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Abstract: Amidst an upsurge in the quantity of delinquent loans, the financial industry is experiencing a fundamental transformation in the approaches utilised for debt recovery. The debt collection process is presently undergoing automation and improvement through the utilisation of Artificial Intelligence (AI), an emergent technology that holds the potential to revolutionise this sector. By leveraging machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics, automated debt recovery systems analyse vast quantities of data, generate forecasts regarding the likelihood of recovery, and streamline operational processes. Debt collection systems powered by AI are anticipated to be compliant, precise, and effective. On the other hand, conventional approaches are linked to increasing expenditures and inefficiencies in operations. These solutions facilitate efficient resource allocation, customised communication, and rapid data analysis, all while minimising the need for human intervention. Significant progress has been made in data analytics, predictive modelling, and decision-making through the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in debt recovery; this has the potential to revolutionize the financial sector’s approach to debt management. The findings of the research underscore the criticality of artificial intelligence (AI) in attaining efficacy and precision, in addition to the imperative of a data-centric framework to fundamentally reshape approaches to debt collection. In conclusion, artificial intelligence possesses the capacity to profoundly transform the existing approaches utilized in debt management, thereby guaranteeing financial institutions’ sustained profitability and efficacy. The application of machine learning methodologies, including predictive modelling and logistic regression, signifies the potential of the system.
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1. Introduction

There has been a notable increase in the number of unpaid loans in the financial industry in recent years, posing a substantial challenge for companies and financial institutions worldwide. With the growing complexity and magnitude of debt-related problems, there is a commensurate need for inventive and effective methods of debt recovery. The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a revolutionary advancement in automating and improving the debt recovery process, in response to the growing need. The debt recovery sector has been greatly impacted by artificial intelligence (AI) due to its ability to analyse large datasets, identify trends, and make real-time choices. Yahiya (2023) asserts that predictive analytics and machine learning (ML) algorithms, key components of artificial intelligence (AI), empower automated debt recovery systems to evaluate risk, anticipate debtor behaviour, and improve recovery strategies.
Debt collection is a crucial aspect of financial management that involves retrieving money owing by people or companies that have failed to meet their financial responsibilities. Traditionally, debt collection operations have been carried out using manual methods that require a lot of labour and time. This approach has resulted in operational inefficiencies and higher costs for enterprises (Peng et al., 2023). Traditional approaches include beginning communication, using legal procedures, and issuing reminders—all of which may be both time-consuming and expensive. However, the advancement of technology, namely in the field of artificial intelligence (AI), has opened up a chance for a significant change in the way debt recovery is approached. According to Nasereddin et al. (2023), the need to use efficient and effective debt collection procedures has increased in proportion to the number of unpaid debts. Automated Debt Recovery Systems seek to transform the debt collection sector by using cutting-edge artificial intelligence technologies. To improve and speed up the debt collection process, these systems use predictive analytics, machine learning algorithms, and natural language processing techniques (Chen and Patel, 2021).

Several reasons contribute to the growing need for effective debt collection solutions. Significant variations in the global economic environment have mostly caused financial challenges for both people and corporations. Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been a significant increase in lending among financially struggling Americans. According to Experian figures (Daoud et al., 2023), consumer debt in the United States surged by more than one trillion dollars from 2019 to 2021, reaching a total of $15.3 trillion. Therefore, it is likely that the debt collecting sector will expand. Marketdata predicts that the market will grow at a compound yearly growth rate of 2.8% between 2022 and 2025, reaching a value of $16.7 billion by 2025. In the highly competitive field of debt collecting, this situation poses both a daunting obstacle and an opportunity. Businesses are increasingly depending on digitization and other important advancements to gather debt, as a means to maintain competitiveness (TELUS International, 2022).

