

Article

Policy palette: A spectrum of legal and educational challenges in the political landscape

Gunawan Widjaja^{1,*}, Raja Pathak², Bhanu Pratap Singh³, Deepak Kem⁴, Sharon M. Dreisbach⁵,
A. H. Al-Kassem⁶, Chandra Shekhar Singh⁷

¹ Faculty of Law Universitas, Depok, West Java, Jakarta 16424, Indonesia

² Inter-University Centre for Teacher Education, BHU, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh 221005, India

³ Institute of Legal Studies and Research, GLA University, Mathura U.P. 281406, India

⁴ Dr. KRN Centre for Dalit and Minorities Studies Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi 110025, India

⁵ Skyline University College, Sharjah P.O. Box 1797, United Arab Emirates

⁶ School of Business Skyline University, Sharjah P.O. Box 1797, United Arab Emirates

⁷ Lovely professional University, Phagwara 144411, Punjab, India

* Corresponding author: Gunawan Widjaja, widjaja_gunawan@yahoo.com

CITATION

Widjaja G, Pathak R, Singh BP, et al. (2024). Policy palette: A spectrum of legal and educational challenges in the political landscape. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*. 8(10): 7053.
<https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i10.7053>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 11 June 2024

Accepted: 29 August 2024

Available online: 29 September 2024

COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 by author(s).
Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development is published by EnPress Publisher, LLC. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract: The creation of points where law, politics and education policies take intersection is a very complex and dynamic environment determined by philosophical shifts, economic problems, and social dynamics. This study dissects various complicated challenges facing the process of the framing of educational policies and their implementation which have become rampant due to the rapid political transformations. The researched evaluation is applied via both qualitative and quantitative methods, including juridical research, case and best practices studies and surveys, with the descriptive nature of the research as the main tool. The heart of the essay is three main themes - the contention between the rigidity of the academic standards and the holistic growth of students, its possible effects when students are too identified with a test-centric approach as their knowledge is sacrificed for their test scores, and the inclusion of rights and protections for underrepresented populations even when faced with a government's resistance. Similarly, the research examines the perils of creating legislation too quickly, especially, because of unexpected side effects and interpretation conflicts. Findings show profound demographic differentials over districts which implies the designing and implementation of policies need to be modified accordingly. Unless a certain policy brings the best outcomes in the learning process, then nobody should choose it even if it means disrupting student well-being and decreasing their involvement. It is also emblematic of how cross-party cooperation and stakeholders' understanding are important aspects of fairly dealing with complicated policy environments.

Keywords: education policy; legislation; politics; implementation issues; stakeholder participation

1. Introduction

Policies and politics are inseparable: one affects and molds the other so that the relationships between the two are not only complicated but implicit as well. Legislative policies governing. Education occupies a unique place at the intersection of law, politics, and social values, by which society tries to realize its key aspirations while expressing contradictions of different groups. My paper aims to draw a picture of the range of legal and educational policy issues that are experienced in a changing political environment (Blackwell and Fritz, 2004). Policy formation in this case considers how ideological changes, economic stresses, technological innovations, and

the complex social dynamics result in new challenges appearing even as they enmesh established policy regimes and implementation pathways.

At the core of a majority of today's policy debates are the issues about the aim of public education and the place of the government's authority, as well as the understanding of rights and freedom and interpretations of equality and possibility. Policymakers must balance multiple pressing concerns: among the plethora of issues under discussion concerning improved academic outcomes, increase in school choice options, arguments on curriculum content, as well as arguments related to school funding formulas, and more (India, 2009). The deep-rooted issues that these specific policies address are more fundamental state-level dilemmas of whether education is an individual or a collective responsibility, a private or public interest, and a key tool for uniting society or celebrating diversity. The responses are highly subjective and you have to consider your philosophy too (Prabhu and Joseph, 2006).

The political polarization of our modern times seems to have added more contention and difficulties to policy-making. Lobbying is aggressive and groups of interest put pressure on policy-makers in quest of laws in their interests. Policy analysis is commonly used for furthering existing stances instead of helping to evaluate options. It replaces tradeoffs with a black-and-white view of policy options (Raman, 2006). The capacity for compromise across divisions has been resuscitated with difficulty. Still, the established policies that are ethically grounded and effective in the long run require, to some extent, bipartisan teamwork with a large group of stakeholders. The political landscape is a key element of this process. It determines what policies will be put forward and what policies will be approved. However, it is also important to understand how those policies will be produced on the ground (Setty, and Ross, 1987). Policy making is a risky endeavor in the current climate with the reality that control over legislators and executives constantly becomes a swing issue as parties with opposing ideologies alternate in power.

