EnPress

Opportunities and challenges of advanced mathematics teaching based on the integration of online channel and offline channel

Yanan Li

Department of Basic Courses, Guangdong Technology College, Zhaoqing 526100, China

Abstract: This article explores thechallenges faced by educators, differences in challenges across demographics, relationships between integration and tools usage, and the study's contribution to innovative teaching methods discourse. Using a quantitative approach, the study collected data through structured questionnaires, ensuring ethical considerations. Findings suggest a strong consensus favoring a blended approach, highlighting the value of diverse tech tools and consistent integration. The study underscores challenges in adaptability, student engagement, and technology access. Recommendations emphasize diverse research samples, flexible teaching methods, and collaborative workshops, offering practical insights for educators navigating the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics.

Keywords: Opportunities and Challenges; Online and Offline Integration; Technology Tools

Introduction

China, renowned for its prowess in mathematics education, faces the dual challenge of maintaining its academic excellence and adapting to the demands of the 21st century. The advent of online technologies provides an opportunity to reimagine the teaching and learning processes, fostering an environment that caters to the diverse needs and learning styles of students. This study seeks to unravel the potential benefits, challenges, and implications of integrating online and offline channels in advanced mathematics classrooms across China.

As the study navigate through this exploration, it aspire not only to identify effective models of integration but also to propose a comprehensive framework that can serve as a blueprint for advancing advanced mathematics teaching in the digital era. The implications of this study extend beyond the immediate context, resonating with educators, policymakers, and researchers who share a common goal of preparing students for the challenges and opportunities of the future.

1. Background of the Study

The rise of digital technologies and the ubiquity of the internet have transformed the way information is accessed and knowledge is disseminated. In the realm of education, this transformation presents a unique opportunity to enhance the learning experience, providing students with dynamic and interactive resources that extend beyond the confines of traditional textbooks. The integration of online platforms, virtual tools, and collaborative spaces into mathematics education holds the potential to not only augment understanding but also cultivate critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and digital literacy.

China, with its vast and diverse educational landscape, faces the dual challenge of sustaining its historical excellence in mathematics education while embracing the benefits of technological integration. The imperative to prepare students for a future where adaptability, creativity, and technological proficiency are paramount underscores the need for a comprehensive examination of the current state of advanced mathematics teaching.

2.Population and Sampling

The population in this study consisted of 126 teachers and faculty members from selected university and colleges, encompassing individuals who could provide valuable academic perspectives on research and reference of the quality assurance system in selected university and colleges. The use of a stratified random sampling technique was crucial for ensuring a representative and diverse sample from this population.

Stratified random sampling involved dividing the population into distinct strata or subgroups based on certain characteristics that were

relevant to the research objectives. In this case, strata focused on age, sex, educational background, and years in teaching service. By doing so, the researchers could ensure that each subgroup was adequately represented in the sample, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of the technological tools and platforms suitable for facilitating this integration.

The selection of participants from each stratum was then done using stratified random sampling techniques within those strata. This approach ensured that the sample reflected the heterogeneity present in the larger population.

The use of stratified random sampling enhanced the external validity of the study, as findings from the sample could be more confidently generalized to the entire population of teachers and faculty members from selected university and colleges. It also allowed for meaningful subgroup analyses, enabling researchers to explore potential variations in perspectives based on different characteristics such as age, sex, educational background, and years in teaching service.

3.The Challenges That Educators Face In Aligning Their Pedagogical Strategies With The Integration Of Online And Offline Channels In Advanced Mathematics Education

This study presents the challenges that educators face in aligning their pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education in terms of obstacle identification, strategy effectiveness, and time constraints

3.1 Obstacle Identification. The results presented in Table 1 demonstrate a robust consensus among educators on the challenges they face in aligning pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education.

The highest mean, at 3.33, indicates a strong agreement that adapting to a mix of face-to-face and online interactions in hybrid learning environments requires adjustments. This recognition underscores educators' acknowledgment of the complexities inherent in hybrid learning models, emphasizing the necessity for flexibility in navigating the intricacies of both online and offline teaching modalities.

