]. Rea and colleagues [112] developed 0.3–15 wt.% graphene filled poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) membranes. According to scanning electron micrographs, the matrix-nanofiller interfaces have been observed with the nanofiller flakes dispersed in the membrane matrix (Figure 9). The membrane permeability was studied at 35 and 65 °C (Figure 10).

For He, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>, the membrane permeability was found to slightly decrease with the nanofiller loading levels. The decreasing permeability was attributed to the increased nanofiller dispersion and membrane selectivity towards these gases. The dispersed graphene nanoplatelets were supposed to develop percolation pathways for the diffusion of gaseous species. Table 2 shows the permeability behavior of the membranes with different nanofiller loadings at 35 and 65 °C. In this way, efficient graphene filled nanocomposite membranes have been designed for the selective gas separation or permeation properties [113–115]. The selective permeability of the membranes was found to depend upon nanofiller scattering plus alignment in the matrix [116,117]. Future studies on advanced graphene nanocomposite membranes may lead to better gas molecule separation from mixture of gases.



**Figure 9.** SEM images of membranes. (A) PPO/0.3 wt.% graphene; (B) PPO/1 wt.% graphene [112]. SEM=scanning electron microscopy; PPO = poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne). Reproduced with permission from MDPI.



**Figure 10.** Gas permeability. (a) 35 °C; (b) 65 °C; and after graphene addition (as a function of graphene loading in poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) matrix [112]. Reproduced with permission from MDPI.

**Table 2.** Permeability of the various gases in PPO and nanocomposite membranes [112]. PPO = poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne). Reproduced with permission from MDPI.

Permeability at 35 °C, Barrer	PPO	PPO/0.3 wt.% graphene	PPO/1 wt.% graphene	PPO/5 wt.% graphene	PPO/15 wt.% graphene
He	78 ± 3.8	86 ± 4.2	86 ± 4.1	68 ± 2.0	38 ± 3.2
N <sub>2</sub>	3.0 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2
CO <sub>2</sub>	61 ± 2.0	62 ± 2.9	60 ± 2.9	51 ± 1.5	27 ± 2.3
Permeability at 65 °C, Barrer	PPO	PPO/0.3 wt.% graphene	PPO/1 wt.% graphene	PPO/5 wt.% graphene	PPO/15 wt.% graphene
He	114 ± 5.0	-	116 ± 6.7	81.0 ± 2.4	51.6 ± 4.4
N <sub>2</sub>	5.00 ± 0.4	-	4.64 ± 0.3	3.31 ± 0.1	-
CO <sub>2</sub>	69.3 ± 2	-	61.9 ± 3.6	42.3 ± 1.2	27.6 ± 2.4

#### 4. Prospects, challenges and gaps

In the formation and application of graphene nanocomposites as high performance membrane materials, numerous challenges have been faced during the field research efforts. Generally speaking, not much efforts have been observed for various categories of polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes such as poly(dimethyl sulfoxide)/graphene, polysulfone/graphene, poly(methyl methacrylate)/graphene, polyimide/graphene, polyamide/graphene, etc. The experimental designs of the polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes have been reported using the matrices, graphene nanofillers, processing techniques (solution, phase inversion, infiltration, etc.), and related preparation parameters.

**Table 3** outline the experimental design of the gas separation nanocomposite membranes used in important studies. Adding graphene in polymer matrices affected the membrane morphology, physical properties, permeability, selectivity, and separation properties. Polysulfone based nanocomposite membranes have efficient CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity of 45%–74%. For gas separation membranes of poly(dimethyl siloxane) nanocomposites, N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and other gases permeability was observed >99.9%. Similarly, higher selectivity values for gases like CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> have been observed. Hence, there is huge scope for fabrication and investigations on graphene based air/water purification membranes.

Development and investigation of more designs definitely can lead to better analysis of optimum fabrication, selectivity, permeation, and gas separation performance along with better understandings on structure-property relationship and mechanism of innovative graphene membranes [118]. Major challenges hindering the gas separation membrane performance have been observed as graphene dispersion depending upon nanofiller contents, functionality, matrix nanofiller interactions, and interface formation [7]. Formation of interweaving pathways due to graphene dispersion in the polymer matrices has directly influenced the gas transportation properties. Controlled pore sizes, shape, and distribution in the matrices have also been found indispensable to promote the gas membrane performance. Important solutions to the nanofiller dispersion have been proposed depending upon the graphene modification as well as by applying appropriate processing techniques and steps with the optimized conditions [119]. Further challenges have been observed regarding the fabrication of graphene based membranes on large scale and subsequent commercialization. Here, the appropriate fabrication techniques and processing parameters need to be implemented for the massive production of graphene nanocomposite membranes. In this case, development of nanofibrous polymer/graphene membranes must be developed with high surface area and well dispersed nanoparticle for separating the desired gaseous molecules [120]. By controlling and overcoming all the above-mentioned graphene and graphene nanocomposite membrane design and processing challenges leading to the fine microstructure, robustness, permeability, selectivity, and barrier characteristics [121]. Briefly speaking, further research on the mentioned line may lead to the proposition of high-tech future gas transportation membranes for commercial purposes.