Given the worsening of the problem created by the COVID-19 epidemic, it is crucial for firms to rapidly recover debts in order to maintain their financial stability (Abusaimeh et al., 2021; Smith, 2020). Moreover, conventional debt collection methods sometimes encounter obstacles such as limited capacity to expand, increased rates of mistakes, and difficulty in distinguishing debts that can be recovered from those that cannot. The banking industry has been prompted to explore technology solutions that might address these issues and enhance overall efficiency. Automated debt collection solutions use AI to swiftly and precisely examine extensive amounts of data. By using past data, machine learning models can forecast the probability of successful debt recovery, allowing businesses to target resources to accounts with a greater possibility of resolution (Abusaimeh et al., 2020; Chen and Patel, 2021; Johnson and Williams, 2019). Furthermore, natural language processing empowers these systems to comprehend and react to communication from debtors, so automating mundane procedures and freeing up humans for more intricate tasks.
2. Understanding automated debt recovery systems

Automated debt recovery systems (ADRS) refer to technology solutions that aim to improve and simplify the process of collecting overdue debts from people or companies. By using state-of-the-art algorithms, artificial intelligence (AI), and data analytics, these technologies enhance the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the debt collection process for creditors, financial institutions, and collection agencies.

Automated debt collection systems have become essential components in financial institutions and organisations, allowing for the recovery of overdue payments with minimum human involvement. These systems improve the efficiency, accuracy, and compliance with rules of debt collecting by using state-of-the-art technology. The essential elements and attributes of automated debt recovery systems include the subsequent:

- **Data Integration and Analysis**: Automated debt recovery systems collect various data, including customer records, transaction histories, conversation transcripts, and other relevant information, for the purpose of data integration and analysis. Advanced analytics are used to assess the creditworthiness, payment history, and financial situation of the debtor according to Smith and Jones (2021).

- **Predictive Modeling**: Predictive modelling systems use machine learning techniques to assess the likelihood of a debtor defaulting on payments. If debtors are chosen based on their risk profile using predictive modelling, recovery efforts might be focused on high-risk scenarios (Credit Management Association, 2020).

- **Communication Automation**: The system may send personalised messages, reminders, and alerts to debtors via automated voice calls, SMS, and email. This function ensures effective and dependable communication without the need for human involvement.

- **Compliance Management**: Debt recovery systems ensure compliance with legal and regulatory requirements by conducting checks to ensure that all communication and recovery actions conform to applicable laws, such as the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) in the United States. This diminishes the likelihood of facing legal repercussions.

- **Workflow Automation**: Workflow automation systems streamline and accelerate workflow activities, from the first interaction to issue resolution. Implementing workflow automation ensures a consistent and efficient method for debt collection, hence reducing the time and labour required for each specific situation.

- **Payment Processing Integration**: Integrating with payment processing systems enables the smooth transfer of payments by those who owe money. Automated debt collection solutions provide streamlined and secure transactions by offering a wide range of payment options.

- **Document Management**: The system’s centralised storage and management capabilities allow for effective organisation and retrieval of relevant documents, such as communication records and debt agreements. The debt collecting process is made auditable and transparent as a result (Fraihat et al., 2023).
• Scalability and Flexibility: Automated debt collection systems are designed to expand proportionally with the number of overdue accounts. Their ability to adapt to changing business needs ensures long-term viability and relevance.

• Performance Analytics and Reporting: Performance analytics and reporting: Reliable reporting systems provide information on the effectiveness of debt collection initiatives. Performance analytics aid companies in assessing essential indicators such as recovery rates, reaction times, and resource utilisation with the objective of continuously enhancing the operation.

• Security Measures: Ensuring security measures are crucial in debt collecting systems. These systems use supplementary security methods, in addition to encryption and access limitations, to safeguard sensitive debtor information and ensure compliance with data protection rules.

3. The role of artificial intelligence in debt recovery

The financial industry has seen substantial transformations in recent years as a result of the incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into diverse processes. The repayment of debt is not immune to this tendency. The use of artificial intelligence technology offers innovative solutions that improve the effectiveness and efficiency of debt collecting tactics. Machine learning (ML) algorithms, categorised as artificial intelligence (AI) tools, enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of debt collection procedures. Significant advancements have been achieved in the areas of data analytics and predictive modelling in relation to debt collection. The use of vast amounts of data has become essential in the effort to improve debt collection processes and assure the accuracy of decision-making procedures (Smith and Jones, 2020).

