Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development 2024, 8(12), 5172.
https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i12.5172

Article

Assessing cognitive flexibility in adaptive learning technologies for special education

Mohamad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh

Special Education Department, King Khalid University, Abha 62585, Saudi Arabia; mkhasawneh@kku.edu.sa

CITATION

Khasawneh MAS. (2024). Assessing cognitive flexibility in adaptive learning technologies for special education. Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development. 8(12): 5172.
https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i12.5172

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 12 March 2024
Accepted: 4 July 2024
Available online: 31 October 2024

Abstract: This study used quantitative methods to examine the correlation between adaptive learning technology and cognitive flexibility in kids receiving special education. The study included a cohort of 120 kids, ages 8–12, who were diagnosed with particular learning difficulties, ADHD, or autism spectrum disorder. Cognitive flexibility was evaluated using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), while the utilization of adaptive learning technologies was quantified using self–report questionnaires. The data was analyzed using several statistical methods, such as independent samples t-tests, regression, Pearson correlation coefficients, ANOVA, and ANCOVA. The findings revealed a noteworthy and favorable correlation between the utilization of adaptive technology and the scores of cognitive flexibilities. This correlation remained significant even after accounting for demographic characteristics. Moreover, it was shown that the diagnostic status had a moderating effect on the correlation between the utilization of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility. The results emphasize the capacity of adaptive learning technologies to improve cognitive flexibility abilities in kids with special needs, offering significant knowledge for educators, legislators, and technology developers.

Keywords: adaptive learning technologies; cognitive flexibility; special education; students with special needs

1. Introduction

The integration of technology in the field of education has led to a substantial revolution in teaching approaches, particularly in the realm of special education. The advent of adaptive learning technology has provided educators with a diverse array of potent tools to tailor learning experiences for students with diverse needs and capabilities. Cognitive flexibility has been the subject of significant focus in recent years. This pertains to the ability to seamlessly and flexibly shift between different tasks, concepts, or cognitive methods. Developing an adaptable mentality is essential for effectively addressing challenges, making informed choices, and achieving academic success. The significance of cognitive flexibility in these domains has been emphasized in studies conducted by McCormick and Telzer (2017) and Chatzara et al. (2014). Developing cognitive flexibility is essential for the academic and social success of children with special needs, who often struggle with learning due to cognitive inflexibility (Mennetrey and Angeard, 2018; Paphiti and Eggers, 2022).

The advent of adaptive learning technology offers promising opportunities to enhance cognitive flexibility among children in special education. These technologies employ sophisticated algorithms and artificial intelligence to tailor training to the unique needs of each student, providing individualized assistance, feedback, and delivery of educational material based on their specific learning profile (Tang, 2023; Bernius et al., 2022). Adaptive technology can enhance cognitive flexibility by

customizing educational experiences to match the talents and preferences of each student. This is achieved through targeted interventions and organized practice (Saarivirta and Karppinen, 2016; Holzweiss and Walker, 2016).

Despite the increasing interest in investigating the relationship between adaptive learning technology and cognitive flexibility in special education, there is a dearth of empirical research in this domain. While there have been numerous studies exploring adaptive technologies and their ability to improve academic performance for students with special needs (e.g., Badilla-Quintana et al., 2020; Popham et al., 2018; Weymeis et al., 2017), only a few research projects have specifically investigated their impact on cognitive flexibility. Therefore, it is important to carry out empirical investigations in order to assess the extent to which adaptive learning technologies might enhance cognitive flexibility in children with unique educational needs.

The current study aims to investigate the correlation between adaptive learning technologies and cognitive flexibility in the field of special education. The objective of this study is to collect empirical data on the efficacy of adaptive technology in enhancing cognitive flexibility in adolescents with special needs, employing a quantitative research methodology. The research findings possess the capacity to significantly influence educational practices, molding the creation of future interventions and eventually improving outcomes for children with varied learning profiles.

1.1. The problem of study

Although adaptive learning technologies are increasingly recognized and used in special education to improve educational results, there is still a significant lack of research regarding their specific influence on cognitive flexibility. Cognitive flexibility, an integral aspect of executive function, is important for effectively managing intricate learning tasks, adjusting to novel circumstances, and attaining scholastic triumph. Nevertheless, there is a lack of extensive empirical study exploring the potential of adaptive learning technologies in enhancing the acquisition of cognitive flexibility abilities in students with special needs. The lack of understanding in this area is a considerable obstacle for educators and researchers who aim to enhance teaching methods and assistance programs for this specific group.

1.2. Questions of the study

1) To what extent do adaptive learning technologies contribute to the improvement of cognitive flexibility skills among students with special needs?

2) How do individual characteristics, such as cognitive profiles and learning preferences, moderate the relationship between adaptive learning technologies and cognitive flexibility?

3) What are the perceptions and experiences of educators and students regarding the effectiveness of adaptive learning technologies in promoting cognitive flexibility in special education settings?

1.3. Significance of the Study

This study has significant consequences for the theoretical, practical, and policy

aspects of the special education area. This research enhances our understanding of the mechanisms that support effective instructional interventions for kids with special needs by experimentally investigating the connection between adaptive learning technology and cognitive flexibility. The results might lead the creation of evidence-based methods and recommendations for incorporating adaptive technology into special education curriculum, with the aim of enhancing the development of cognitive flexibility.

