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ABSTRACT

Sarcoidosis is a systemic disorder with unknown etiology and pathogenesis characterized by non-caseating granulomas, and different clinical manifestations of sarcoidosis hinder diagnosis and treatment. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of serological markers based on clinical observations of sarcoidosis and the progression of granulomas would aid analysis in routine clinical practice. In this review, we overview common serological markers, including angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) and lysozyme, and describe in detail new promising indices in sarcoidosis such as a T cell serological marker (soluble interleukin 2 receptor; sIL-2R) and thymus and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC/CCL17).
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Introduction

Sarcoidosis has striking clinical heterogeneity, but the etiology and pathogenesis of sarcoidosis are still poorly understood[1-4]. Various clinical manifestations ranging from no symptoms to severe outcomes, such as respiratory dysfunction, blindness, severe neurological disorders, and cardiac life-threatening conditions, may hinder diagnosis and treatment of sarcoidosis[4].

Diagnosing sarcoidosis is problematic because there is no definitive test[4]. Diagnosis is mainly based on a combination of clinical, radiological, and serological features, and supported by pathological findings[5]. Therefore, evidence of non-caseating granulomas from an easily accessed organ, such as a cutaneous tissue biopsy, facilitates diagnosis. The clinical utility of common serological markers is based on correlations with clinical manifestations, dynamics of the disease, and other severity indices such as pulmonary radiographical stage, the number of CD4+ T cells in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), and the number of affected organs imaged by FDG 18PET or 67Ga scintigraphy[6]. However, there are no useful diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic serological markers that support the management of sarcoidosis in patients[5].

Sarcoidosis is a systemic disorder characterized by non-caseating granulomas. Granulomas are a collection of monocyte-macrophage lineage cells and lymphocytes, which surround but cannot eliminate various pathogens such as microorganisms, toxic molecules, or foreign bodies[7,8]. Granulomas containing pathogenic agents are “seeds” and are surrounded by epithelioid cells, mononuclear cells, and T cells on the periphery (Figure 1). These cells engulf or trap the pathogenic agents and prime the immune system by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines[8]. The distribution of the cell population and intensity of the immune reaction may help shape acute or chronic disease...
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Figure 1. Network of cells in the formation of sarcoidal granuloma. A biopsied skin tissue with HE staining shows multiple non-caseating granulomas in the dermis. In sarcoidosis, a network of cells is responsible for building granulomas. The compact structure contains abundant epithelioid cells, multinucleated giant cells, and peripheral inflammatory cells, which are mainly T cells. Magnification is 20x.

The origin of secreted serological markers during granulomatous inflammation may help track clinical progression of the disease. Here, we outline some common serological markers and focus on some promising T cell markers in sarcoidosis. In addition, we comprehensively describe the relationship between serological markers and the stages of sarcoidal granuloma formation, which may aid analysis of serological tests in routine clinical practice (Figure 2).

Serological markers associated with granuloma

At the “mature” stage of sarcoidal granuloma structure, there is a compact and organized collection of cells with abundant epithelioid cells at the center. These cells may fuse together and form multinucleated giant cells. Monocyte-macrophage lineage cells in the granuloma secrete ACE and lysozyme, which are commonly used “traditional” indices of total granuloma mass in sarcoidosis.

Epithelioid cells are the primary sources for ACE, and ACE is a measure of granuloma burden in sarcoidosis. However, the role of ACE in the diagnosis of sarcoidosis is controversial because of low sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity of ACE levels for the diagnosis of sarcoidosis is 40–60%, but may be as low as 29%, which is similar to a study by Turton et al. Table 1 outlines the factors that contribute to the sensitivity and specificity of serum ACE. One factor that affects the sensitivity of ACE is an insertion (I)/delete (D) polymorphism in the ACE gene, which results in significantly different serum ACE levels between genotypes DD (high serum ACE), ID (intermediate serum ACE), and II (low serum ACE). In addition, ACE is a secondary feature of sarcoidosis rather than an initial event of monocyte-macrophage lineage activity. ACE is released by epithelioid cells, which are polarized by monocyte-macrophage lineage cells at the mature building stage of granuloma (Figure 2). Therefore, ACE may not be sensitive at an early stage and is probably not a good index of disease activity. Nevertheless, serum ACE...
Figure 2. Serological markers during different stages of sarcoidal granuloma formation. Granuloma formation occurs in 4 stages including stage 1 (initial stage), stage 2 (accumulation of inflammatory cells), stage 3 (effector phase with the amplification of immune response to create a compact cell network to form granuloma), and stage 4 (resolution or fibrosis progression), and T helper cells are clearly involved in the mechanism of initiation, maintenance, and progressive fibrosis\(^3\). The main events that explain the expression of serological biomarkers during this progression are as follows:

1. First, pathogenic antigens, including microorganism, toxic molecules, or foreign bodies\(^7,8\), activate the innate immune system and recruit peripheral monocytes, which then undergo differentiation into antigen-presenting cells.
2. Antigen presentation activates naïve T cells.
3. Activated T cells produce IL-2 as a local growth factor for T cells\(^5\) and express IL-2 receptor on their surface.
4. Proteolytic cleavage of IL-2α chain occurs after T cell stimulation\(^27\). The presence of the α chain in body fluids is a good measure of T-cell activation.
5. Immune granulomas have a central follicle composed of a pathogenic antigen and epithelioid cells. These cells are the main source of ACE and lysozyme. T cells and B cells surround the “granuloma core” and are encircled by fibroblasts.
6. TARC is mainly secreted by epithelioid cells and may drive the Th2 immune response in sarcoidosis. Shifting to a Th2 response may favor fibrotic progression and further investigation is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanism of this phenomenon.
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Table 1. Reasons for the poor sensitivity and specificity of serum ACE levels in the diagnosis of sarcoidosis.

1. Differences in test assays
2. Differences in abnormal cut-off values
3. Inconsistent diagnoses of sarcoidosis
4. Measurement of serum ACE levels at different time points
5. ACE may change after treatment
6. Polymorphism of the ACE gene

Serological markers associated with lymphocyte activation

The hallmarks of sarcoidosis are non-caseating granulomas in which activated T cells accumulate at inflammatory sites. Recruitment of peripheral T cells in blood to tissues amplifies inflammatory responses. Sarcoïdosis is an “immune paradox,” in which the peripheral activity occurs with local exaggerated inflammation.

Granuloma formation occurs in 4 stages: initial, accumulation, effector phase, and resolution or fibrosis progression, in which T helper cells are involved in the mechanism of initiation, maintenance, and progressive fibrosis. In the stages of granuloma formation, T cell serological markers may help reveal the systemic inflammatory condition. Here, we discuss the links between clinical progression, dynamics of serological markers, and immunopathogenesis of sarcoidosis.

Interleukin-2 (IL-2) is a cytokine released predominantly by activated CD4+ Th1 cells and has an important role as a growth factor to amplify local immune responses. Activated T cells express IL-2 receptors (IL-2R) consisting of 3 chains on their surfaces, and the α chain is proteolytically cleaved into the surrounding environment in a soluble form. Soluble IL-2R induced by activated human lymphoid cells in vitro was first observed in 1985, and two years later an increase in sIL-2R in the sera of sarcoidosis patients was reported. These T cells produced IL-2 and express IL-2α receptor on their surface only after being activated through antigen presentation. The intensity of T cell activation is high during the active disease, but low or absent in chronic sarcoidosis. Therefore, the proteolytic cleavage of the soluble form of α chain from the cell surface into body fluids is a reliable measure of T-cell activation. Several studies have found that sIL-2R is a clinically relevant marker of sarcoidosis to evaluate disease severity and treatment options.

In 2015, sIL-2R replaced the negative tuberculin test for diagnosis sarcoidosis in Japan, and a 2017 study found that sIL-2R was more sensitive than ACE or lysozyme for diagnosing patients with cutaneous lesions. About 30% of patients had increased serum sIL-2R levels but normal serum ACE levels, and 19% of patients had elevated serum sIL-2R levels but normal lysozyme levels on their first visit. During follow-up, sIL-2R levels also correlated with clinical progress, but ACE and lysozyme levels were not correlated.