Machine learning algorithms:

Historically, the retrieval of debt has mostly relied on human methods, which include arduous, time-consuming, and error-prone procedures. Machine learning has enabled financial organisations to analyse large volumes of data, identify trends, and make better-informed choices using advanced algorithms. In the context of debt recovery, machine learning (ML) refers to the use of algorithms that can learn from historical data, adapt to changing conditions, and improve over time.

Types of algorithms used:

• Predictive Analytics: Predictive analytics algorithms are used to evaluate the likelihood of a debtor meeting their payment commitments by examining past data. Through the analysis of payback history, credit trends, and other relevant characteristics, these algorithms provide predictions about the probability of successful debt recovery (Wang et al., 2023).

• Decision Trees: By using decision tree algorithms, it becomes possible to create visual representations of decision-making processes. Decision trees are a significant tool in the debt collection process as they help determine the most effective sequence of activities to decrease the likelihood of failed debt collection. The maintenance of these trees is carried out based on recently obtained knowledge and is built upon previous data.
Neural Networks: Neural networks refer to computer systems that replicate the structure and functioning of the human brain. By using them in the process of debt recovery, one may analyse complex relationships within datasets and identify non-linear patterns that traditional analysis would fail to recognise. Neural networks may improve the accuracy of debt collection estimates and risk assessment (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

Natural Language Processing (NLP): NLP enable computers to understand and interpret human speech. The debt collection procedure evaluates the communication between borrowers and creditors using natural language processing. This enables the retrieval of relevant data that aids in the creation of more effective negotiating strategies and personalised communication.

Data analytics and predictive modeling:

Data analytics requires doing a thorough analysis of large datasets to extract relevant insights. In order to achieve this objective, it is important to do a comprehensive examination of many data sources pertaining to individuals who owe money, their past payment records, economic factors, and patterns in the market with regards to the retrieval of debts. According to Brown and White (2019), AI-powered algorithms demonstrate outstanding efficiency in quickly processing and understanding such data. Moreover, they possess the capacity to discern patterns and trends that human analysts may overlook. Through the use of contemporary data analytics, debt recovery firms may get a thorough understanding of debtor profiles, allowing them to customise their operations with more precision. This feature enables institutions to tailor their methods based on the financial capacities and conduct of individual debtors, hence improving the efficiency of debt collection operations.

Predictive modelling is a specific type of artificial intelligence that focuses on creating models to predict future occurrences based on past data. Predictive modelling may be used in the debt recovery process to evaluate the behaviour of debtors and estimate the likelihood of successfully collecting the debt. According to Johnson and Smith (2021), it is necessary to analyse economic indicators, payment histories, and income levels to create prediction models for understanding the actions of those who have debt. Through the use of predictive modelling, debt collection companies may focus their efforts on accounts that have a higher likelihood of achieving successful recovery. As a consequence, there is improved distribution of resources and a general rise in success rates. This proactive strategy improves the efficiency of the debt collection operation by allocating less time and resources to cases with poor potential.

4. Potential initial costs associated with implementing AI-Driven debt recovery systems

Implementing AI-driven debt recovery systems has been heralded as a significant leap forward in financial technologies, promising unparalleled efficiency and effectiveness in the management of outstanding debts. These systems, powered by artificial intelligence, can analyze vast amounts of data, predict payment behaviors, and optimize recovery strategies in ways that were previously
unattainable. Moreover, one of the major factors according to Shalf (2020) that will contribute to high initial costs associated with implementing an AI-driven debt recovery system is research and development expenses. As the technology in AI continues to evolve, most software and hardware becomes cheaper over time due to the nature of Moore’s Law. The development and testing process can take several years and require large teams of researchers - such as the AI startup “Deepmind” which has amassed hundreds of researchers in the field of computer science and machine learning (Zhu et al., 2023). Thus, an AI debt recovery system would likely use a form of reinforcement learning to optimize its methods of contacting and recovering debts from individuals. The development and testing of such algorithms would be very costly, although in the long run it may improve debt recovery methods and save money for debt recovery firms. However, the adoption of such cutting-edge technology comes with its own set of challenges, notably the potential high initial costs associated with their implementation.