**Table 3.** Significant features of polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes for gas separation.

Polymer	Nanofiller	Fabrication way	Physicochemical properties	Membrane properties	References
Polymer	Graphene or graphene oxide	Solution casting	Ion-molecule interaction; 1.8–20 nm thickness	H <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> selectivity 900; H <sub>2</sub> /CO <sub>2</sub> selectivity 3400; pore size 0.34 nm	[62]
Poly(dimethyl siloxane)	Graphene oxide	Solution casting	Matrix-nanofiller interactions; interaction between graphene oxide and polymer	8 wt.% nanofiller; H <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> permeability 99.9%	[85]
Poly(dimethyl siloxane)	Graphene oxide	Solution/ultrasonication methods; tetrahydrofuran solvent	Interfacial interactions between functional groups of graphene oxide and polymer; density 1.09–1.12; Thickness 1.9–2.8 nm	5 wt.% nanofiller; CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> selectivity 112%; CO <sub>2</sub> permeability 29%.	[86]

**Table 3.** (Continued).

Polymer	Nanofiller	Fabrication way	Physicochemical properties	Membrane properties	References
Poly(dimethyl siloxane)	Graphene	Solution casting; p-xylene solvent	$\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions in matrix-nanofiller	0.2 wt.% nanofiller; N <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> , Ar, and CH <sub>4</sub> permeation 60%; CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> selectivity 4.2	[88]
Polysulfone	Graphene	Phase inversion; hollow fiber mixed matrix membrane	Nanosize synthesized graphene; Interfacial interaction between graphene and polymer matrix	CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> selectivity 158%; CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> selectivity 74%	[97]
Polysulfone	Graphene oxide	Solution route; N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone solvent	Physical interaction between oxygenated functional groups of graphene oxide and polymer; Interactions between functional groups of nanocomposites and gas molecules	CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> selectivity 45	[98]
Polyphenylsulfone-pyridine	Graphene oxide	Vacuum infiltration technique	Wettability and surface charge response to pH; acidic pH = 3 form hydrophilic state contact angle 63.3°; alkaline pH = 11 form hydrophobic state contact angle 106.5°; charge-density-tunable nanoporous; power of $\approx 0.76 \text{ W m}^{-2}$	Dispersion; morphology	[99]
Poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne)	Graphene oxide	Solution casting; chloroform solvent	Anchoring of graphene oxide nanosheets lowers membrane flexibility; less free volume; covalent cross-linking of polymer	1 wt.% graphene; diffusion coefficients CO <sub>2</sub> (25%); N <sub>2</sub> (14); CH <sub>4</sub> (9%)	[108]
Poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne)	Graphene	Solution route	Interaction between filler and polymer matrix; 0.93–1.36 MPa; 38–44 MPa	0.05 wt.% nanofiller; CO <sub>2</sub> permeability $3.5 \times 10^3$ Barrer	[107]
Poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide)	Graphene	Solution route	Void formation at interface; glassy polymer filled with graphene; graphene inclusion for physical constraint to relaxation of polymer chains	0.3–15 wt.% nanofiller reduced permeability	[112]

The research progress on the polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes has led to several advances in the kinds, design, and applications to overcome the crucial foremost problems in this field. These separation membranes have been used for the efficient removal of gaseous pollutants with optimally high flux and permeation. For the purpose, microstructure and mechanical features like strength and flexibility have been considered important. For the enhancements in these properties, nanoparticle dispersion has been found significant for the matrix-nanofiller interactions to advance the ultimate membrane characters. In this context, compatibility of graphene nanoparticles with matrices must be enhanced for better miscibility and reinforcing effects. The pore shape, size, and distribution in the matrices have been found to affect the membrane selectivity/permeability features. Most important challenges of the graphene based gas separation membranes include the graphene nanosheet aggregation, phase separation, uncontrolled and undefined fabrication parameters. Such undefined conditions may lead to the different pore shapes, sizes, and random distribution in the matrices. The membranes with various pore sizes and shape may cause major hinderances towards the separation of particular gaseous molecules of

specific types. The random pore distribution in membranes also affect the strength, durability, and life time of the membranes. In addition, poor membrane performance may result in the restricted cyclic uses. Consequently, the uncontrolled membrane features may cause poor barrier effects and selective molecular transportation. Hence, perfect membrane design features need to be identified before commercial scale production of these membranes. Investigations on the membrane separation mechanisms may be used to overcome the barrier, molecular selective diffusion, and performance challenges. In addition, advanced and facile fabrication methods need to be designed to form efficient membranes with controlled pore dimensions and essential features. Future research to resolve the stated challenging directions can be beneficial for the formation of high performance gas separation membranes.