sIL-2R is released by activated T cells, which are recruited at an early phase of granuloma formation and increase during active disease, and sIL-2R is also secreted by activated monocytes, B cells, and alveolar macrophages in sarcoidosis. Therefore, an increased level of serum sIL-2R is a reliable index of ongoing systemic granulomatous inflammation and has higher sensitivity compared to ACE or lysozyme for diagnosing sarcoidosis. In addition, activated T cells target the affected area and proliferate during the initial immune response in the formation of the granuloma, which suggests that sIL-2R is an early marker of active sarcoidosis. Unfortunately, sIL-2R is non-specific because it increases in other conditions, but may still be used to identify sarcoidosis in suspected patients with a non-caseating necrosis granuloma in a cutaneous biopsy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study subjects (number of patients)</th>
<th>Value in diagnosis</th>
<th>Correlation with activity</th>
<th>Correlation with severity</th>
<th>Correlation with ACE</th>
<th>Correlation with CD4 in BALF</th>
<th>Predicting marker</th>
<th>Marker of treatment response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence et al. [25]</td>
<td>Pulmonary sarcoidosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kita et al. [39]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suggestive of active pulmonary sarcoidosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peteret et al. [40]</td>
<td>Neuro Sarcoiidsis (n = 139)</td>
<td>sIL2-R measurement in the CSF may be a valuable tool in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with neurosarcoidosis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gungor et al. [41]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 38)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grutters et al. [42]</td>
<td>Pulmonary sarcoidosis (n = 47)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegenhagen et al. [43]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis patients without indication of treatment at presentation (n = 77)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bargagli et al. [44]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vorselaars et al. [45]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyoshi et al. [46]</td>
<td>Pulmonary sarcoidosis (n = 43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2. Continued.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study subjects (number of patients)</th>
<th>Value in diagnosis</th>
<th>Correlation with activity</th>
<th>Correlation with severity</th>
<th>Correlation with ACE</th>
<th>Correlation with CD4 in BALF</th>
<th>Predicting marker</th>
<th>Marker of treatment response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gundlach et al. [46]</td>
<td>Uveitis sarcoidosis (n = 261)</td>
<td>sIL-2R is a more effective marker parameter for sarcoidosis than ACE or chest x-ray in uveitis patients (spec 94%, sens 98%)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ina et al. [47]</td>
<td>Pulmonary sarcoidosis (n = 28)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Good marker for follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keicho et al. [41]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 70)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegenhagen et al. [49]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 74)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ina et al. [30]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 39)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Good marker for follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothkrantz-Kos et al. [49]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 185)</td>
<td>For pulmonary disease diagnosis: sen 82%, spec 94%, pos predictive value 82%, neg predictive value 94%</td>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>extra-pulmonary involvement</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>progressing disease and the need for treatment in patients with acute disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasse et al. [50]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis (n = 225)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>extra-pulmonary involvement</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thi Hong Chuyen et al. [47]</td>
<td>Sarcoidosis patients with cutaneous lesions (n = 72)</td>
<td>sIL-2R was more sensitive than both ACE and lysozyme in supporting a diagnosis of sarcoidosis (52.8%) compared to ACE (29%) and lysozyme (26.4%)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>multiple organ infiltration</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Predicting the presence of multiple organ involvement, pulmonary disease, parenchymal infiltration, and the presence of specific signs in the diagnosis of sarcoidosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Diagnostic criteria for sarcoidosis in Japan[28]

(A) Definitive Diagnosis (Clinical and histological evidence group)

More than one clinical sarcoidal lesion with non-caseating necrosis epithelioid cell granulomas, and rule-out other granulomatous disorders and sarcoid reactions.

Note: Careful examination of characteristic laboratory findings for sarcoidosis and systemic involvement is necessary.

(B) Possible Diagnosis (Absence of histological evidence group)

Two of three important organ involvements (lung, eye, and heart) and two of five characteristic laboratory findings are needed for diagnosis.

1. BHL
2. High serum levels of ACE or lysozyme
3. High serum level of sIL-2R
4. Abnormal accumulation in $^{67}$Ga scintigraphy or PET-CT
5. An increased number of lymphocytes or a CD4/CD8 ratio more than 3.5 in BALF

Serological markers associated with fibrotic remodeling

T helper type 1 (Th1) responses and Th1-associated cytokines are key players in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis, but the mechanism of granuloma formation and fibrosis is unknown. Th1 and Th2 cytokine expression patterns in sarcoidosis are important to predict the clinical outcome of an immune response, in which a Th1 response results in an antigen/pathogen clearance and resolution and a Th2 response results in fibrosis remodeling[22].

TARC, also known as chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 17 (CCL17), is a crucial chemokine for the amplification of Th2 responses, which occur by recruiting CCR4-expressing CD4+ T cells.[32-35] Increased serum levels of TARC are implicated in non-specific compensate reactions in the Th1 response in sarcoidosis[36]. Serum TARC levels are elevated in 78% of sarcoidosis patients and expressed by monocyte-macrophage lineage cells within granulomas. In addition, an imbalance between Th1 and Th2 results in a Th2 response that underlies prolonged TARC overproduction. Therefore, TARC is actively involved in the pathogenesis of sarcoidosis. One third of patients with sarcoidosis may have a chronic disease with a high risk of fibrosis. Patients with increased serum TARC levels have a significantly increased incidence of pulmonary infiltration. In the clinical practice, TARC may be good predictive marker for pulmonary fibrotic progression in chronic sarcoidosis patients[37]. Understanding the role of TARC in promoting and maintaining local and systemic inflammatory reactions is important because it may lead to targeted treatments to prevent fibrosis events.

Conclusion

Based on the progression of sarcoidal granuloma, sIL-2R is a more useful marker than other indicators in an early and active condition, and “traditional” indices, such as ACE and lysozyme, are less sensitive for the diagnosis and management of sarcoidosis. TARC is a promising marker, but further investigation on Th2 activity in sarcoidosis and fibrosis mechanisms is needed. Understanding the link between clinical observations and serological markers in sarcoidosis may aid clinicians treating this challenging disease.
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