Table 1 The Challenges That Educators Face In Aligning Their Pedagogical Strategies With The Integration Of Online And Offline Channels In Advanced Mathematics Education In Terms Of Obstacle Identification

Statements	Mean	Interpretation
1. Teachers face issues with technology when using online and offline methods for advanced math education.	3.30	Strongly Agree
2. Not all students have the same access to technology, creating challenges for teachers in combining online and offline approaches.	3.32	Strongly Agree
3. Adapting traditional teaching to online formats is a hurdle for educators in advanced math education.	3.33	Strongly Agree
4. The online learning environment brings challenges like varying engagement levels, making pedagogical alignment harder.	3.29	Strongly Agree
5. Educators struggle with students having different digital skills when integrating online and offline methods.	3.31	Strongly Agree
6. Limited time for planning and delivering both synchronous and asynchronous content poses challenges in blended learning.	3.29	Strongly Agree
7. Managing administrative duties related to technology integration adds complexity for educators.	3.31	Strongly Agree
8. Dealing with differences in student engagement across online and offline methods is a challenge.	3.33	Strongly Agree
9. Adapting to a mix of face-to-face and online interactions in hybrid learning environments requires adjustments.	3.33	Strongly Agree
10. Overcoming resistance to new methods among students or colleagues is a hurdle for educators in advanced math education.	3.30	Strongly Agree
Composite Mean	3.31	Strongly Agree

Legend: 3.25-4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50-3.24 = Agree; 1.75-2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.74 = Strongly Disagree

Closely following, with a mean of 3.33, educators strongly agree that dealing with differences in student engagement across online and offline methods poses a significant challenge. This emphasizes the importance of addressing variations in student interaction and participa-

tion levels in different learning environments, highlighting the need for targeted strategies to maintain consistent engagement. Pereira, E. A., Bryce, J., Quek, E., & Daud's (2022) exploration of online learning challenges during the pandemic enriches this understanding, emphasizing the concept of obstacle identification in recognizing and addressing hurdles in maintaining engagement across diverse channels.

The means of 3.32 for statements 2 and 8 highlight critical challenges for educators. Firstly, not all students having the same access to technology creates hurdles for teachers in combining online and offline approaches. This underscores the digital divide and emphasizes the need for inclusive strategies to ensure equitable access to educational resources. Secondly, dealing with differences in student engagement across online and offline methods is acknowledged as a challenge. This reinforces the importance of developing approaches that cater to diverse learning preferences and maintain engagement across various instructional modes.

The means of 3.31 for statements 5 and 7 indicate additional challenges. Educators acknowledge struggling with students having different digital skills when integrating online and offline methods, emphasizing the importance of digital literacy support. Furthermore, managing administrative duties related to technology integration is seen as a complex task, highlighting the multifaceted nature of educators' responsibilities in the digital age. Khoruzha, L. L.'s (2020) exploration of modern strategies for transforming pedagogical education provides additional insights into the concept of obstacle identification, enriching our understanding of the challenges educators face in navigating the complexities of technology integration.

The means of 3.30 for statements 1 and 10 underscore challenges related to technology use and resistance to new methods. Teachers recognize issues with technology when using online and offline methods, signaling potential barriers in the seamless integration of technological tools. Overcoming resistance to new methods among students or colleagues is identified as a hurdle, emphasizing the importance of fostering a positive and adaptive mindset toward evolving teaching approaches.

The mean of 3.29 for statements 4 and 6 highlights challenges associated with the online learning environment and limited time for planning and delivering content. Educators acknowledge varying engagement levels in online learning and the constraints posed by time limitations in preparing both synchronous and asynchronous content for blended learning. Pereira, E. A., Bryce, J., Quek, E., & Daud's (2022) exploration, along with Khoruzha, L. L.'s (2020) study, further emphasizes the multifaceted challenges through the concept of obstacle identification, offering valuable insights into the complexities educators encounter in the integration of online and offline channels.

The composite mean of 3.31 reinforces the overall strong agreement on the challenges faced by educators in aligning their pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education. The multifaceted nature of these challenges, encompassing technological issues, disparities in student access and engagement, time constraints, and the need for adapting to hybrid learning environments, is evident. Insights from the related studies, emphasizing the concept of obstacle identification, contribute to ongoing efforts to understand and address these obstacles. This perspective fosters a learning environment where educators can effectively integrate diverse channels while overcoming the challenges presented by the dynamic landscape of advanced mathematics education.

3.2 Strategy Effectiveness. The results from Table 2 highlight a unanimous consensus among educators, shedding light on the challenges they face when aligning pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education, with a particular emphasis on strategy effectiveness.