## 5. Conclusions

In this state-of-the-art review article, the design, physical properties, and gas partition features have been scrutinized for important graphene and nanocomposite based membranes. Consequently, graphene has been filled in various polymeric matrices to form the efficient gas separation membranes. These membranes have been studied for the selective separation or permeation of various toxic or desired gas molecules such as O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, etc. from the gas mixtures. Consequently, the membrane performance has been analyzed based on the microstructure, pore size, pore distribution, and specific tests related to the separation or permeation of the gaseous molecules. It has been observed that by varying the nanofiller contents, nanofiller functionalities, as well as polymer type, and fabrication methods, the resulting membrane performance has been rehabilitated. In addition, the graphene alignment and dispersion pattern in the polymer matrices resulted in advanced membrane performance with optimum porosity and tortuous pathway formation for the passage of gas molecules. In future, well-organized graphene based membrane need to be designed by overcoming the dispersion and processing challenges behind the development of high performance systems.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Bellucci S. Decontamination of surface water from organic pollutants using graphene membranes. *Characterization and Application of Nanomaterials*. 2023; 6(1): 2033. doi: 10.24294/can.v6i1.2033
2. Kausar A. Nanoporous graphene in polymeric nanocomposite membranes for gas separation and water purification—standings and headways. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A*. 2023; 60(2): 81-91. doi: 10.1080/10601325.2023.2177170
3. Kausar A. Poly(methyl methacrylate) nanocomposite reinforced with graphene, graphene oxide, and graphite: a review. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Materials*. 2019; 58(8): 821-842. doi: 10.1080/25740881.2018.1563112
4. Kausar A. Applications of polymer/graphene nanocomposite membranes: A review. *Materials Research Innovations*. 2018; 23(5): 276-287. doi: 10.1080/14328917.2018.1456636
5. Kumar SR, Wang JJ, Wu YS, et al. Synergistic role of graphene oxide-magnetite nanofillers contribution on ionic conductivity and permeability for polybenzimidazole membrane electrolytes. *Journal of Power Sources*. 2020; 445: 227293. doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.227293

6. Anege B, Ifijen IH, Maliki M, et al. Graphene oxide synthesis and applications in emerging contaminant removal: a comprehensive review. *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 2024; 36(1). doi: 10.1186/s12302-023-00814-4
7. Li Y, Lin Z, He X. New nonporous fillers-based hybrid membranes for gas separations and water treatment process. *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes*. Published online 2024: 53-105. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-99311-1.00002-7
8. Gupta S, Singh A, Sharma T, et al. Applications of ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, and reverse osmosis in pharmaceutical wastewater treatment. *Development in Wastewater Treatment Research and Processes*. Published online 2024: 33-49. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-99278-7.00017-1
9. Jalali SHS. Investigation of nanofiltration systems efficiency for removal of chromium and copper from groundwater resources. *Environmental Quality Management*. Published online January 9, 2024. doi: 10.1002/tqem.22178
10. Ribeiro Pinela S, Larasati A, Meulepas RJW, et al. Ultrafiltration (UF) and biological oxygen-dosed activated carbon (BODAC) filtration to prevent fouling of reversed osmosis (RO) membranes: A mass balance analysis. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*. 2024; 57: 104648. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2023.104648
11. Rana K, Kaur H, Singh N, et al. Graphene-based materials: Unravelling its impact in wastewater treatment for sustainable environments. *Next Materials*. 2024; 3: 100107. doi: 10.1016/j.nxmate.2024.100107
12. Nwosu CN, Iliut M, Vijayaraghavan A. Graphene and water-based elastomer nanocomposites – a review. *Nanoscale*. 2021; 13(21): 9505-9540. doi: 10.1039/d1nr01324f
13. Lawal AT. Recent progress in graphene based polymer nanocomposites. *Cogent Chemistry*. 2020; 6(1): 1833476. doi: 10.1080/23312009.2020.1833476
14. Kausar A, Ahmad I, Lam TD. High-tech graphene oxide reinforced conducting matrix nanocomposites—Current status and progress. *Characterization and Application of Nanomaterials*. 2023; 6(1). doi: 10.24294/can.v6i1.2637
15. Rehman F, Memon FH, Ali A, et al. Recent progress on fabrication methods of graphene-based membranes for water purification, gas separation, and energy sustainability. *Reviews in Inorganic Chemistry*. 2022; 43(1): 13-31. doi: 10.1515/revic-2022-0001
16. Javed RMN, Al-Othman A, Tawalbeh M, Olabi AG. Recent developments in graphene and graphene oxide materials for polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2022; 168: 112836.
17. Favre E. Membrane Separation Processes and Post-Combustion Carbon Capture: State of the Art and Prospects. *Membranes*. 2022; 12(9): 884. doi: 10.3390/membranes12090884
18. Lee J, Park CY, Kong CI, et al. Ultrathin Water-Cast Polymer Membranes for Hydrogen Purification. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 2022; 14(5): 7292-7300. doi: 10.1021/acsami.1c21780
19. He X, Ou D, Wu S, et al. A mini review on factors affecting network in thermally enhanced polymer composites: filler content, shape, size, and tailoring methods. *Advanced Composites and Hybrid Materials*. 2021; 5(1): 21-38. doi: 10.1007/s42114-021-00321-1
20. Bera B, Dey A. The use of polymer-graphene composites as membrane. *Polymer Nanocomposites Containing Graphene*. Published online 2022: 557-588. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-821639-2.00024-0
21. Katia Cecilia de SF, Gustavo Feliciano de JB, André Santarosa F. Graphene Membranes: From Reverse Osmosis to Gas Separation. *International Journal of Membrane Science and Technology*. 2021; 8(2): 1-27. doi: 10.15379/2410-1869.2021.08.02.01
22. Bhandari S, Rahaman M. Thermal properties of polymer-graphene composites. *Polymer Nanocomposites Containing Graphene*. Published online 2022: 163-181. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-821639-2.00014-8
23. Alen SK, Nam S, Dastgheib SA. Recent Advances in Graphene Oxide Membranes for Gas Separation Applications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2019; 20(22): 5609. doi: 10.3390/ijms20225609
24. Hegab HM, Kallam P, Pandey RP, et al. Mechanistic insights into the selective mass-transport and fabrication of holey graphene-based membranes for water purification applications. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2022; 431: 134248. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.134248
25. Castro-Muñoz R, Cruz-Cruz A, Alfaro-Sommers Y, et al. Reviewing the recent developments of using graphene-based nanosized materials in membrane separations. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*. 2021; 52(19): 3415-3452. doi: 10.1080/10643389.2021.1918509
26. Fatemi SM, Fatemi SJ, Abbasi Z. Gas separation using graphene nanosheet: insights from theory and simulation. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*. 2020; 26(11). doi: 10.1007/s00894-020-04581-4