Investment in Technology and Infrastructure:
Significantly, one of the primary expenses associated with AI-driven debt recovery systems is the investment in the necessary technology and infrastructure. AI systems require sophisticated hardware and software, including servers with high processing power and advanced machine learning algorithms. As noted by Kapoor et al. (2021), the implementation of AI technologies in financial services necessitates substantial upfront investment in IT infrastructure and software development, which can be a significant hurdle for many organizations.

Training and Development Costs:
Another significant cost is related to the development and training of AI models. AI systems learn from vast datasets, and preparing these datasets for use in debt recovery can be both time-consuming and expensive. The models must be trained on historical data to accurately predict customer behavior, a process that requires expertise in data science and machine learning. Additionally, these models are not static; they require continuous updates and refinements to adapt to changing patterns in debtor behavior and economic conditions, further adding to the cost (Marr, 2020).

Compliance and Security Expenses:
Ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and securing sensitive data are crucial elements of deploying AI in debt recovery. As AI systems process a large amount of personal and financial data, they must adhere to a myriad of regulations, including the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe and various other data protection laws globally. Compliance requires significant legal and technical expertise, leading to high initial costs. Moreover, the risk of data breaches necessitates substantial investment in cybersecurity measures to protect the data processed by AI systems (Li et al., 2019).

Talent Acquisition and Retention:
The scarcity of talent in AI and data science is well-documented. The specialized skills required to develop, deploy, and maintain AI-driven debt recovery systems mean that organizations often face high costs in recruiting and retaining the necessary talent. According to a report by the World Economic Forum (2020), the demand for AI specialists and data scientists is growing at a significant pace, pushing
up salaries and recruitment costs. This talent premium can significantly add to the initial costs of implementing AI-driven systems.

Operational Disruption and Training:
Implementing an AI-driven debt recovery system can lead to operational disruptions as existing processes are overhauled, and staff are trained to work with the new system. Employees across the organization, not just those in IT, need to understand how to interact with and extract the maximum value from the AI system. This transition period can result in temporary productivity losses, further adding to the implementation costs (Davenport and Ronanki, 2018).

Cost of AI Software and Hardware:
The initial cost of an AI system is significantly higher than a traditional IT or rule-based system. When implementing the AI system, the debt recovery agency will have to use vendors to purchase the AI software. The software will often require heavy modification to work with the existing systems and data formats used by the agency. The heavy modification means higher cost for the AI software. AI hardware is often designed with a specific software in mind. However, AI systems that use unsupervised learning or deep learning will also need significant computational resources to perform the calculations. This includes the cost of high-end processors and potentially large amounts of Random access memory (RAM). All of the above costs combined can be up to 10 times that of a traditional software and hardware.

5. Potential risks associated with the application of AI in debt collection

AI technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics, are increasingly employed to optimize debt recovery rates, personalize communication strategies, and automate routine tasks. However, the integration of AI in this sensitive area also introduces various potential risks that stakeholders must carefully consider such as:

Ethical and Privacy Concerns: One of the most pronounced risks is the potential for AI systems to infringe on individuals’ privacy and ethical boundaries. AI-driven debt collection tools can analyze vast amounts of personal data to predict payment behavior and tailor collection strategies accordingly. This capability raises significant privacy concerns, as sensitive information could be mishandled or inadequately protected from cyber threats (Mann, 2020). Moreover, the algorithms driving these systems might inadvertently perpetuate biases, targeting vulnerable individuals more aggressively or unfairly, thus exacerbating financial hardships for those already struggling (Barocas and Selbst, 2016).