Additionally, the political realm is surrounded by other economic, technological, and societal causes that produce policy needs. An increase in the intensity of calls for education reform has just recently happened since there are mountains of concerns that students cannot compete in the job market because of their insufficient training. If there is a paradigm shift in the forms of machine learning, robotics, and AI to the job sphere, authorities are challenged to figure out how they can cater to modern education (Sripati and Thiruvengadam, 2004). Equitable and equitable access to early education and college education is the most important in the present time when the gap between people and their demographic structure is getting wider. The widespread penetration of digital technologies as well beyond the class regional environment is going to be an area of condemnation by the policymakers. They will have issues to grapple with like data security, appropriate usage, equitable distribution, and so on. The policy must, however, be flexible enough to cater to different alternative solutions.

Through the following analysis, this research is meant to highlight the dialogue among law, politics, and education that is inherent to this incoherent policy landscape. It compares the proposals made by different policy groups, studies the proposals in different settings, and demonstrates the dynamics of implementation (Mehta and Arun, 2013). We intend to adopt a mixed method for this policy evaluation—a combination of full legal analysis, scholarship of law, and research on comparative cases to form

policy, the spectrum of choices in a particular policy with their tradeoff. Beyond specific proposals, the analysis weighs overarching themes: the roles of schooling, the issue of human rights, the problems of common locality and commonness as well as the drive for quality.

According to Dodge and Metze (2024), policy framings are not value-free but contain causal narratives, moral assessments, and political prescriptions for action. How educational challenges are constructed and problematized within policy discourses encodes presumptions about the nature of the problems as well as notions of how they ought to be addressed (Warriner and Anderson, 2017). For example, discussing unequal outcomes solely as a problem of low-skilled workers may require different solutions than when they are seen through the lens of systemic racism or the absence of social protection systems. The language itself may embody such interpretive frames through which meaning is constructed. The focus should be on the values, particularly ideas of causality and responsibility which are prevalent in the discourse of policy (Fischer, 2003). Analyzing how problems in educational policy are framed explicates the politics of knowledge and opens other possibilities. This sensitivity enhances policy analysis as it captures the historical, social, and political contexts of the ‘problems’ and ‘solutions’ that otherwise may be viewed as given or objective.

These policy challenges just emphasize the idea that the US government should be very cautious and principled when they are going to make achievements in this area. When two or more cultures with different values and priorities co-exist in a society, disagreements would break out (Diem et al., 2019). However, the policy-making processes which are about collective problem solving, require setting aside the divisions and looking out for the common goals for sustainability. This research goes out to decipher the multidimensional terrain to explore the span of tradeoffs and hence open the door to the expanse of options. Here, a rather broad policy palette has been designed, and it necessitates healthy discussions to be held among us for a valid judgment (Fowler, 2013). While it may be tough, through determination and collaboration, we can find implementable solutions to equalize educational chances that are facing the obstructive barrier of political polarization. However, it also entails trying to figure out how to solve those legal and ethical dilemmas without agreeing on everything.

2. Literature review

Law and education policies quite often find themselves amid a political battleground where different interests and viewpoints all find each other’s existence. Researchers have studied the landscape of this multifaceted issue integrating, among others, the obstacles around adopting effective policies, the implication of law and education reforms in this regard, and the needs of various stakeholders. Here follows a detailed account of the main datasets that have been used to analyze the legality, politics, and education-related problems in the law sphere.

2.1. The significance of an ideology and values as the guideline for laws and policies

A bunch of studies examined how precise ideologies and the values of lawmakers and the public population have a significant impact on the formulation of laws and education policies. Conservative, liberal, and libertarian schools of thought normally contend over issues such as school choices, curriculum standards, affirmative action, and education priorities (Gill et al., 2016). Scientists discovered that people with a strong adherence to their party or ideology often resort to dysfunction, concession, or policies that are vulnerable to a reversal after elections are decided by a power shift (Hodges and Lamb, 2019). As Hodges and Lamb (2019) contends, educational policy has “become symbolic political arenas, which are not a result of the research study but [reflect] the reflection of the ongoing value clashes in the society.

2.2. Legal and political measures to avert inequality

One of the major research fields the paper looks into is how laws and policies are supposed to bring social and race/ethnic educational inequalities at bay. Policies to give financial compensation to high-poverty schools (Shuffelton, 2015), affirmative action in the process of college admissions, and lawsuits in the cases of unequal school resources (Welton et al., 2017) try to create equality but these efforts confront right-wing challenges. Neoliberal ideas have always been met with a lot of resistance from society as they are seen to undermine democracy in schools. The school vouchers, for instance, have remained controversial (Center for Public Justice, 2020). Policymakers face the dilemma of finding the right balance between academic achievement, equitable opportunity, and measures that reduce inequality as well as questions regarding parents’ rights, local control, and privatization.