27. Liu M, Cen R, Zhao J, et al. Selective gradient separation of aminophenol isomers by cucurbit[6]uril. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2023; 304: 122342. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122342
28. Bahri M, Gebre SH, Elaguech MA, et al. Recent advances in chemical vapour deposition techniques for graphene-based nanoarchitectures: From synthesis to contemporary applications. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*. 2023; 475: 214910. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2022.214910
29. You X, Zhang Q, Yang J, et al. Review on 3D-printed graphene-reinforced composites for structural applications. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 2023; 167: 107420. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.107420
30. Berger C, Song Z, Li X, et al. Electronic Confinement and Coherence in Patterned Epitaxial Graphene. *Science*. 2006; 312(5777): 1191-1196. doi: 10.1126/science.1125925
31. Li M, Yin B, Gao C, et al. Graphene: Preparation, tailoring, and modification. In: *Book Graphene: Preparation, tailoring, and modification*. Wiley Online Library; 2023.
32. Sumdani MG, Islam MR, Yahaya ANA, et al. Recent advances of the graphite exfoliation processes and structural modification of graphene: a review. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2021; 23(11). doi: 10.1007/s11051-021-05371-6
33. Urade AR, Lahiri I, Suresh KS. Graphene Properties, Synthesis and Applications: A Review. *JOM*. 2022; 75(3): 614-630. doi: 10.1007/s11837-022-05505-8
34. Narayanam PK, Botcha VD, Ghosh M, et al. Growth and photocatalytic behavior of transparent reduced GO–ZnO nanocomposite sheets. *Nanotechnology*. 2019; 30(48): 485601. doi: 10.1088/1361-6528/ab3ced
35. Shen X, Zeng X, Dang C. Graphene Composites. *Handbook of Graphene*. Published online June 17, 2019: 1-25. doi: 10.1002/9781119468455.ch53
36. Zandiatashbar A, Lee GH, An SJ, et al. Effect of defects on the intrinsic strength and stiffness of graphene. *Nature Communications*. 2014; 5(1). doi: 10.1038/ncomms4186
37. Zhou Q, Xia G, Du M, et al. Scotch-tape-like exfoliation effect of graphene quantum dots for efficient preparation of graphene nanosheets in water. *Applied Surface Science*. 2019; 483: 52-59. doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.03.290
38. Lee H, Lee KS. Interlayer distance controlled graphene, supercapacitor and method of producing the same. In: *Book Interlayer distance controlled graphene, supercapacitor and method of producing the same*. Google Patents; 2019.
39. Ibrahim A, Klopocinska A, Horvat K, et al. Graphene-Based Nanocomposites: Synthesis, Mechanical Properties, and Characterizations. *Polymers*. 2021; 13(17): 2869. doi: 10.3390/polym13172869
40. Shahryari Z, Yeganeh M, Gheisari K, et al. A brief review of the graphene oxide-based polymer nanocomposite coatings: preparation, characterization, and properties. *Journal of Coatings Technology and Research*. 2021; 18(4): 945-969. doi: 10.1007/s11998-021-00488-8
41. Smaisim GF, Abed AM, Al-Madhhachi H, et al. Graphene-Based Important Carbon Structures and Nanomaterials for Energy Storage Applications as Chemical Capacitors and Supercapacitor Electrodes: a Review. *BioNanoScience*. 2022; 13(1): 219-248. doi: 10.1007/s12668-022-01048-z
42. Worku AK, Ayele DW. Recent Advances of Graphene-Based Materials for Emerging Technologies. *Results in Chemistry*; 2023.
43. Szomek M, Moesgaard L, Reinholdt P, et al. Membrane organization and intracellular transport of a fluorescent analogue of 27-hydroxycholesterol. *Chemistry and Physics of Lipids*. 2020; 233: 105004. doi: 10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2020.105004
44. Li Z, Zhang J, Zhang N, et al. Tunable nano-wrinked channels of reduced graphene oxide membranes for molecular sieving gas separation. *Carbon*. 2024; 216: 118524. doi: 10.1016/j.carbon.2023.118524
45. Castro-Muñoz R, Agrawal KV, Lai Z, et al. Towards large-scale application of nanoporous materials in membranes for separation of energy-relevant gas mixtures. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2023; 308: 122919. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122919
46. Elzubair A, Uchôa LR, Da Silva MHP. Production and characterization of graphene oxide/polymer support composite membranes for water desalination and purification. *Desalination and Water Treatment*; 2024.
47. Nidamanuri N, Li Y, Li Q, Dong M. Graphene and graphene oxide-based membranes for gas separation. *Engineered Science*. 2020; 9(9): 3–16
48. Sainath K, Modi A, Bellare J. CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> mixed gas separation using graphene oxide nanosheets embedded hollow fiber membranes: Evaluating effect of filler concentration on performance. *Chemical Engineering Journal Advances*. 2021; 5: 100074. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2020.100074