The educators strongly agree, with the highest mean of 3.33, that integrating student feedback into pedagogical strategies requires a strategic and responsive approach, underscoring the significance of considering student input to enhance instructional methodologies. Additionally, the challenge of selecting strategies suitable for both online and offline channels is identified as particularly formidable, with a mean of 3.33, pointing to the intricate nature of adapting pedagogical approaches to diverse learning environments. Balancing engagement levels across online and offline methods is recognized as a significant challenge, with a mean of 3.31, emphasizing the need for strategies that accommodate varied learning preferences.

Table 2 The Challenges That Educators Face In Aligning Their Pedagogical Strategies With The Integration Of Online And Offline Channels In Advanced Mathematics Education In Terms Of Strategy Effectiveness

Statements	Mean	Interpretation

1. Educators find it challenging to select strategies that work well for both online and offline channels in advanced mathematics.	3.33	Strongly Agree
2. Teachers encounter difficulties in effectively implementing chosen pedagogical strategies within blended learning environments.	3.30	Strongly Agree
3. Balancing engagement levels across online and offline methods poses a challenge for educators.	3.31	Strongly Agree
4. Making prompt adjustments to strategies based on real-time feedback becomes challenging in the dynamic blended learning environment.	3.25	Strongly Agree
5. Adopting evidence-based practices for effective strategy implementation requires careful consideration.	3.28	Strongly Agree
6. Implementing interventions to enhance strategy effectiveness demands a targeted approach from educators.	3.30	Strongly Agree
7. Teachers face challenges in refining their approaches based on the systematic evaluation of strategy effectiveness.	3.25	Strongly Agree
8. Proactively adapting strategies to align with changing online and offline dynamics is a continual challenge.	3.28	Strongly Agree
9. Effectively integrating student feedback into pedagogical strategies requires a strategic and responsive approach.	3.33	Strongly Agree
10. Achieving a cohesive and effective learning experience for students through the integration of diverse channels demands strategic alignment of pedagogical approaches.	3.25	Strongly Agree
Composite Mean	3.29	Strongly Agree

Legend: 3.25-4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50-3.24 = Agree; 1.75-2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.74 = Strongly Disagree

Moreover, educators face difficulties in practically implementing chosen pedagogical strategies within blended learning environments, as indicated by a mean of 3.30. This suggests that challenges extend beyond the selection of strategies to the execution phase, requiring a seamless integration process. The identified challenges also encompass implementing interventions to enhance strategy effectiveness, adopting evidence-based practices, proactively adapting strategies to changing dynamics, refining approaches based on systematic evaluation, making prompt adjustments based on real-time feedback, and achieving a cohesive and effective learning experience for students through the strategic alignment of pedagogical approaches, each with means ranging from 3.25 to 3.30.

Studies contribute valuable insights into these challenges. In Muchlis, E. E., Priatna, N., & Maizora's (2023) review of "technology-enabled mathematics education: optimizing student engagement," the concept of strategy effectiveness enriches our understanding of the challenges faced by educators. The study provides nuanced insights into the complexities educators face, focusing on the selection and implementation of strategies that leverage both online and offline channels. The systematic evaluation of strategy effectiveness offers valuable guidance for educators in refining their approaches, adopting evidence-based practices, and implementing interventions to optimize the impact of their teaching methods.

In Azevedo, B. F., Pereira, A. I., Fernandes, F. P., & Pacheco's (2022) case study on mathematics learning and assessment using the MathE platform, the concept of strategy effectiveness enriches our understanding of challenges within technology-enhanced platforms. The study emphasizes systematically evaluating strategy effectiveness within these platforms, offering insights into how educators can refine their approaches, adopt evidence-based practices, and implement targeted interventions to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching methods within the MathE platform. These perspectives contribute to ongoing efforts to understand and enhance the effectiveness of strategies, particularly within technology-enhanced platforms, creating an environment where educators can integrate diverse channels while optimizing the impact of their pedagogical approaches.

3.3 Time Constraints. The findings in Table 3 elucidate a compelling consensus among educators regarding the challenges they confront when aligning pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education, with a specific focus on time constraints.

A pivotal concern, underscored by the highest mean of 3.34, is the judicious allocation of time for various interactions to optimize the learning experience. This highlights educators' acknowledgment of the central role that time management plays in facilitating effective inter-

actions, both online and offline, to enhance the overall learning journey.