2.3. The role of governance in the creation of standards and accountability is another critical consideration

A lot of scholars worked on educational governance and its challenges in connection to academic standards and accountability rules. These laws are enacted on the federal and state levels like the No Child Left Behind Act and Race to the Top (Jogindrakumār, 2009). These policies, however, tend to be concerned mainly with looking for the outcomes and developing schools that are not performing well but are confronted with critics around over-testing, unrealistic goals, and lack of resources sometimes and relying mostly on sanctions without building any capacity (Dutta and Nikunjalata, 1989). Studies reveal the uncoordinated statewide programs and implementation failures testifying to the weaknesses within the framework (Edgar and Thurston, 1975). The assessment of the issues related to the Every Student Succeeds Act led to the debates synonymous with whether states should have full control or the federal government should continue to play its role as it stands among the competing demands.

2.4. Legal institutions and policies for students of different ethnicity and life positions

A significant aspect of the research is the legal precautions that should be taken

for the rights and equal opportunities of the diversity represented in the student body. Among the federal laws, a few key pieces of legislation such as the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Section 504, Title IX and VI seek to neutralize the impact of discrimination on a student's educational career (Government of Telangana, 2015). State laws do not only address issues of bullying/harassment and LGBTQ students but also the rights of the students (Haimendorf, 1976). Although a problem of rights balancing, cases of private discrimination claims, and conservative opposition occur, we should be hopeful for the future. Nevertheless, the maintenance of discipline policies in equal measure for safety, equality, and students' rights is also highly debatable. Legal ideals particularly for a huge number of distressed students are often not met by classrooms.

2.5. Hypothesis and proposed model

The education and law spheres display an array of cobweb-like complexity. Policymakers are always faced with the prospect of reconciling contrasting pressures while preparing to act to bring about that all-important benefit to society as a whole. Each brushstroke of the newly enacted policies and rules may produce some unintended consequences that can affect the effectiveness or, perhaps, even the direction of the intended impact. This research article, "Policy Palette: "Challenges in the Legal and Educational Spectrums of the Political World," is a broad study that covers vital central issues in policy debates in the United States of America. As for education policy, challenges entail disagreements about the issues of standardized tests, academic standards, discipline, and social and emotional learning programs. Issues of the curriculum are constantly arising. Especially, they are continuing regarding the right balance between academics and whole child development, however, the students from less fortunate backgrounds. In the legal context among the most important are the problems concerning rights for the people of the marginal groups, criminal justice reforms, health care access, and technological regulation that have been the cause of the political and practical problems after their enactments. In terms of both academic and non-academic domains, there are debatable aspects such as what the federal, state, and district policies may be responsible for resulting outcomes. This article examines recent research, highlighting the main issues, concerning trends and inferences across five areas—attainment-based rewards, equality and access, unintended outcomes, interpretation divergences and socio-emotional trade-offs. The political spectrum is provided with examples of policy opinions from which both areas of common ground and continuous debate among the specialists are seen. The primary aim is to achieve a truthful, moving picture that tells both the hardships facing educational leaders, decision-makers, and advocates while showing the movement of such discussions.

2.6. Hypotheses

H1: The implementation of tighter academic rules contributes to better academic performance at the cost of lesser wellness and engagement.

H2: States of affairs that focus on test results run the risk of ignoring such skills as critical thinking and social-emotional skills.

H3: Administrative requirements that are dedicated to the expansion of the rights

and the protections of the marginalized further government opposition and difficulties of implementation.

H4: Policies that are rushed quickly, in response to the focusing events, generally have side effects that reformers did not anticipate.

H5: One of the problems that arise from ambiguously written policies is that they are interpreted differently and various jurisdictions may also differ in how they are enforced.

3. Methodology

The investigation is based on a mixed methodology and involves quantitative and qualitative data to be applied for legal and educational policy analysis in different political situations.

A major component of the qualitative research involved filling out a survey that the researcher administered to 500 representatives from the three target groups of policymakers, lawyers, and educators in India where there was a need to have more representation of the political systems some of which are fully developed while others are still emerging. The survey consisted of thirty multiple choice and Likert scale questions which were aimed at assessing the respondent's opinions on policy matters in their local communities such as education funding, curriculum, and legal frameworks, and partisan interest in policy.

The key subject of this part is semi-structured interviews with policy experts and academics (15 each) who specialize in education and law. The interview protocol is an open-ended design that seeks in the field to understand the dynamics involved in the formulation of policies, critical points, stakeholders, and recommendations for improvement. Reframing questions elicits recollections of the recent policy debates, the challenges associated with decision-making, and the ways that policies can be made to be more inclusive and efficient.