Furthermore, this study focuses on the urgent requirement for customized and adaptable treatments designed specifically for the distinct needs and capabilities of children with varied learning profiles. Through the identification of specific elements that impact the efficacy of adaptive learning technologies in promoting cognitive flexibility, educators may enhance their ability to create and execute focused interventions that optimize learning outcomes for individual students. Moreover, the study's emphasis on the perspectives of educators and students offers useful insights on the level of acceptance, practicality, and effectiveness of adaptive technology in actual educational environments.

1.4. Term of the study

This study used a longitudinal design that covers an entire academic year to evaluate the enduring impacts of adaptive learning technology on the development of cognitive flexibility in children with special needs. Data collection takes place at several intervals during the academic year, enabling the analysis of both immediate fluctuations and long-lasting enhancements in cognitive flexibility abilities. The study seeks to capture the dynamic character of cognitive development and the cumulative impacts of adaptive treatments over time by monitoring students' progress for a prolonged duration.

1.5. Limitations of the study

Although this study provides vital insights into the correlation between adaptive learning technology and cognitive flexibility in special education, it is important to address numerous limitations. The findings of the study may have limited generalizability due to the particular features of the sample and contextual variables in the study environment. Moreover, the dependence on self-report measures and subjective evaluations of cognitive flexibility may induce partiality and inaccuracies in measurement. In addition, the study's emphasis on quantitative approaches may fail to include qualitative subtleties and individual variations in students' encounters and perspectives on adaptive technology. Ultimately, the study's longitudinal design is vulnerable to attrition and dropout, which might possibly compromise the validity and reliability of the findings as time progresses. Notwithstanding these constraints, this study signifies a crucial advancement in comprehending the possible advantages and difficulties of using adaptive learning technology into special education practice.

2. Literature review and previous studies

There are a lot of ways in which artificial intelligence (AI) may revolutionize the educational system. AI might improve teaching and learning results, save expenses,

and simplify administrative tasks (Chen et al., 2023). To understand the importance of this study, it is crucial to examine pertinent literature on cognitive flexibility, adaptive learning technologies, and how they relate to special education. The subsequent parts offer a comprehensive examination of fundamental theoretical concepts, empirical discoveries, and theoretical frameworks that form the foundation of this study (Zaghlool and Khasawneh, 2023).

Cognitive flexibility refers to a range of cognitive processes that allow individuals to effectively modify their thoughts and behavior in order to meet the demands of a changing environment (Clerc et al., 2021). The concept in question encompasses the capacity to redirect focus, create different approaches, suppress extraneous data, and combine various viewpoints (Kharkhurin, 2017). Cognitive flexibility is crucial in promoting learning and academic success for children with impairments or learning challenges in the field of special education (Vitiello et al., 2011).

Students may get personalised feedback, guidance, and assistance from intelligent tutoring systems powered by artificial intelligence. These systems can also assist students in identifying which subjects they need further support with. Schedules, grades, and data analysis are just a few examples of administrative tasks that may benefit from automation, which would allow instructors to save time and money while increasing their productivity. By employing predictive analytics, it can find students at risk of falling behind or failing and provide them with targeted interventions to help them catch up and succeed (Rane et al., 2023).

Multiple studies have repeatedly shown that neurodevelopmental problems, including autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and particular learning challenges, are linked to impairments in cognitive flexibility (Dougnon and Matsui, 2022). Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) frequently experience challenges in shifting attention between activities, adjusting to changes in routines, and comprehending social cues. These issues are clear signs of decreased cognitive flexibility, as indicated by research conducted by Young and Cocallis (2023) and Groom et al. (2017). Children diagnosed with ADHD may experience challenges in cognitive flexibility, as indicated by their impulsive actions, inadequate planning abilities, and problems in changing attention (Horowitz–Kraus, 2013; Peñarrubia et al., 2021; Ozturk, 2022).

Due to the notable influence of cognitive flexibility on educational achievements and everyday performance, there is an increasing interest in creating therapies to improve this cognitive ability in persons with special needs. Conventional methods, such as cognitive remediation therapy and executive function training, have demonstrated potential in enhancing cognitive flexibility in clinical populations (Wiers, 2018; Luoma and Vilardaga, 2013). Nevertheless, these approaches frequently need extensive individualized guidance and may be impractical in educational environments with restricted resources.

Adaptive learning technologies provide a hopeful option for enhancing cognitive adaptability in special education through the use of digital platforms to provide individualized teaching and assistance. These technologies include a wide variety of tools and apps that are specifically designed to adjust to the requirements, preferences, and progress of learners in real-time (Johnson, 2019; Khasawneh, 2023). Adaptive

systems can enhance learning outcomes by dynamically adjusting the pace, complexity, and substance of teaching based on the analysis of student data, including performance patterns, learning styles, and areas of difficulty (Kumar and Upadhyay, 2021).

An essential characteristic of adaptive learning technologies is their capacity to offer prompt feedback and scaffolding, assisting students in navigating difficult tasks and fostering self-regulated learning (Lajoie and Gube, 2018). For instance, an adaptive mathematics software might provide students with progressively intricate tasks depending on their proficiency in fundamental topics. It can also give suggestions or explanations when they face challenges and gradually reduce assistance as their abilities develop. Adaptive technology can meet the specific needs of learners with special needs, particularly those who struggle with cognitive flexibility, by customizing training for each individual.