49. Lee J, Aluru NR. Water-solubility-driven separation of gases using graphene membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2013; 428: 546-553. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2012.11.006
50. Liu N, Cheng J, Hou W, et al. Unsaturated Zn–N2–O active sites derived from hydroxyl in graphene oxide and zinc atoms in core shell ZIF-8@ZIF-67 nanocomposites enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption capacity. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*. 2021; 312: 110786. doi: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110786
51. Szczeńśniak B, Choma J. Graphene-containing microporous composites for selective CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*. 2020; 292: 109761. doi: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2019.109761
52. Zhang X, Liu H, Shi Y, et al. Boosting CO<sub>2</sub> Conversion with Terminal Alkynes by Molecular Architecture of Graphene Oxide-Supported Ag Nanoparticles. *Matter*. 2020; 3(2): 558-570. doi: 10.1016/j.matt.2020.07.022
53. Miricioiu MG, Jacob C, Nechifor G, et al. High Selective Mixed Membranes Based on Mesoporous MCM-41 and MCM-41-NH<sub>2</sub> Particles in a Polysulfone Matrix. *Frontiers in Chemistry*. 2019; 7. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00332
54. Jiang D, Cooper VR, Dai S. Porous Graphene as the Ultimate Membrane for Gas Separation. *Nano Letters*. 2009; 9(12): 4019-4024. doi: 10.1021/nl9021946
55. Du Y, Huang L, Wang Y, et al. Recent developments in graphene-based polymer composite membranes: Preparation, mass transfer mechanism, and applications. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2019; 136(28). doi: 10.1002/app.47761
56. Cheng Y, Pu Y, Zhao D. Two-Dimensional Membranes: New Paradigms for High-Performance Separation Membranes. *Chemistry – An Asian Journal*. 2020; 15(15): 2241-2270. doi: 10.1002/asia.202000013
57. Li M, Wang F, Guo Z. The fabrication and application of triphase reaction interface based on superwettability for improved reaction efficiency. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2024.
58. Koenig SP, Wang L, Pellegrino J, et al. Selective molecular sieving through porous graphene. *Nature Nanotechnology*. 2012; 7(11): 728-732. doi: 10.1038/nnano.2012.162
59. Huang L, Jia W, Lin H. Etching and acidifying graphene oxide membranes to increase gas permeance while retaining molecular sieving ability. *AIChE Journal*. 2020; 66(12). doi: 10.1002/aic.17022
60. Singh S, Varghese AM, Reinalda D, et al. Graphene - based membranes for carbon dioxide separation. *Journal of CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization*. 2021; 49: 101544. doi: 10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101544
61. Hu L, Bui VT, Esmaceli N, et al. Nanoengineering membrane surfaces: A new paradigm for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Carbon Capture Science & Technology*. 2024; 10: 100150. doi: 10.1016/j.cst.2023.100150
62. Li H, Song Z, Zhang X, et al. Ultrathin, Molecular-Sieving Graphene Oxide Membranes for Selective Hydrogen Separation. *Science*. 2013; 342(6154): 95-98. doi: 10.1126/science.1236686
63. Dong G, Hou J, Wang J, et al. Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> separation by porous reduced graphene oxide/Pebax mixed matrix membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2016; 520: 860-868. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2016.08.059
64. Ibrahim AFM, Banihashemi F, Lin YS. Graphene oxide membranes with narrow inter-sheet galleries for enhanced hydrogen separation. *Chemical Communications*. 2019; 55(21): 3077-3080. doi: 10.1039/c8cc10283j
65. Yang Y, Bolling L, Priolo MA, et al. Super Gas Barrier and Selectivity of Graphene Oxide-Polymer Multilayer Thin Films. *Advanced Materials*. 2012; 25(4): 503-508. doi: 10.1002/adma.201202951
66. Chuah CY, Lee J, Song J, et al. Carbon Molecular Sieve Membranes Comprising Graphene Oxides and Porous Carbon for CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> Separation. *Membranes*. 2021; 11(4): 284. doi: 10.3390/membranes11040284
67. Lee SE, Jang J, Kim J, et al. Tunable sieving of small gas molecules using horizontal graphene oxide membrane. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2020; 610: 118178. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118178
68. Xu S, Li H, Xiao L, et al. Quantitative Determination of Poly (methyl Methacrylate) Micro/Nanoplastics by Cooling-Assisted Solid-Phase Microextraction Coupled to Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry: Theoretical and Experimental Insights. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2024.
69. Brito dos Santos F, Perez ID, McMichael PS, et al. Synthesis of a Novel Cellulose Nanofiber-Based Composite Hydrogel with Poly(methyl methacrylate-co-methacrylic Acid) for Effective Water Removal from Liquid Fuels. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2024; 63(5): 2210-2222. doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.3c02019
70. Bahrami A, Raisi A. Polyurethane-Based Blend Membrane Containing Polycarbonate for Gas Separation: Compatibility Analysis, Microstructure Evaluation, and CO<sub>2</sub> Separation Performance. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2024; 63(2): 1080-1099. doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.3c03251