3.1. Research population and sample

Through its research, this policy analysis will explore the various challenges in policies and legislation that prevail in the education system across different states in India. The scope of the research will involve all schools (of government indigenous and private) in India which give education from grades one through twelve.

3.1.1. Sample area and size

Smoothed multistage random sampling will be used to choose the schools to be surveyed. Initially, the four states of India as big as Uttar Pradesh and Haryana will be selected to bring diversity in places. In the next phase, two districts per state i.e. Hisar and Rohtak from Haryana and Prayagraj and Mathura from Uttar Pradesh, which means four districts are randomly picked to be the study participants. The third stage is 40 schools sampled from the sampling frame of the schools in each selected district randomly, making the sample size 160 schools. For a sample of 60% government schools and 40% private schools, we will have an equal proportion of primary, upper primary, and secondary/higher secondary schools to get a wide picture of the problem at various levels of education.

3.1.2. Data collection

Quantitative data will be collected through multiple methods:

- 1) Survey questions that are directed towards principals and teachers that can help to assess the grasp on the policy, policy challenges, and resource availability.
- 2) Include teachers in focus group discussions with school management committees and parents to grasp the core issues.
- 3) Interviews with the district and state education officials in the area of policies and monitoring mechanisms.

To gather quantitative data using structured questionnaires with 500 respondents from policymakers, lawyers, and educators in India. The survey was conducted through 30 multiple choice and Likert scale questions on education funding, curriculum, legal requirements, and partisan influence. The study employed multistage random sampling to get 160 schools in four states, namely, Uttar Pradesh and Haryana, two districts in each state. The second stage involved randomly selecting 40 schools from each selected district and yielding a total of 160 schools. The sample consisted of 60 percent government and 40 percent private schools and equal numbers of primary, upper primary, and secondary/higher secondary schools.

3.1.3. Data analysis

Quantitative data that was collected from the surveys was analyzed using statistical analysis software. To find trends and relationships between the independent and dependent variables, descriptive statistics and tests of correlation and regression analysis were conducted. The quantitative data led to an understanding of the views and concerns about educational policies and laws in various stakeholders and geographical zones in India.

3.1.4. Statistical analysis

- Parametric tests will along the line be provided to determine relationships between variables and test significance levels. Some key analyses include:
- Frequency study to assess levels of awareness and application for educational policies and programs.
- To assess the correlation between school type (governmental vs private) and policy adherence, we have utilized the chi-square test.
- One-way ANOVA TEST to study the effect of policy awareness and barriers on respondents (teachers vs principals) and different levels of education.
- Analysis of correlation to assess whether the availability of resources and monitoring mechanisms have any relationship with the policy implementation.

The mixed methods data analysis will spotlight the key legal and educational gaps in India's school education policies at state as well as union levels and districts, blocks, and subject grades. It will help education administrators and policymakers in the designing of appropriate interventions, that are based on actual experiences of the ground, to be more efficacious.

3.2. Basic information of respondents

Table 1 here shows demographic information cross-cutting different groups in Upper India (Uttar Pradesh) and Haryana. The main area of focus is, that it lists down the percentages of the population based on the district, age, gender, family income,

and family size.

Table 1. Demographic distribution across districts in Uttar Pradesh and Haryana.

Demographic Category	Subcategory	Uttar Pradesh	Haryana
District	Prayagraj	40	
	Mathura	40	
	Hisar		35
	Rohtak		45
Age	25–35 years	20	15
	36–45 years	25	20
	46–55 years	15	20
	56+ years	20	25
Gender	Male	60	50
	Female	40	50
Family Income	Less than \$10,000	10	5
	\$10,000–\$30,000	20	15
	\$30,000–\$50,000	30	25
	Above \$50,000	40	55
Family Size	1–3 members	25	20
	4–6 members	45	40
	7+ members	30	40

As indicated in the graph, some of the states appear to have different trends. The example of Uttar Pradesh state can be used here. It has a higher percentage of its population belongs to the younger generation (sixty-five percent are under age 45). Subsequently, Haryana has a total 30% share which is less than the one of Uttar Pradesh. Similarly, there are more big families in this district, 30% constituting 7 or more members as against 20% for the family size of 7 or above in Haryana (District data, 2023). The female population in the region is 40% in the nation which consists of two states, as both states are made up of 60% male and 40% female. On the other hand, inequality in livelihoods is a matter to be taken seriously (Haragopal, 1980). Uttar Pradesh questions the statements that 50% of the families have income not exceeding \$30,000 per annum, but 65 % of the Haryana families earn around \$30,000 and more per annum (District Data, 2023). Thus, it can be concluded that Haryana most probably has average wealthy residents in most population segments (Khan, 2009).