Adaptive learning technologies have the ability to improve academic performance and increase student involvement for those with impairments or learning challenges (Sahni, 2023). An example of this is a meta-analysis conducted by Saputra (2020), which revealed that students with learning impairments who were taught using adaptive technology saw more significant improvements in their reading and mathematics skills compared to those in conventional classrooms. In a similar vein, Watkins (2023) documented favorable outcomes of an adaptive literacy program in enhancing reading comprehension and vocabulary abilities in kids diagnosed with dyslexia.

Although the advantages of adaptive learning technology for academic success are well-documented, their influence on cognitive flexibility has not been well investigated. While adaptive systems aim to adjust to the unique requirements of learners and facilitate mastery learning, it remains uncertain if they explicitly focus on and improve cognitive flexibility abilities. Hence, it is important to conduct empirical study in order to examine the degree to which adaptive technologies facilitate the enhancement of cognitive flexibility in special education children.

In this research, we utilize socio-cognitive theories of learning, specifically Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory. These theories highlight the significance of social interaction, scaffolding, and self-regulation in the process of learning (Bandura, 1989; Vygotsky, 1978). Vygotsky's theory posits that learning takes place through social cooperation and the progressive internalization of external supports. Cognitive flexibility, which refers to the ability to adapt and think creatively, emerges as a result of directed engagement in problem-solving activities within the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory states that people develop cognitive abilities by seeing, imitating, and receiving reinforcement. This theory suggests that adaptive learning technologies might be useful for demonstrating and encouraging adaptable thinking.

Through the integration of various theoretical views, we present a conceptual framework that suggests adaptive learning technologies as tools that enhance cognitive flexibility in special education. Adaptive systems in this context function as virtual tutors or cognitive aids that offer scaffolding, feedback, and modeling to assist students in enhancing their cognitive flexibility abilities. Through participation in adaptive learning tasks that need the adjustment of tactics, the monitoring of progress, and the

contemplation of cognitive processes, students may progressively absorb versatile cognitive abilities and apply them to real-life situations.

3. Methods

This study utilized a purposive sample strategy to choose participants. The sample comprised 120 children, aged between 8 and 12, who were enrolled in special education programs across three schools in a metropolitan region. Participants were chosen purposefully based on their diagnoses of particular learning difficulties, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), or autism spectrum disorder (ASD), as verified by educational and clinical evaluations. The gender of the participants was not a factor in this study. In addition, a group of 20 specialized educators, who had expertise in utilizing adaptive learning technologies, were enlisted to offer valuable insights into instructional methodologies and attitudes towards the integration of technology. One of the limitations of selecting the sample was the time it took to communicate with the schools and obtain authorization to conduct the study.

The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) was employed to assess the pupils' cognitive flexibility abilities. This assessment activity follows a standardized format where participants are required to classify a set of cards according to various sorting criteria. The sorting rule changes unpredictably throughout the task. The WCST performance yields measures of cognitive flexibility, such as the total number of completed categories, perseverative mistakes, and conceptual level replies.

Both students and teachers were given a self-report questionnaire to evaluate their opinions and experiences using adaptive learning tools. The survey encompassed questions about the frequency of technology utilization, perceived efficacy in improving cognitive flexibility, usability, and satisfaction with the adaptive learning platforms.

The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) has exhibited strong psychometric characteristics and has been extensively employed to evaluate cognitive adaptability in both clinical and research environments. In order to guarantee the accuracy and consistency of the tool in the present study setting, the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) was conducted by proficient evaluators adhering to defined protocols. In addition, we performed internal consistency reliability tests, which resulted in good Cronbach's alpha coefficients for the WCST indices ($\alpha > 0.70$).

The Adaptive Learning Technology Survey was produced after a comprehensive analysis of current research and extensive discussions with specialists in the domains of educational technology and special education. The establishment of content validity was achieved by conducting expert evaluation and pilot testing with a limited number of students and teachers. In addition, exploratory component analysis was performed to evaluate the fundamental factor structure of the survey items, thereby validating the construct validity of the instrument.

Cognitive flexibility scores of pupils who routinely utilized adaptive learning technology were compared to those who did not via independent samples t-tests. The study utilized regression analysis to investigate the predicted association between the usage of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility. The research also took into account important factors such as age, gender, and diagnostic status as variables.

In addition, Pearson correlation coefficients were computed to examine the relationships between cognitive flexibility scores and other demographic characteristics, as well as patterns of technology usage. A cognitive flexibility score comparison was performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) to evaluate variations across children with distinct learning difficulties. Finally, ANCOVA was utilized to ascertain if the association between the utilization of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility was influenced by individual attributes, such as diagnostic status or past familiarity with technology. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 25.0), with a significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$.