Accuracy and Bias in AI Algorithms: The accuracy of AI algorithms is another critical concern. AI systems rely on data to make predictions and decisions. If the underlying data is biased or incomplete, the AI’s decisions will reflect these flaws, potentially leading to unfair or incorrect collection practices (O’Neil, 2016). For example, an AI system might disproportionately target certain demographics based on biased historical data, thereby discriminating against specific groups and violating fairness principles and potentially legal standards.
Transparency and Accountability Issues: AI systems can be incredibly complex, making it difficult to understand how they arrive at certain decisions. This lack of transparency can be problematic in debt collection, where individuals are entitled to clear explanations of any claims against them. If debtors cannot understand or challenge the basis of the collection efforts, this could undermine the fairness and effectiveness of the process (Burrell, 2016). Furthermore, when AI makes a decision, determining accountability can be challenging. If an AI system unlawfully harasses a debtor, for example, it may not be clear who is responsible—the creator of the AI, the debt collection agency using it, or perhaps the data providers.

Regulatory Compliance: The rapidly evolving nature of AI poses significant challenges for regulatory compliance. Debt collection practices are subject to strict regulations designed to protect consumers from unfair practices. However, AI systems might operate in ways that inadvertently breach these regulations, exposing debt collection agencies to legal risks and damaging their reputation (Engstrom, 2020). For instance, the use of AI to make unsolicited contact with debtors at odd hours or through inappropriate channels could contravene laws such as the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act in the United States.

Dependence and Overreliance: There is also the risk of overreliance on AI systems for debt collection. As agencies become more dependent on automated systems, there’s a danger that human oversight may diminish, leading to a lack of empathy and understanding in handling sensitive cases (Ahmad et al., 2024). This can result in a one-size-fits-all approach that fails to account for the unique circumstances of individual debtors, potentially leading to increased stress and financial hardship for those affected.

6. Potential challenges in adapting AI systems to evolving debt collection regulations

Regulatory compliance and adaptability:

The regulatory landscape for debt collection is complex and varies by jurisdiction. In the United States, for example, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) sets strict guidelines on how debtors can be contacted, while the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) continuously updates rules impacting collection practices (Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, 2020). Moreover, the Jordanian Data Protection Law provides a general framework for data privacy but does not address the nuances of AI-driven data processing in financial contexts (Jordanian Data Protection Law, 2020). This vagueness can lead to uncertainties in how AI systems should be designed and operated to ensure compliance, necessitating constant legal scrutiny and adjustments. AI systems must be meticulously designed to adhere to these regulations, which often involves sophisticated natural language processing (NLP) capabilities to ensure communications are compliant and respectful of debtor rights. Adapting AI systems to comply with nuanced and frequently updated regulations requires continuous monitoring and rapid implementation of changes. This adaptability challenge is compounded by the diverse legal frameworks across different states and countries, demanding a high level of customization and flexibility in AI solutions (Tavani and Moor, 2014).
Privacy and Data Protection:

The collection and analysis of debtor data through AI raise significant privacy concerns. Data protection regulations, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union, impose strict guidelines on the processing of personal data. AI systems involved in debt collection must be designed to comply with these regulations, which may include ensuring data minimization, securing consent for data processing, and providing transparency about how debtor data is used. A study by Bygrave (2020) highlights the complexities AI systems face in complying with principles like data minimization and purpose limitation in the GDPR. These challenges are exacerbated in the debt collection sector, where significant amounts of personal data are processed.

Bias and fairness:

AI systems are susceptible to biases that can arise from their training data or algorithms, leading to unfair treatment of certain groups of debtors. For example, if an AI system is trained on historical data that contains biases, it may disproportionately target certain demographics for aggressive collection practices. This not only raises ethical concerns but also legal issues, as discrimination in debt collection practices is prohibited under many regulatory frameworks. Accordingly, Barocas, Hardt, and Narayanan (2019) discuss the challenges of ensuring fairness in machine learning systems and the importance of implementing measures to detect and mitigate bias. In the context of debt collection, ensuring AI systems are fair and unbiased is crucial to comply with anti-discrimination laws.

Technical hurdles:

From a technical standpoint, the adaptability of AI systems to evolving regulations requires sophisticated mechanisms for constant learning and updating. These systems must not only incorporate changes in regulations into their operational protocols but also ensure that they do so in a way that does not compromise their effectiveness or efficiency. This demands a high level of flexibility and adaptability in the AI architecture, which can be both complex and costly to implement (Russell and Norvig, 2016). Moreover, ensuring the transparency and explainability of AI decisions is a significant challenge. Regulators are increasingly demanding that financial institutions provide clear rationales for decisions made by their AI systems, especially in sensitive areas like debt collection. This requirement for explainability can be difficult to meet for certain types of AI, such as deep learning models, which are inherently opaque (Castelvecchi, 2016).