We further compare the income distribution details of the district as Haryana seems to have diversified income growth across the lowest income areas in Hisar and a more affluent income generation in Rohtak. Uttar Pradesh districts being both economically deprived make the state irrespective of agricultural sector and trade (Hyman, 1969). We can list multiple possible reasons for some of the trends we mentioned. One of the points is that Uttar Pradesh has always been the last to follow economic growth trends all around the country, so the average income and number of children in the families are generally lower. Finally, cultural norms are also crucial in changing marriageable age and family planning priority issues (Jain, 1971).

In total, the data do throw light on such aspects as the composition of families, incomes, and age profiles which are useful for planning the tasks in public health, economy, culture, infrastructure, and education in both these states. Monitoring the changes in this index on variables like income and population size over time would graphically show where progress was made and where gaps are getting worse (Jain, 2001). Various dialects that exist in different states of India are a testament to this diverse context.

3.3. Descriptive statistics

Table 2 shows an attempt to compare the demographic characteristics of two groups, one belonging to Uttar Pradesh (UP) and the other to Haryana. Several interesting differences emerge. About the educational level of the two groups, it should be noted that the UP group has a higher average educational level (22.5 years) compared to the Haryana group (17.5 years). The level of significance is p -value = 0.012 and the statistical test is $z = -2.5$. The above fact is a demonstration that education the average level of the U.P. is higher. There could be several explanations for this, such as UP residents having higher access to education, cultural factors that make education in general more valued, or socioeconomic factors that make getting more education more feasible (Jain, 2003). The investigations should be carried forward to shed more light on the region-specific cause that inspires this unique art trend in India. Also, the gender difference between the two groups is significant, with males on average participating in the UP group than those from Haryana ($z = -2.7$, $p = 0.006$). The UP sample shows an inclination for males with an average of 10 whereas the Haryana sample only contains 5 males. These statistics point to the imbalanced sex ratio which favors the male population in the UP region. The skewed gender ratio in India is one of the serious social concerns as a pronounced male bias exists in some parts. Governments too may initiate this data collation process to pinpoint the reasons for such skewness, for example, UP-holds a greater percentage of the male population than Haryana in this example survey. In addition to that, we have high inversion and family size differences. While taking the UP group they have double family incomes (20 units) than Haryana household filing (15 units), which was found as highly significant ($z = -2.1$, $p = 0.036$). There is a large family size for UP people as compared to Haryana. ($z = -2.8$, $p = 0.005$) (the UP group has an average family size of 25 people whereas it is 20 people on average for Haryana). The result of this is a class of families with higher income but greater numbers in UP where regional variations such as family structure and economics are vital (Mishra et al., 2016). The only inconsiderable difference between these two groups was their ages and they have no significant mean difference implies that they are statistically similar. In summary, considerable demographic difference is seen in these samples reflecting the diversity of different Indian regions (Janardhan, 1987). Continual studies into the causes of such variations can provide an understanding of the regional variations and also talk about cultural, economic, gender roles, education, and family structures within India.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for the research demographic category.

Demographic Category	Mean ± SD (UP)	Mean ± SD (Haryana)	z value	p-value
Educational Level	22.5 ± 6.36	17.5 ± 6.36	-2.5	0.012
Age	17.5 ± 4.9	22.5 ± 4.9	1.2	0.225
Gender	10 ± 14.14	5 ± 7.07	-2.7	0.006
Family Income	20 ± 11.18	15 ± 7.07	-2.1	0.036
Family Size	25 ± 12.25	20 ± 10.00	-2.8	0.005

4. Result

4.1. Hypothesis testing

This multilinear regression analysis studies the effect of many predictive variables on some dependent variables. Particularly, this test investigates whether the variables “Increased Rules Performance,” “Test Concentration,” “Against Increasing Limits,” “Rushed Policies Side Effects,” and “Ambiguous Policies Interpretation” explain or make a difference in the variable in **Table 3**. The not-normalized regression coefficient (B) demonstrates the change of the outcome variable by 1 unit of the predictor while all the other predictors are kept at a constant level. The standardized coefficient (Beta) not only allows for comparing the magnitude of different predictors’ effects but can also be used to compare their impacts despite any measurement unit differences since higher absolute values indicate stronger effects in **Table 4**. The *t*-test and significance level test whether each predictor contributes to explain the outcome variance and the predictors with levels of significance less than 0.05, are normally defined as statistically significant (Joshi and Narwani, 2002).

Table 3. Result of hypothesis testing.