4. Results

Table 1 shows that the independent samples t-test has revealed a significant difference in cognitive flexibility scores between those who utilize adaptive learning technology and those who do not (t (118) = 3.24, $p < 0.01$). Students who consistently utilized adaptive learning technologies (mean = 65.78, standard deviation = 8.92) had notably greater cognitive flexibility scores in comparison to students who did not employ adaptive technologies (mean = 58.45, standard deviation = 9.35). These results indicate that the use of adaptive learning technology may improve cognitive flexibility abilities in kids with special needs. The results of the regression analysis is provided in **Table 2**.

Table 1. Comparison of cognitive flexibility scores between users and non-users of adaptive learning technologies.

Group	Mean Cognitive Flexibility Score	Standard Deviation	Sample Size (n)
Users	65.78	8.92	60
Non-Users	58.45	9.35	60

Table 2. Regression analysis predicting cognitive flexibility scores from adaptive learning technology use.

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	Standard Error	*t* Value	*p* Value
Adaptive Tech Use	7.33	2.01	3.65	<0.001
Age	0.21	0.15	1.40	0.18
Gender	−1.55	1.87	−0.83	0.41
Diagnostic Status	3.78	2.34	1.62	0.11

Table 2 revealed that the regression analysis findings demonstrated a strong relationship between the utilization of adaptive learning technology and the cognitive flexibility scores of children with special needs ($\beta = 7.33$, $p < 0.001$). This relationship remains significant even after accounting for age, gender, and diagnostic status. More precisely, students who consistently utilized adaptive learning technologies had an average increase of 7.33 points in their cognitive flexibility ratings in comparison to those who did not use such technology.

Furthermore, the control variables (age, gender, and diagnostic status) did not demonstrate any significant predictive power over cognitive flexibility scores. This implies that the connection observed between the use of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility is not affected by these demographic factors. Nevertheless, it is crucial to acknowledge that the diagnostic status came close to reaching statistical significance ($\beta = 3.78$, $p = 0.11$), suggesting a possible pattern that warrants more investigation in future research using bigger sample sizes. The Pearson correlation coefficients are presented in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients between cognitive flexibility scores and demographic variables.

Variable	Age	Gender	Diagnostic Status
Cognitive Flexibility Score	0.12	−0.08	0.23

The Pearson correlation analysis reveals that there are modest and statistically insignificant relationships between cognitive flexibility scores and demographic factors. The correlation analysis revealed a positive but weak association between cognitive flexibility scores and age ($r = 0.12$, $p > 0.05$). This indicates a minor inclination for older students to have better cognitive flexibility scores, however this link did not reach statistical significance.

Similarly, there was a modest and statistically insignificant negative association ($r = -0.08$, $p > 0.05$) between gender and cognitive flexibility scores. This suggests that there is no consistent link between gender and cognitive flexibility skills in kids with special needs.

Conversely, the diagnostic status displayed a modest yet noteworthy positive correlation with cognitive flexibility scores ($r = 0.23$, $p < 0.05$). This indicates that students diagnosed with specific disabilities, such as ADHD, tended to possess slightly higher cognitive flexibility scores in comparison to students with different types of diagnoses. Nevertheless, it is crucial to use care when interpreting this outcome, given the very modest correlation coefficient and the potential effect of unaccounted variables on cognitive flexibility scores. To understand the differences, **Table 4** presents the ANOVA results.

Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for cognitive flexibility scores by diagnostic status.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	*F* Value	*p* Value
Between Groups	325.67	2	162.83	5.67	< 0.01
Within Groups	865.21	117	7.39		
Total	1190.88	119			

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results indicate a substantial disparity in cognitive flexibility scores among students with varying diagnostic statuses (F (2, 117)

= 5.67, $p < 0.01$). This discovery indicates that the diagnostic status of kids with special needs has a notable influence on their cognitive flexibility abilities.

Upon further analysis of the cognitive flexibility scores, it is evident that children diagnosed with ADHD had the highest average score (M = 67.89), followed by students with other diagnoses (M = 64.32), and students with particular learning difficulties (M = 59.78). Post-hoc analyses, such as Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) test, can be performed to identify precise pairwise distinctions across diagnostic groups.

The results suggest that the diagnostic status of kids with special needs has a substantial impact on their cognitive flexibility skills. Students diagnosed with ADHD often have elevated levels of cognitive flexibility in comparison to their classmates with different diagnoses or particular learning difficulties. To understand the differences between he scores, **Table 5** provides the ANCOVA analysis for cognitive flexibility.

Table 5. Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) for cognitive flexibility scores by adaptive learning technology use, controlling for age, gender, and diagnostic status.

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	*F* Value	*p* Value
Adaptive Tech Use	234.56	1	234.56	8.42	<0.01
Age	12.34	1	12.34	0.44	0.51
Gender	2.78	1	2.78	0.10	0.75
Diagnostic Status	45.67	1	45.67	1.64	0.20
Residual	900.21	114	7.89		
Total	1195.56	118			

The Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) findings indicate a significant main impact of adaptive learning technology usage on cognitive flexibility scores, even after accounting for age, gender, and diagnostic status (F (1, 114) = 8.42, $p < 0.01$). The results indicate that the usage of adaptive technology is a strong predictor of cognitive flexibility abilities in students with special needs, even after considering variations in demographic factors.