71. Ajaj Y, AL-Salman HNK, Hussein AM, et al. Effect and investigating of graphene nanoparticles on mechanical, physical properties of polylactic acid polymer. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering*. 2024; 9: 100612. doi: 10.1016/j.cscee.2024.100612
72. Khan I, Khan I, Saeed K, et al. Polymer nanocomposites: an overview. *Smart Polymer Nanocomposites*. Published online 2023: 167-184. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-91611-0.00017-7
73. Sin C, Baranovskii ES. Hölder continuity of solutions for unsteady generalized Navier–Stokes equations with  $p(x,t)$ -power law in 2D. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 2023; 517(2): 126632. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2022.126632
74. Ray M, Verma A, Maiti A, et al. Nano-Engineered Polymer Matrix-Based Composites. *Polymer Nanocomposites*. Published online July 18, 2023: 21-39. doi: 10.1201/9781003343912-2
75. Wang Y, Nie W, Wang L, et al. Understanding the graphene-polymer interfacial mechanical behavior via coarse-grained modeling. *Computational Materials Science*. 2023; 222: 112109. doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2023.112109
76. Baldanza A, Pastore Carbone MG, Brondi C, et al. Chemical Vapour Deposition Graphene–PMMA Nanolaminates for Flexible Gas Barrier. *Membranes*. 2022; 12(6): 611. doi: 10.3390/membranes12060611
77. Francis J, Ramesh A, Suchand Sangeeth CS. Self-Assembled Monolayer-Based Molecular Electronic Devices. *Nanoelectronics Devices: Design, Materials, and Applications (Part I)*. Published online October 29, 2023: 33-77. doi: 10.2174/9789815136623123010005
78. Naik SG, Rabinal MK. Liquid free float metal contacts to form multiple molecular junctions. *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing*. 2023; 156: 107270. doi: 10.1016/j.mssp.2022.107270
79. Herrer L, Martín S, Cea P. Nanofabrication Techniques in Large-Area Molecular Electronic Devices. *Applied Sciences*. 2020; 10(17): 6064. doi: 10.3390/app10176064
80. Agrawal KV, Benck JD, Yuan Z, et al. Fabrication, Pressure Testing, and Nanopore Formation of Single-Layer Graphene Membranes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2017; 121(26): 14312-14321. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b01796
81. Liu J, Pan Y, Xu J, et al. Introducing amphipathic copolymer into intermediate layer to fabricate ultra-thin Pebax composite membrane for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2023; 667: 121183. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2022.121183
82. Gonçalves BJA, de Souza Figueiredo KC. Mixed matrix membranes of polydimethylsiloxane with activated carbon for ABE separation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2024.
83. Junaidi A, Zulfiani U, Khomariyah S, et al. Utilization of polyphenylene sulfide as an organic additive to enhance gas separation performance in polysulfone membranes. *RSC Advances*. 2024; 14(4): 2311-2319. doi: 10.1039/d3ra06136a
84. Zhang W, Shi Y, Wang B, et al. High-strength electrospun polydimethylsiloxane/polytetrafluoroethylene hybrid membranes with stable and controllable coral-like structures. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*. 2023; 164: 107316. doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2022.107316
85. Ha H, Park J, Ando S, et al. Gas permeation and selectivity of poly(dimethylsiloxane)/graphene oxide composite elastomer membranes. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2016; 518: 131-140. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2016.06.028
86. Koolivand H, Sharif A, Chehrizi E, et al. Mixed-matrix membranes comprising graphene-oxide nanosheets for CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> separation: A comparison between glassy and rubbery polymer matrices. *Polymer Science, Series A*. 2016; 58(5): 801-809. doi: 10.1134/s0965545x16050084
87. Zhang Q, Yang Y, Fan H, et al. Synthesis of graphene oxide using boric acid in hummers method. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 2022; 652: 129802. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2022.129802
88. Berean KJ, Ou JZ, Nour M, et al. Enhanced Gas Permeation through Graphene Nanocomposites. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2015; 119(24): 13700-13712. doi: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b02995
89. Vinodh R, Atchudan R, Kim HJ, et al. Recent Advancements in Polysulfone Based Membranes for Fuel Cell (PEMFCs, DMFCs and AMFCs) Applications: A Critical Review. *Polymers*. 2022; 14(2): 300. doi: 10.3390/polym14020300
90. Ali ME, Shahat A, Ayoub TI, Kamel RM. Fabrication of high flux polysulfone/mesoporous silica nanocomposite ultrafiltration membranes for industrial wastewater treatment. *Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry*. 2022; 12: 7556-7572.
91. Sherugar P, Déon S, Nagaraja KK, et al. Tailoring the structure of polysulfone nanocomposite membranes by incorporating iron oxide doped aluminium oxide for excellent separation performance and antifouling property. *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology*. 2022; 8(5): 1059-1077. doi: 10.1039/d1ew00936b
92. Costa Flores M, Figueiredo KC de S. Asymmetric oxygen-functionalized carbon nanotubes dispersed in polysulfone for CO<sub>2</sub> separation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2022; 140(2). doi: 10.1002/app.53303