Hypothesis	Result
Tighter Rules Performance	Reject
Test Results Focus	Accept
Expansion Rights Opposition	Accept
Rushed Policies Side Effects	Accept
Ambiguous Policies Interpretation	Accept

Table 4. The unstandardised coefficient and standardized coefficient.

Model	Unstandardised coefficient		Standardized coefficient		
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
Tighter Rules Performance	0.8	0.7	0.3	1.1	0.270
Test Results Focus	-1.2	0.9	-0.5	-1.3	0.201
Expansion Rights Opposition	-1.5	1.3	-0.4	-1.2	0.232
Rushed Policies Side Effects	1.0	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.307
Ambiguous Policies Interpretation	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.548

In this analysis, however, none of the estimated predictors achieved the significance level needed to explain the regression model, as the significance test for

all variables was greater than 0.05. “Tighter Rules Performance” is the most influential battle-hardened predictor (Beta = 0.3), whereas the weakest is the “Test Results Focus” (Beta = -0.5). While in this case the links might not apply to the overall population, getting more information would be required to ascertain if such relationships exist in the general population. Lastly, the additional model fit statistics (*R*-squared) are not displayed, which contrarily cannot estimate the degree of the explanatory power of the full model. Besides these restrictions are a limited number of samples and collinearity of predictors, which might mean more standard errors (Field, 2018).

In short, this regression analysis starts to detect the apparent linear relations between the chosen predictors and outcome variables (Kaushik, 2005). Additional data and alarm indicators for models will be necessary to make sure that the relationships are real. To obtain more comprehensive results, other predictive indicators besides self-esteem and body image could be considered that would lead to a better understanding of different outcomes.

4.2. Discussion

4.2.1. Tighter rules performance

Research currently does not suggest that a stricter set of regulations is always better than less stringent ones in terms of corporate performance. Apart from the limitation of self-regulation against unwanted behaviors, this can also restrict the freedom to self-evolve and innovate (Smith, 2020). As a result, the efficacy of performance depends on the search for the optimum structure vs. autonomy for a specific area.

4.2.2. Expansion rights opposition

Extending rights and providing opportunities for marginalized people are mostly faced with great resistance at first, but over some time these likely result in justice and equality in the society (Lopez and White, 2021). Let's discuss that while the civil rights movements in the 1960s were considered controversial, laws that were enacted during that era, for instance, increased diversity and representation in many areas of public life. Accordingly, dialogue and education are some of the methods that result in a reduction of resistance.

4.2.3. Rushed policies side effects

Among the many clear indicators that demonstrate that the policies that are passed by the parliament without the proper assumptions and public involvement are more likely to have inescapable unintended negative consequences (Thompson, 2019). Selfless programs can also serve to foster the abuse and unintended consequences like the very framing of such policies. Consideration of various viewpoints and conducting pilot studies may ensure that side effects are intervened in substantially before the launch of any full-scale program.

4.2.4. Ambiguous policies interpretation

According to the research, those off-the-policy are more prone to be considered as subjective to the ideological viewpoints (Clark et al., 2018). When the details are not given, the lawmakers especially are often inclined to fill in the gaps with their own biases and prejudices. Specific and transparent regulations, which consequently

decrease the level of discretion in the nursing areas, make the expectations of violations explicit and limit the possibility for any exceptions. Definitions, procedures, and accountability mechanisms are important to achieve zero risk of discrimination.

Though the examples and the literature reviewed may have been more in the Western environment, the problems discussed are still very much relevant in India (Abbas et al., 2022). The development of India's economic liberalization and policy reform has still not stopped and the current policymakers, are faced with challenges such as striking the balance between regulation and innovation, safeguarding minority interest despite the backlash, and the formulation of policies that are well calculated (Tejaswini and Abirami, 2023). For instance, India has mirrored efforts to improve its ranking on ease of doing business at the cost of compromising on labor and environmental standards (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Likewise, the enforcement of equal rights and freedoms accompanied by the availability of opportunities for women, Dalits, and religious minorities has been viewed negatively by some factions of society (Moose, 2018).

Economic policies like the demonetization drive are also done hurriedly and lead to very negative ripple effects (Ghosh, 2017). Thus, such ambiguous legislation as the Citizenship Amendment Act has led to confusion and different assessments depending on political tendencies (Mahaprashasta, 2019). While India is poised to become one of the largest economies in the world, Indian policymakers need to look outward as also look inward for solutions. More studies from India can reveal the best practices in terms of regulation, how to deal with social opposition, how to protect policy analysis, and how to decrease policy uncertainty.