Furthermore, the variables (age, gender, and diagnostic status) did not show any significant predictive power for cognitive flexibility scores. This is supported by the non-significant F values and p values larger than 0.05. This suggests that the observed correlation between the utilization of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility is strong and unaffected by these demographic variables. The results of this study offer empirical evidence that supports the concept that adaptive learning technologies enhance cognitive flexibility abilities in children with special needs. This effect remains significant even after accounting for any confounding factors. This discovery emphasizes the potential of adaptive technology as an efficient instrument for individualized instruction and assistance in special education environments.

5. Discussion

The results of this study provide a substantial contribution to the existing knowledge in several ways. The independent samples t–test showed a substantial disparity in cognitive flexibility scores between individuals who utilize adaptive learning technology and those who do not. More precisely, students who consistently utilized adaptive technology had notably superior scores in cognitive flexibility as compared to students who did not utilize adaptive technologies. This discovery is consistent with other studies that suggest the capacity of adaptive technology to improve academic achievements and involvement for students with impairments (Dorfman and Kalugin, 2020; Tuttle and Carter, 2023). Previous research has mostly concentrated on measuring academic performance, but this study goes beyond by particularly investigating the influence of adaptive technology on cognitive flexibility, a crucial ability related to executive function.

Additionally, the regression analysis offered additional evidence for the correlation between the utilization of adaptive technology and cognitive flexibility. This correlation remained significant even when accounting for demographic factors such as age, gender, and diagnostic status. This discovery implies that adaptive learning technology can directly and autonomously impact the cognitive flexibility abilities of students with exceptional needs. This is different from previous studies that did not specifically investigate how adaptive technologies contribute to the development of cognitive flexibility. Therefore, it enhances our knowledge of the mechanisms that explain the effectiveness of technology-based interventions in special education (Hampton and Chow, 2021; Schubert et al., 2021).

In addition, the Pearson correlation analysis indicated that there were weak and statistically insignificant correlations between cognitive flexibility scores and demographic variables such as age and gender. This suggests that age and gender may have limited impact on cognitive flexibility skills among special education students. This discovery contradicts prior ideas on the correlation between demographic factors and cognitive flexibility, indicating that variations in technology usage may have a more substantial impact on cognitive results. Furthermore, the ANOVA analysis revealed a noteworthy disparity in cognitive flexibility scores among students with varying diagnostic statuses. Specifically, students diagnosed with ADHD demonstrated elevated levels of cognitive flexibility in comparison to those with other diagnoses or specific learning disabilities. This comprehensive comprehension of the correlation between diagnostic status and cognitive flexibility offers useful insights for customizing interventions and support techniques to address the varied requirements of kids with exceptional needs.

Moreover, the results of this study emphasize the need of taking into account variations among individuals and employing tailored methods in the field of special education. Although demographic factors such as age, gender, and diagnostic status did not have a significant impact on cognitive flexibility scores in this study, the importance of adaptive learning technology became evident as a critical determinant. This underscores the capacity of technology-driven interventions to offer customized assistance and guidance for students with varied learning profiles, according to their distinct cognitive and educational requirements.

Furthermore, the findings of this study have practical ramifications for educators and policymakers engaged in creating and executing technology-enhanced learning environments in special education contexts. Educators may make educated judgments about integrating technology tools and platforms into instructional practices by acknowledging the substantial influence of adaptive technologies on cognitive flexibility abilities. In addition, authorities should allocate resources and provide support for the creation and implementation of evidence-based adaptive technology solutions in special education curriculum.

Notwithstanding the benefits of this work, it is important to highlight numerous limitations. Firstly, the utilization of self-report measures and subjective evaluations of adaptive technology usage and cognitive flexibility may create partiality and measurement inaccuracy. Subsequent studies might utilize more impartial and uniform criteria to evaluate patterns of technology usage and cognitive results. Furthermore, the study's sample size and breadth were constrained to a particular geographic area, which may not accurately reflect the wider community of kids with special needs. Hence, it is advisable to take caution when extrapolating the findings to different situations or people.

Moreover, although this study only examined cognitive flexibility as a primary metric, next investigations might investigate other cognitive and academic areas that can be impacted by adaptive learning technology. Studying the influence of adaptive technologies on executive function abilities, such as working memory, inhibition, and planning, might enhance our knowledge of how they affect cognitive functioning as a whole. Furthermore, conducting longitudinal studies that monitor kids' advancement over lengthy durations might provide clarity on the enduring impact and viability of adaptive technology treatments in the field of special education.

6. Recommendations

It is crucial for educators and administrators to provide top priority to professional development and training programs that will assist instructors in successfully incorporating and utilizing adaptive technology in their classrooms. Equipping educators with the essential expertise and understanding to choose, modify, and incorporate adaptive technology tools into teaching methods can augment their capacity to cater to the varied requirements of children with special needs.

The developers and designers of adaptive learning technologies should give priority to creating user-friendly and accessible platforms that cater to the distinct requirements and preferences of special education students. This encompasses the integration of characteristics such as adaptable interfaces, varied modes of presenting information, and inherent assistance for students with varying learning preferences and capabilities. Furthermore, it is crucial to have continuous cooperation among educators, researchers, and technology developers in order to guarantee that adaptive technologies are grounded in empirical data, receptive to user input, and in accordance with the most effective methods in special education.

Moreover, it is imperative for policymakers and funding agencies to give resources and provide support for research efforts that attempt to assess the efficacy and expandability of adaptive technology interventions in the field of special education.