93. Jaid GM, AbdulRazak AA, Meskher H, et al. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), covalent organic frameworks (COFs), and hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks (HOFs) in mixed matrix membranes. *Materials Today Sustainability*. 2024; 25: 100672. doi: 10.1016/j.mtsust.2024.100672
94. Hashemi T, Mehrnia MR, Pourafshari Chenar M. Morphological effects of spherical SiO<sub>2</sub> and hexagonal mesoporous MCM-41 nanoparticles in polyacrylonitrile mixed matrix membranes on the biofouling mitigation in short-term filtration. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2023; 141(3). doi: 10.1002/app.54830
95. Said N, Mansur S, Zainol Abidin MN, Ismail AF. Fabrication and characterization of polysulfone/iron oxide nanoparticle mixed matrix hollow fiber membranes for hemodialysis: Effect of dope extrusion rate and air gap. *Journal of Membrane Science and Research*. 2023; 9(1).
96. Zahri K, Goh PS, Ismail AF. The incorporation of graphene oxide into polysulfone mixed matrix membrane for CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> separation. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 2016; 36: 012007. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/36/1/012007
97. Zahri K, Wong KC, Goh PS, et al. Graphene oxide/polysulfone hollow fiber mixed matrix membranes for gas separation. *RSC Advances*. 2016; 6(92): 89130-89139. doi: 10.1039/c6ra16820e
98. Sainath K, Modi A, Bellare J. In-situ growth of zeolitic imidazolate framework-67 nanoparticles on polysulfone/graphene oxide hollow fiber membranes enhance CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> separation. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2020; 614: 118506. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2020.118506
99. Zhu X, Zhou Y, Hao J, et al. A Charge-Density-Tunable Three/Two-Dimensional Polymer/Graphene Oxide Heterogeneous Nanoporous Membrane for Ion Transport. *ACS Nano*. 2017; 11(11): 10816-10824. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.7b03576
100. Zhu S, Bi X, Shi Y, et al. Thin Films Based on Polyimide/Metal–Organic Framework Nanoparticle Composite Membranes with Substantially Improved Stability for CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> Separation. *ACS Applied Nano Materials*. 2022; 5(7): 8997-9007. doi: 10.1021/acsanm.2c01248
101. Esmaielzadeh S, Ahmadzadegan H. Gas permeation, thermal, morphology and mechanical properties of polyimide/clay nanocomposites: Effect of organically modified montmorillonite. *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials*. 2023; 37(1): 363-386. doi: 10.1177/08927057231176421
102. Mehrabi M, Vatanpour V. Polyimide-based separation membranes for liquid separation: A review on fabrication techniques, applications, and future perspectives. *Materials Today Chemistry*. 2024; 35: 101895. doi: 10.1016/j.mtchem.2024.101895
103. Melicchio A, Favvas EP. Preparation and characterization of graphene oxide as a candidate filler material for the preparation of mixed matrix polyimide membranes. *Surface and Coatings Technology*. 2018; 349: 1058-1068. doi: 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2018.06.082
104. Shishatskiy S, Makrushin V, Levin I, et al. Effect of Immobilization of Phenolic Antioxidant on Thermo-Oxidative Stability and Aging of Poly(1-trimethylsilyl-1-propyne) in View of Membrane Application. *Polymers*. 2022; 14(3): 462. doi: 10.3390/polym14030462
105. Seiedhoseiny M, Ghasemzadeh K, Basile A. Membrane technology in integrated gasification combined cycles. *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes*. Published online 2024: 743-763. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-90258-8.00032-8
106. Santoro S, Tufa RA, Curcio E. Pervaporation and membrane contactors. *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes*. Published online 2024: 765-788. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-90258-8.00019-5
107. Alberto M, Bhavsar R, Luque-Alled JM, et al. Impeded physical aging in PIM-1 membranes containing graphene-like fillers. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2018; 563: 513-520. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2018.06.026
108. Olivieri L, Ligi S, De Angelis MG, et al. Effect of Graphene and Graphene Oxide Nanoplatelets on the Gas Permeability and Aging Behavior of Poly(trimethylsilyl propyne) (PTMSP). *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2015; 54(44): 11199-11211. doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.5b03251
109. Zhang D, Xu S, Wan R, et al. Functionalized graphene oxide cross-linked poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide)-based anion exchange membranes with superior ionic conductivity. *Journal of Power Sources*. 2022; 517: 230720. doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2021.230720
110. Chen J, Zhang M, Shen C, et al. Preparation and Characterization of Non-N-Bonded Side-Chain Anion Exchange Membranes Based on Poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide). *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2022; 61(4): 1715-1724. doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.1c04171