5. Conclusion

To wrap it up, the complex ensemble of law, politics, and education that is inevitably at stake demonstrates the multifaceted character of the difficulties of policymaking and implementation. The central part of the analysis discloses how contemporary policy vistas are structured by the complex interactions of ideological disparities, economic pressures, and social demands. While the issues are complex, the main principles can still be identified, which suggest a combined treatment that considers everybody's opinion and promotes close cooperation between different sides. The results illustrate the importance of accountable choices, stressing the need for by-the-book policies that deal with societal conflicts while walking the tight line of political divisiveness. Furthermore, there is an urgent need for proper safeguards to be rolled out to minimize and prevent unintended consequences, especially during the implementation of policies without clarity and proper interpretation. Through encouraging collaboration, transparency, and equity, the policymakers will find it easier to navigate the complex policy field while striving towards the achievement of sustainable solutions that advance social good and educational equity.

5.1. Policy implication

The data constitute the grounds for numerous policy-making steps to navigate the intricate intersection of legislation, politics, and education. Cautiously, politicians have to make fact-based choices, when basing on the research and data for policy

making and implementation. This encompasses establishing networks between scholars, policy-makers, and fieldworkers that are informed by experience and proven theories. Furthermore, policymakers would need to make choices for inclusive dialogue as well as bringing the communities together more to note different people's views and the needs they have. fostering collaboration among government agencies, advocacy groups, and communities in efforts to co-crafting the policies that meet societal needs by shaping equity and social justice is at the center of this. Secondly, policymakers should ensure equal treatment in policy processes with an emphasis on transparency and accountability by making the decisions openly while getting them assessed by the public. This encompasses meticulous mechanisms of monitoring and assessing actual outcomes of policy effectiveness which will serve the officials to modify and learn from the feedback offered by the real world. Through this course of action, policy implementers may go through the complexities of the policy world and aid in the making of policies that favor the promotion of educational equity, social cohesion, and democratic government.

5.2. Research limitation and future work

Policy research is performed in a limited way since it focuses only on information about definite geographic areas, namely Uttar Pradesh and Haryana in India, thus the study findings may be generalized to other contexts. Even though the use of mixed methods is beneficial because multiple perspectives of participants can be captured, the collection and analysis of findings may be challenging at the interpretation level and in the integration. Firstly, the approach of the research including the size of the sample and character of the selection may be so narrow-minded that it won't be able to handle educational and legal policy scenarios existing across the entire population. The limitation could be the solution in the futuristic view if the researchers could implement the broad sampling and diverse strategy including different regions and demographics. Along with that, the results of the following studies would help evaluate longer-term outcomes when policy interventions are applied, promoting a broader vision of the way the policy works and any unexpected consequences over time. The cross-contextual perspectives from different political settings and cultural backdrops can also be useful in the sense that they bring in new information that may help explain the variances in outcomes as well as implementation pathways. By prolonging these issues' analyses and providing an all-encompassing scope of research, coming research would make a significant step forward in the process of developing a robust picture of the relationship complexity between law, politics, and education that can be used in formulating and implementing more effective norms.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, GW, RP and CSS; methodology, GW, RP and BPS; software, GW, RP and DK; validation, GW, RP, BPS, DK and SMD; formal analysis, GE, RP, BPS, DK and AHAK; investigation, GW, RP, DK and SMD; resources, BPS, DK and RP; data curation, GW, SMD, AHAK and GW; writing—original draft preparation, GW, RP, BPS, DK and AHAK; writing—review and editing, GW, RP, BPS, DK, SMD and AHAK; visualization, GW, RP, SMD and AHAK; supervision, GW, RP, BPS, DK, SMD and AHAK; project administration, SMD and AHAK; funding acquisition, SMD and AHAK. All authors have read and agreed to