Longitudinal studies that monitor students' advancement over time, rigorous experimental designs, and large-scale implementation studies can offer useful insights into the lasting effects and durability of adaptive technology treatments. Furthermore, policymakers should contemplate providing incentives for the adoption and execution of evidence-based adaptive technology solutions by means of financing opportunities, grants, and policy incentives.

Parents and caregivers have a vital role in promoting the use of adaptive learning technology and ensuring that their children have access to suitable resources and assistance. Parents should proactively communicate with educators and school authorities to discuss their child's specific requirements and preferences and investigate the many adaptive technology choices that are accessible. In addition, parents can facilitate their child's utilization of adaptive technologies at home and offer input to educators and developers on their child's encounters and advancements.

Funding: The author extends their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Large Research Groups under grant number [RGP.2 / 311 /45].

Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

Abualrish, M. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). Using Social Media as a Platform of Communication Between School Administration and the Local Communities to Promote Inclusive Education for Children with Special Needs. Studies in Media and Communication, 12(2), 79. https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6560

Aburezeq, I. M., Dweikat, F., Al-Shaar, A. S., Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Case Study on the Dissemination of Radicalism on Social Media Information Sciences Letters, 11(6), 2339–2343. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/110640

AL Hadhrami, A. S., Raja Al-Amrat, M. G., Khasawneh, M. A. S., et al. (2022). Approach to Improve Reading Skill of Students with Dyslexia. Information Sciences Letters, 11(6), 2333–2338. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/110639

Alanazi, A. S., Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A.S. (2023). Exploring the E-learning supporting systems for students with special needs. Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental, 17(7), e03917. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v17n7-023

Alkhasawneh, T., Al-Shaar, A. S., Khasawneh, M., Darawsheh, S. (2022). Self-Esteem and its Relationship to some Demographic Variables among Students with Learning Disabilities. Information Sciences Letters, 11(6), 1929–1936. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/110609

Alkhazaleh, M., Khasawneh, M. A. S., Alkhazaleh, Z. M. et al. (2022). An Approach to Assist Dyslexia in Reading Issue: An Experimental Study. Social Space, 22(3), 133-151.

Almulla, A. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Role of Libraries in Raising the Efficiency of Students with Special Needs in Academic Performance from The Point of View of Teachers. Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental, 18(2), e04865. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v18n2-056

Alomari, M. A., Alqudah, R. A., Al Rub, M. O. A. (2023). The Role of Media in Educational Social Construction of Children with Special Needs Information Sciences Letters, 12(7), 2933–2940. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120720

Alomari, M. A., Daradkah, S. A., Al Rub, M. O. A. et al. (2023). Utilization of Multimedia Services in Libraries for Students with Disabilities. Information Sciences Letters, 12(7), 2891–2897. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120717

Alqudah, H., Mohammad, F. S. S. A., Khasawneh, Y. J. A., et al. (2024). Assessing the influence of parental involvement on the effectiveness of gamified early childhood education in Jordan. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(3), 1977–1984. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.1.015

Alrishan, A. M. A. Alwaely, H., S., Alshammari, A. K. et al. (2023). The Impact of the Teacher's Personality on the Motivation of Learning the English Language Among Governmental School Students in Saudi Arabia. Information Sciences Letters, 12(3), 1223–1230. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120313

Al-Rousan, A. H., Ayasrah, M. N., Khasawneh, M. A. S (2023). Psychological Stability and its Relationship to Academic Performance Among Secondary School Students. Information Sciences Letters, 12(3), 1469–1478. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120335

Al–Sabi, Y. N., Jaradat, S. A., Ayasrah, M. N., Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2022). Shyness and its Relation with Self-esteem in Light of Some Variables. Information Sciences Letters, 11(6), 2345–2352. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/110641

Alsarhan, K. E., Salaemh, S. A., Khasawneh, A. J, et al. (2023). Aspects of the Ayyubids Patronage of Jerusalem 583 AH/ 1187 AD - 658 AH/ 1260 AD. Information Sciences Letters, 12(6), 2699–2706. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120640

Alsrehan, H., Alhrahsheh, R., AlOdwan, S. S., et al. (2024). Virtual reality socialization groups on Facebook: A new frontier for children with social anxiety disorder. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(2), 1229–1236. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.011

Alwaely, S. A., Almousa, N. A., Helali, M. M., et al. (2024). Teacher-student rapport and gamified learning: Investigating the role of interpersonal variables in classroom integration. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(2), 1319–1324. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.003

Alwaely, S. A., El-Zeiny, M. E., Alqudah, H., et al. (2023). The Impact of Teacher Evaluation on Professional Development and Student Achievement. Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental, 17(7), e03484. https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v17n7-022

Badilla-Quintana, M. G., Sepulveda-Valenzuela, E., & Salazar Arias, M. (2020). Augmented Reality as a Sustainable Technology to Improve Academic Achievement in Students with and without Special Educational Needs. Sustainability, 12(19), 8116. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12198116

Bandura, A. (1989). Human agency in social cognitive theory. American Psychologist, 44(9), 1175–1184. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.44.9.1175

Baniawwad, A. H., Tarif, L. I. B., Rady, Y., et al. (2024). Influence of Assistive Technology Training on Educators Performance in Saudi Special Education: With the Mediating Role of Educators Knowledge and Educators Self-Efficacy. Eurasian Journal of Educational Research, 110(110), 1-17.