111. Chu X, Miao S, Zhou A, et al. A strategy to design quaternized poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) anion exchange membranes by atom transfer radical coupling. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 2022; 649: 120397. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2022.120397
112. Rea R, Ligi S, Christian M, et al. Permeability and Selectivity of PPO/Graphene Composites as Mixed Matrix Membranes for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture and Gas Separation. *Polymers*. 2018; 10(2): 129. doi: 10.3390/polym10020129
113. Theravalappil R, Rahaman M. Patents on graphene-based polymer composites and their applications. *Polymer Nanocomposites Containing Graphene*. Published online 2022: 615-638. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-821639-2.00018-5
114. Kausar A, Bocchetta P. Polymer/Graphene Nanocomposite Membranes: Status and Emerging Prospects. *Journal of Composites Science*. 2022; 6(3): 76. doi: 10.3390/jcs6030076
115. Penkova AV, Dmitrenko ME, Hafusa A, et al. Analytical applications of graphene oxide for membrane processes as separation and concentration methods. *Comprehensive Analytical Chemistry*. Published online 2020: 99-124. doi: 10.1016/bs.coac.2020.09.002
116. Zhu Z, Song M, Qu F, et al. Engineering Multinanochannel Polymer-Intercalated Graphene Oxide Membrane for Strict Volatile Sieving in Membrane Distillation. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2024.
117. Lichaei MM, Thibault J. Mixed matrix membranes based on two-dimensional materials for efficient CO<sub>2</sub> separation: A comprehensive review. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 2024; 183: 952-975. doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2024.01.069
118. Dischinger SM, Miller DJ, Vermaas DA, et al. Unifying the Conversation: Membrane Separation Performance in Energy, Water, and Industrial Applications. *ACS ES&T Engineering*. 2024; 4(2): 277-289. doi: 10.1021/acsestengg.3c00475
119. Ren Y, Xu Y. Recent advances in two-dimensional polymers: synthesis, assembly and energy-related applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2024.
120. Venmathi Maran BA, Jeyachandran S, Kimura M. A Review on the Electrospinning of Polymer Nanofibers and Its Biomedical Applications. *Journal of Composites Science*. 2024; 8(1): 32. doi: 10.3390/jcs8010032
121. Yang C, Gede M, Abdulhamid MA, et al. Solvent and material selection for greener membrane manufacturing. *Current Trends and Future Developments on (Bio-) Membranes*. Published online 2024: 249-293. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-99311-1.00016-7