7. Ethical consideration in adapting AI in debt collection

Transparency and Accountability: AI algorithms used in debt collection must be transparent, and the decision-making process should be understandable to all stakeholders. It is crucial to ensure that AI systems do not operate as “black boxes”, where decisions are made without explanation. Establishing accountability mechanisms and disclosing the criteria used for debt assessment promotes trust and enables stakeholders to challenge unfair decisions. Moreover, transparency mitigates the risk of algorithmic bias, ensuring equitable treatment of debtors across diverse socio-economic backgrounds.
Fairness and Non-Discrimination: AI algorithms must be designed and trained to avoid perpetuating biases present in historical debt collection practices. Discriminatory practices based on factors such as race, gender, or socio-economic status should be actively identified and eliminated from AI models. Implementing fairness-aware algorithms and regularly auditing AI systems for bias can help mitigate the risk of unintentional discrimination. Additionally, ensuring diversity and inclusivity in data collection and model development processes enhances the fairness of AI-driven debt collection practices.

Data Privacy and Security: Debt collection involves the handling of sensitive personal information, making data privacy and security paramount concerns. AI systems must comply with relevant privacy regulations, such as Jordan’s Personal Data Protection Law, to safeguard individuals’ privacy rights. Adopting robust encryption techniques, access controls, and data anonymization methods minimizes the risk of unauthorized access or misuse of personal data. Moreover, establishing clear policies for data retention and disposal ensures that collected information is used responsibly and ethically.

Consumer Consent and Communication: Prior consent from debtors should be obtained before deploying AI systems in debt collection processes. Transparent communication about the use of AI, its capabilities, and its potential impact on debt resolution empowers debtors to make informed decisions. Providing accessible channels for feedback and addressing concerns regarding AI-driven debt collection practices fosters a culture of accountability and respect for consumer rights. Furthermore, offering alternative methods for debt resolution and facilitating financial education initiatives promotes a collaborative approach to debt management.

Human Oversight and Intervention: While AI can enhance efficiency in debt collection, human oversight remains essential to ensure ethical decision-making and address complex cases that require empathy and understanding. Integrating AI tools with human expertise enables a balance between automation and human judgment, allowing for context-sensitive interventions when necessary. Continuous training and monitoring of AI systems by qualified professionals help identify and rectify algorithmic errors or discrepancies, reinforcing trust in AI-driven debt collection practices.

8. Theoretical framework

Logistic Regression:

The linear regression (LR) model, which was developed in the early nineteenth century, is a regression model (Cramer, 2002). An important distinction between LR and other regression models is that the response variable is inherently discrete. The binary logistic regression (LR) technique aims to optimise the coefficients in the equation $Y^0 = \alpha + \beta x^0$, where $\alpha$ represents the intercept and $x^0$ represents the input variables corresponding to observation $i$ (Hosmer et al., 2013). The binary LR is limited to predicting values between zero and one because of its confined range. To achieve this goal, the sigmoid function $\pi(x^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\pi(x^i)}}$ may be used as seen in Figure 1. For each observation $x^{(i)}$ the prediction $\pi(x^i)$ was figured.
Figure 1. The sigmoid function (Hedblom and Åkerblom, 2019).