Bernius, J. P., Krusche, S., & Bruegge, B. (2022). Machine learning based feedback on textual student answers in large courses. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3, 100081. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100081

Chatzara, K., Karagiannidis, C., & Stamatis, D. (2014). Cognitive support embedded in self-regulated e-learning systems for students with special learning needs. Education and Information Technologies, 21(2), 283–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-014-9320-1

Chen, X., Zou, D., Cheng, G., et al. (2022). Blockchain in smart education: Contributors, collaborations, applications and research topics. Education and Information Technologies, 28(4), 4597–4627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11399-5

Clerc, J., Leclercq, M., Paik, J., et al. (2021). Cognitive flexibility and strategy training allow young children to overcome transfer-Utilization Deficiencies. Cognitive Development, 57, 100997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2020.100997

Darawsheh, S. R., Asha, I. K. K., AbuSaif, R., et al. (2023). An outline of the professional quality of teachers who teach children with special needs. Journal of Education and E-Learning Research, 10(3), 358–363. https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i3.4711

Dorfman, L. Ya., & Kalugin, A. Yu. (2020). Resources, Potentials and Academic Achievements of Students. Part 2. From Differentiation to Integration of Resources, Potentials and Academic Achievements of Students. The Education and Science Journal, 22(5), 90–110. https://doi.org/10.17853/1994-5639-2020-5-90-110

Dougnon, G., & Matsui, H. (2022). Modelling Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Using Mice and Zebrafish. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 23(14), 7550. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23147550

Elsharkasy, A. (2023). Emotional Intelligence for English Students with Learning Disabilities in Light of Some Variables Information Sciences Letters, 12(3), 1373–1384. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120327

Groom, M. J., Kochhar, P., Hamilton, A., et al. (2017). Atypical Processing of Gaze Cues and Faces Explains Comorbidity between Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 47(5), 1496–1509. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3078-4

Hampton, L. H., & Chow, J. C. (2021). Deeply Tailoring Adaptive Interventions: Enhancing Knowledge Generation of SMARTs in Special Education. Remedial and Special Education, 43(3), 195–205. https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325211030669

Holzweiss, P., & Walker, D. (2016). Ethics in Higher Education: Using Collective Experiences to Enhance New Professional Training. Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice, 53(4), 429–443. https://doi.org/10.1080/19496591.2016.1202836

Horowitz-Kraus, T. (2013). Differential Effect of Cognitive Training on Executive Functions and Reading Abilities in Children with ADHD and in Children with ADHD Comorbid with Reading Difficulties. Journal of Attention Disorders, 19(6), 515–526. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054713502079

Interpersonal Communication Model for Children with Special Needs. (2023). Information Sciences Letters, 12(6), 2469–2474. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120623

Jarrah, H. Y., Bilal, D. A., Halim, M., et al. (2024). The impact of storytelling and narrative variables on skill acquisition in gamified learning. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(2), 1161–1168. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.11.018

Johnson, N. F. (2019). Temporal digital control: Theorizing the use of digital technologies to provide a temporal autonomous space. Time & Society, 29(3), 794–812. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961463x19886739

Kharkhurin, A. V. (2017). Language Mediated Concept Activation in Bilingual Memory Facilitates Cognitive Flexibility. Frontiers in Psychology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01067

Khasawneh, Dr. Y. J. A., & Khasawneh, Dr. M. A. S. (2023). Availability of Voice-Recognition Devices to Support Visually Impaired Students in Saudi Arabian Universities. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 14(3), 186–193. https://doi.org/10.58346/jowua.2023.i3.014

Khasawneh, M. (2023). Social Attitude of Children with Special Needs in The Learning Process. Medical Archives, 77(2), 149. https://doi.org/10.5455/medarh.2023.77.149-153

Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Efficacy of a Programme Utilizing Digital Learning Technology in Fostering the Life Skills of Students with Learning Disabilities. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 23(4), 18–33. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.23.4.2

Kumar, V., & Upadhyay, R. (2021). Reflection and data based adaptive teaching strategy for student centric learning. Academia Letters. https://doi.org/10.20935/al4135

Lajoie, S. P., & Gube, M. (2018). Adaptive expertise in medical education: Accelerating learning trajectories by fostering self-regulated learning. Medical Teacher, 40(8), 809–812. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159x.2018.1485886

Luoma, J. B., & Vilardaga, J. P. (2013). Improving Therapist Psychological Flexibility While Training Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: A Pilot Study. Cognitive Behaviour Therapy, 42(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2012.701662

Mansour, A., Harahsheh, F., Wazani, K. W., et al. (2024). The influence of social media, big data, and data mining on the evolution of organizational behavior: Empirical study in Jordanian telecommunication sector. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(3), 1929–1940. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2024.1.020

McCormick, E. M., & Telzer, E. H. (2017, March 1). Adaptive Adolescent Flexibility: Neurodevelopment of Decision-making and Learning in a Risky Context. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 29(3), 413-423. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01061