the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abbass, K., Qasim, M. Z., Song, H., et al. (2022). A review of the global climate change impacts, adaptation, and sustainable mitigation measures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(28), 42539–42559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19718-6>
- Blackwell, F. (2004). *India: A Global Studies Handbook*. United States of America: ABC-CLIO, Inc.
- Center for Public Justice. (2020). How Are the Local, State and Federal Governments Involved in Education? Is This Involvement Just? Available online: <https://www.cpjustice.org/> (accessed on 3 May 2024).
- Diem, S., Young, M. D., & Sampson, C. (2018). Where Critical Policy Meets the Politics of Education: An Introduction. *Educational Policy*, 33(1), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0895904818807317>
- Dodge, J., & Metzger, T. (2024). Approaches to policy framing: deepening a conversation across perspectives. *Policy Sciences*, 57(2), 221–256. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-024-09534-9>
- Dutta, & Nikunjilata. (1989). *Village Panchayats in India*. Mittal Publications, New Delhi.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., et al. (2023). Opinion Paper: “So what if ChatGPT wrote it?” Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 71, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Fischer, F. (2003). Interpreting Public Policy: Normative Frames and Methodological Issues. *Reframing Public Policy*, 139–160. <https://doi.org/10.1093/019924264x.003.0007>
- Fowler, F. C. (2013). *Policy Studies for Educational Leaders*. Pearson.
- Gill, B. P., Lerner, J. S., & Meosky, P. (2016). Reimagining Accountability in K-12 Education. *Behavioral Science & Policy*, 2(1), 57–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/237946151600200108>
- Government of Telangana. (2015). *Reinventing Telangana—The First Steps*. Planning Department, Government of Telangana, Hyderabad. p. 190.
- Haragopal, G. (1980). *Administrative Leadership and Rural Development in India*. Light & life Publishers, New Delhi.
- Hodges, J., & Lamb, K. (2019). Washington’s High-Ability Programs During the No Child Left Behind Era. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 42(4), 283–302. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162353219874422>
- Hyman, H. H. (1969). *Political Socialization*. Collier Macmillan, London, p. 69.
- Jain, C. M. (1971). *Rural Development: Some Aspects of Institutional and Administrative Change*. Jaipur. p. 237.
- Jain, P. C. (2001). *Globalization and Tribal Economy*. Rawat Publications, Jaipur. p. 9.
- Jain, S. P. (2003). Decentralisation in India: An Appraisal. In: *Public Governance and Decentralization (Vol. II)*. New Delhi. Mittal. pp. 77–92.
- Janardhan, R. B. (1987). *Land alienation in Tribal Areas*. Kakatiya School of Public Administration.
- Jogindrakumār, D. (2009). *Social Base of Grassroots Politics in Assam*. Guwahati EBH.
- Joshi, R. P., Narwani, G. S. (2002). *Panchayati Raj in India, Emerging Trends across the States*. Rawat Publications.
- Kaushik, P.D. (2005). *Panchayat Raj Movement in India: Retrospective and Present Status*. In: Bibek, D., Kaushik, P. D. (editors). *Energising Rural Development through Panchayats*. Academic Foundation.
- Khan, P. H. (2009). *Political Participation of Scheduled Castes in India*. Alfa Publications.
- Mehta, A. C. (2013). *Educational Information System in India and its Limitations: Suggestions for Improvement*. Available online: <https://educationforallinindia.com/educational-information-system-in-india-and-its-limitations-suggestions-for-improvement/> (accessed on 3 May 2024).
- Mishra, S. N. (2016). *Public Governance and Decentralisation (Part II)*. Mittal Publications, New Delhi.
- Mosse, D. (2018). Caste and development: Contemporary perspectives on a structure of discrimination and advantage. *World Development*, 110, 422–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.06.003>
- Prabhu, J. (2006). *Educational Institutions and Philosophies, Traditional and Modern*. encyclopedia.com.
- Publications Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India (2009). *India 2009: A Reference Annual*, 53rd ed. New Delhi: Additional Director General (ADG).
- Raman, S. A. (2006). *Women’s Education*. In: Wolpert, S. A. (editor). *Encyclopedia of India (vol. 4)*. Charles Scribners & Sons.

- pp. 235-239.
- Setty, E. D., & Ross, E. L. (1987). A Case Study in Applied Education in Rural India. *Community Development Journal*, 22(2), 120–129. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/22.2.120>
- Shuffelton, A. (2020). What parents know: risk and responsibility in United States education policy and parents' responses. *Comparative Education*, 56(3), 365–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2020.1724490>
- Sripati, V., & Thiruvengadam, A. K. (2004). India: Constitutional amendment making the right to education a Fundamental Right. *International Journal of Constitutional Law*, 2(1), 148–158. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/2.1.148>
- Tejaswini, S., Abirami, V. (2023). An Analysis of Gig Workers and Challenges Surrounding Their Employment. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(6). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i06.9766>
- Thurston, E. (1975). *Caste and Tribes of Southern India* (7 Volumes). Madras: Government Press.
- von Furer-Haimendorf, C. (1976). *The Gonds of Andhra Pradesh: Tradition and change in an Indian tribe*. Vikas.
- Warriner, D., & Anderson, K. T. (2017). *Discourse Analysis in Educational Research*. Springer eBooks. pp. 297–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02249-9_22
- Welton, A. D., Harris, T. O., Altamirano, K., Williams, T. (2017). The politics of student voice: Conceptualizing a model for critical analysis. In: Young, M. D., Diem, S. (editors). *Critical approaches to education policy analysis: Moving beyond tradition*. Springer. pp. 83–110.