The optimization aim in LR is to minimize the prediction error, using the likelihood function as the error function.

\[ l(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \pi(x^{(i)})^{y^{(i)}} [1 - \pi(x^{(i)})]^{1-y^{(i)}} \]  

Equation (1) shows the probability function, where \( y^{(i)} \) represents the binary response variable linked with \( x^{(i)} \). The equation represents this function. Maximising the likelihood function is identical to increasing the logarithm of the probability, since the logarithm is a monotonic function (Hedblom and Åkerblom, 2019). The log likelihood function is used in this inquiry as the prediction for LR due to its equivalence. The function in question is defined by Equation (2).

\[ L(\beta) = \ln l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y^{(i)} \ln[\pi(x^{(i)})] + (1 - y^{(i)}) \ln[1 - \pi(x^{(i)})] \right) \]  

In order for the model to iterate towards an optimal solution the partial derivative with respect to the weight \( \beta_j \) is computed for each weight \( j \). The derivative of the log likelihood function is represented by the Equation (3).

\[ \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y^{(i)} - \pi(x^{(i)}) \right) x_j^{(i)} \]  

The partial derivative \( \beta_j \) is calculated for each weight \( j \) to guarantee that the model may iteratively approach the ideal potential solution. The derivative of the log likelihood function is derived to Equation (3).

By setting the derivative equal to zero, it becomes apparent that this optimisation issue does not have a solution that can be expressed in a simple mathematical formula. Therefore, LR will use an iterative method to address the problem. The procedure involves the following sequential steps: calculating the prediction error, adjusting the weights by gradient ascent, and repeating this iteration until a preset threshold is exceeded (Hedblom and Kerblom, 2019). The weight modifications are being executed by the gradient ascent technique, following Equation (4).

\[ \beta_j^{(t)} = \beta_j^{(t-1)} + \eta \frac{\partial L(\beta^{(t-1)})}{\partial \beta_j} \]  

where \( \eta \) is the step size taken in the gradient’s direction for each iteration \( t \).
As a result, logistic regression is an excellent tool for assessing the likelihood of debt recovery outcomes. By integrating artificial intelligence, the model may improve its evaluations of insolvency patterns and collecting tactics with more accuracy and effectiveness. Logistic regression, a statistical approach, is used to tackle issues associated with binary categorization. Therefore, it is an acceptable approach for predicting the actions of those who owe money. The sigmoid function is used to convert raw data into a probability score, making it easier to identify people who are likely to fail on their payments. The model improves its ability to predict delinquent conduct by analysing past data, which allows it to gain insights into patterns and relationships. The study highlights the need of using artificial intelligence into debt collection systems, with a specific focus on the need for efficiency and accuracy in the process. To align with the ever-changing financial technology environment, a data-driven approach to debt management may be applied by using logistic regression with a sigmoid function. This research significantly enhances the existing discussion about artificial intelligence in the banking industry by showcasing its potential to completely transform debt collection processes. This study aims to elucidate the use of sophisticated analytics in order to improve decision-making and accelerate debt recovery, eventually leading to superior financial outcomes. This research is being done at a period of accelerated use of automated technologies by companies.

9. Conclusion

In conclusion, the use of artificial intelligence into the debt collection process has a significant and revolutionary effect on the financial industry. Automated systems, driven by artificial intelligence, improve efficiency, accuracy, and compliance with regulations. Examples of such technologies include predictive analytics and natural language processing. These innovations enable proactive assessments of risks, customised communication, and focused allocation of resources, all of which lead to higher rates of debt collection. The use of artificial intelligence for debt collection is a crucial measure that arises in response to the increased number of unpaid debts, which has been amplified by the COVID-19 epidemic. Artificial intelligence has the potential to completely transform present debt management methods, ensuring the long-term profitability and effectiveness of financial institutions. The potential is shown by the use of machine learning techniques, including logistic regression and predictive modelling.

10. Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, here are some key recommendations that were deemed necessary:

1) Financial institutions and organizations in the debt collection sector should consider adopting automated debt recovery systems powered by artificial intelligence. These systems leverage predictive analytics, machine learning algorithms, and natural language processing to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of debt recovery processes.
2) Implement predictive analytics and modeling tools to assess the likelihood of successful debt recovery. By analyzing large datasets, financial organizations can identify trends, assess debtor behavior, and make informed decisions. Predictive modeling, including logistic regression with a sigmoid function, can be particularly effective in evaluating insolvency patterns and optimizing collection tactics.

3) Encourage ongoing research and development initiatives in the field of AI for debt recovery. The financial industry should explore innovative technologies and methodologies to further improve the effectiveness of debt collection processes and stay ahead of emerging challenges.
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