Table 3 The Challenges That Educators Face In Aligning Their Pedagogical Strategies With The Integration Of Online And Offline Channels In Advanced Mathematics Education In Terms Of Time Constraints

Statements	Mean	Interpretation
1. Educators face challenges due to the limited time available for planning and preparing materials for both online and offline components.	3.29	Strongly Agree
2. Striking a balance between synchronous and asynchronous content delivery within time constraints poses a challenge for teachers.	3.25	Strongly Agree
3. Providing timely and meaningful feedback to students becomes challenging within the constraints of the blended learning environment.	3.31	Strongly Agree
4. Adapting to different pacing needs of students while managing time efficiently is a significant challenge for educators.	3.27	Strongly Agree
5. Managing administrative tasks associated with technology integration consumes valuable instructional time.	3.25	Agree
6. Educators must judiciously allocate time for various interactions to optimize the learning experience.	3.34	Strongly Agree
7. Balancing interactions across online and offline modalities within limited time frames is a key challenge.	3.30	Strongly Agree
8. Adapting pedagogical approaches to the dynamic landscape of online and offline integration requires strategic time management.	3.32	Strongly Agree
9. Proactively managing time to prioritize tasks and explore innovative approaches is crucial for educators.	3.29	Strongly Agree
10. Maintaining the quality of advanced mathematics education within the constraints of limited instructional time is an ongoing challenge.	3.30	Strongly Agree
Composite Mean	3.29	Strongly Agree

Legend: 3.25-4.00 = Strongly Agree; 2.50-3.24 = Agree; 1.75-2.49 = Disagree; 1.00-1.74 = Strongly Disagree

Closely following, with a mean of 3.32, educators strongly agree that adapting pedagogical approaches to the dynamic landscape of online and offline integration necessitates strategic time management. This underscores the imperative for educators to adeptly navigate the evolving educational landscape while efficiently managing their time resources to ensure the seamless integration of pedagogical strategies.

Furthermore, the challenge of providing timely and meaningful feedback to students within the constraints of the blended learning environment is evident, with a mean of 3.31. This emphasizes the intricate nature of offering constructive feedback in a timely manner, considering the diverse modes of instruction and the need for personalized feedback to support student learning effectively.

Additional challenges, reflected in the means of 3.30 for statements 7 and 10, highlight the significance of equitable distribution of interaction opportunities and the continuous effort required to deliver high-quality education despite time limitations. Balancing interactions across online and offline modalities within limited time frames is recognized as a key challenge, emphasizing the importance of ensuring fair and effective engagement for all students. Additionally, maintaining the quality of advanced mathematics education within the constraints of limited instructional time is acknowledged as an ongoing challenge, emphasizing the perpetual commitment needed to uphold educational standards.

The means of 3.29 for statements 1 and 9 accentuate the challenges educators face in time management. Limited time available for planning and preparing materials for both online and offline components poses a substantial challenge, emphasizing the need for efficient workflows. Proactively managing time to prioritize tasks and explore innovative approaches is considered crucial, reinforcing the proactive role educators must play in optimizing their time resources.

Moreover, the mean of 3.27 for statement 4 indicates that adapting to different pacing needs of students while managing time efficiently is a significant challenge. This emphasizes the importance of personalized learning approaches to accommodate the diverse learning speeds of students while efficiently utilizing instructional time.

Studies support and enrich these findings. In Wu and Cai's (2021) exploration of supporting secondary mathematics teacher educators

in China, the integration of the concept of time constraints provides valuable insights into the challenges educators face, particularly in planning, implementing, and managing instructional activities within the blended learning environment. Similarly, Hunter et al.'s (2020) exploration of innovative pedagogical practices in mathematics education reinforces the critical influence of time constraints on educators' ability to align pedagogical strategies with online and offline integration. These studies collectively underscore the multifaceted challenges posed by time constraints and offer insights that can guide educators in developing time-efficient strategies, fostering a learning environment where diverse channels can be effectively integrated while navigating the constraints of limited instructional time.

3.4 To explain the challenges that educators face in aligning their pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education, the hypothesis was tested through Two-Independent Samples t-test and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). The null hypothesis stated that, there is no significant difference in the challenges that educators face in aligning their pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education when they group according to profile. This study used 0.05 level of significance in making decision on null hypothesis (H0).