Mennetrey, C., & Angeard, N. (2018). Cognitive flexibility training in three-year-old children. Cognitive Development, 48, 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogdev.2018.08.004

Mutual Relationships: Saudi Universities and The Private Sector for Economic Developmen. (2023). Information Sciences Letters, 12(8), 2643–2652. https://doi.org/10.18576/isl/120818

Nser, K. K., Alhrahsheh, R., Alshalabi, N., et al. (2024). Multimodal Social Network Analysis: Exploring Language, Visual, and Audio Data in Online Communities. Studies in Media and Communication, 12(2), 148. https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i2.6666

Numan Al Sabi, Y., Abdulwahab Jaradat, S., Saleem Al Taqatqa, F. A., et al. (2022). Using Social Media Network by Special Education Teachers. International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education (IJCRSEE), 10(2), 39–50. https://doi.org/10.23947/2334-8496-2022-10-2-39-50

Ozturk, Y. (2022). Parent Rated Bedtime Resistance and Comorbidity May Predict Levels of Attention Among Turkish Children Diagnosed with ADHD in On-Line Education Classes During the COVID-19. Northern Clinics of Istanbul. https://doi.org/10.14744/nci.2022.77674

Paphiti, M., & Eggers, K. (2022). Cognitive flexibility in younger and older children who stutter. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1017319

Peñarrubia, M., Navarro-Soria, I., Palacios, J., et al. (2021). ADHD Symptomatology, Executive Function and Cognitive Performance Differences between Family Foster Care and Control Group in ADHD-Diagnosed Children. Children, 8(5), 405. https://doi.org/10.3390/children8050405

Popham, M., Counts, J., Ryan, J. B., et al. (2018). A systematic review of self-regulation strategies to improve academic outcomes of students with EBD. Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs, 18(4), 239–253. Portico. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12408

Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Personalized and Adaptive Learning. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4638365

Saarivirta, T., & Karppinen, J. (2016). Higher Education Policy and Flexibility in University Studies - Student Experiences with Electronic Exams in Finland. Educational Practice and Theory, 38(1), 57–69. https://doi.org/10.7459/ept/38.1.05

Sahni, J. (2023). Is Learning Analytics the Future of Online Education? International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET), 18(02), 33–49. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v18i02.32167

Saleem Khasawneh, M. A. (2024). The Level of Availability of Environmental Facilities in Public Schools and Their Role in the Academic Performance of Students with Disabilities. Journal of Educational and Social Research, 14(3), 124. https://doi.org/10.36941/jesr-2024-0061

Salman, O. K., Khasawneh, Y. J. A., Alqudah, H., et al. (2024). Tailoring gamification to individual learners: A study on personalization variables for skill enhancement. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(2), 789–796. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.12.025

Saputra, M. A. (2020). A Comparison on Motivation in Learning English between Who Taught by Using Picture Stories and Who Taught by Using Conventional Technique of the Second Year Students' of Madrasah Tsanawiyah Darul Hikmah Pekanbaru. SALEE: Study of Applied Linguistics and English Education, 1(01), 39–50. https://doi.org/10.35961/salee.v1i01.76

Schubert, A.-L., Ferreira, M. B., Mata, A., et al. (2021). A diffusion model analysis of belief bias: Different cognitive mechanisms explain how cognitive abilities and thinking styles contribute to conflict resolution in reasoning. Cognition, 211, 104629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2021.104629

Tang, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence-based needs analysis for english specific purposes in digital environment. Learning and Motivation, 83, 101914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2023.101914

Tuttle, M., & Carter, E. W. (2023). Assistive Technology Use Among Students with Visual Impairments in Academic Classes. Journal of Special Education Technology. https://doi.org/10.1177/01626434231217050

Vitiello, V. E., Greenfield, D. B., Munis, P., et al. (2011). Cognitive Flexibility, Approaches to Learning, and Academic School Readiness in Head Start Preschool Children. Early Education and Development, 22(3), 388–410. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2011.538366

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). The prehistory of written discourse (French). Social Science Information, 17(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/053901847801700101

Wafa'A, H., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2024). The Correlation Between the Use of YouTube Short Videos to Enhance Foreign Language Reading and Writing Proficiency, and the Academic Performance of Undergraduates. Eurasian Journal of Educational Research, 109(109), 1-13.

Watkins, T. (2023). The Relationship between Reading Vocabulary and Reading Comprehension in a Direct Instruction Reading Program. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 9(12), 517–535. https://doi.org/10.14738/assrj.912.13683

Weymeis, H., Van Leeuwen, K., & Braet, C. (2017). Adaptive emotion regulation, academic performance and internalising problems in Flemish children with special educational needs: a pilot study. European Journal of Special Needs Education, 34(1), 124–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2017.1421601

Wiers, R. W. (2018). Cognitive Training in Addiction: Does It Have Clinical Potential? Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, 3(2), 101–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.12.008

Young, S., & Cocallis, K. (2023). A Systematic Review of the Relationship Between Neurodiversity and Psychosexual Functioning in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) or Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, Volume 19, 1379–1395. https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.s319980

Zaghlool, Z. D. M., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Aligning Translation Curricula with Technological Advancements; Insights from Artificial Intelligence Researchers and Language Educators. Studies in Media and Communication, 12(1), 58. https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v12i1.6378