Table 4

Significant Difference In The Challenges That Educators Face In Aligning Their Pedagogical Strategies With The Integration Of Online And Offline Channels In Advanced Mathematics Education When the Respondents Are Grouped According To Age

Challenges	F-value	p-value	Decision on Ho	Interpretation
Obstacle Identification	0.155	0.961	Failed to Reject	Not Significant
Strategy Effectiveness	0.257	0.905	Failed to Reject	Not Significant
Time Constraints	0.334	0.855	Failed to Reject	Not Significant

Table 4 presents the analysis of significant differences in the challenges faced by educators in aligning pedagogical strategies with the integration of online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education based on respondents' age groups. The F-values and p-values indicate whether there are statistically significant differences among age groups for each challenge category.

For "Obstacle Identification," the F-value is 0.155, and the p-value is 0.961. The decision not to reject the null hypothesis (Ho) suggests that there is no significant difference in obstacle identification challenges based on age. This implies that educators, regardless of age, encounter similar obstacles when identifying challenges in integrating online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education.

Similarly, for "Strategy Effectiveness," the F-value is 0.257, and the p-value is 0.905. The decision not to reject the null hypothesis indicates that there is no significant difference in challenges related to strategy effectiveness based on age. Educators across different age groups face similar challenges when it comes to the effectiveness of pedagogical strategies in the integration of online and offline channels.

Regarding "Time Constraints," the F-value is 0.334, and the p-value is 0.855. Once again, the decision not to reject the null hypothesis suggests that there is no significant difference in challenges related to time constraints based on the age of educators. Time-related challenges appear to be consistent across different age groups.

Conclusion

The results highlight substantial challenges for educators in aligning pedagogical strategies with online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education. The highest mean emphasizes the necessity for adaptability in hybrid learning environments, indicating a demand for flexible teaching methods. Educators express strong agreement regarding challenges in managing divergent student engagement across methods, necessitating focused strategies. Challenges related to technology access, digital skills, and administrative tasks are evident, with insights from related studies enriching our understanding and contributing to optimizing pedagogical approaches. Time constraints pose significant challenges, emphasizing the importance of judiciously allocating time and strategic time management. Both the table findings and

related studies underscore the intricate nature of these challenges, providing valuable insights to inform the development of effective pedagogical strategies and support mechanisms for enhanced teaching and learning outcomes in the dynamic educational landscape.

The analysis of challenges faced by educators in aligning pedagogical strategies with online and offline channels in advanced mathematics education reveals consistent findings across different demographic groups. The study focusing on age groups, suggests that educators of varying ages encounter similar challenges in obstacle identification, strategy effectiveness, and time constraints. No statistically significant differences were found among different age groups. Similarly, the study, which considers gender, indicates no significant variations in challenges related to obstacle identification, strategy effectiveness, and time constraints based on educators' gender. The challenges appear consistent regardless of gender. The study examining the level of education, reinforces the pattern of uniform challenges. Educators with different education levels face comparable difficulties in obstacle identification, strategy effectiveness, and time constraints. Lastly, the study based on years of teaching experience, demonstrates that challenges do not significantly differ among educators with varying levels of experience. Obstacle identification, strategy effectiveness, and time constraints remain consistent across different experience groups.

References

[1]Khoruzha, L. L. (2020). MODERN STRATEGIES FOR TRANSFORMING THE CONTENT OF PEDAGOGICAL EDUCATION. Pedagogical education: theory and practice. Psychology. Pedagogy, 33. http://dx.doi.org/10.28925/2311-2409.2020.33.1

[2]Kouser, S., & Majid, I. (2021). TECHNOLOGICAL TOOLS FOR ENHANCING TEACHING AND LEARNING PROCESS. Towards Excellence, 366(373). http://dx.doi.org/10.37867/te130133

[3]Lin, L. (2021). Student Learning and Engagement in a Blended Environment. In Research Anthology on Developing Effective Online Learning Courses (pp. 1371-1385). IGI Global. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-8047-9.ch068.

[4]Lin, W. (2020). Teacher-Student Interaction and Chinese Students' Mathematics Learning Outcomes: The Mediation of Mathematics Achievement Emotions. Proceedings of the 2020 AERA Annual Meeting. doi:10.3102/1570544

[5]Loots, S., Strydom, F., & Posthumus, H. (2023). Learning from students: Factors that support student engagement in blended learning environments within and beyond classrooms. Journal of Student Affairs in Africa, 11(2). http://dx.doi.org/10.24085/jsaa.v11i2.4897

[6]Muchlis, E. E., Priatna, N., & Maizora, S. (2023). Developing mathematical thinking skills through technology-based learning: a review of "technology-enabled mathematics education: optimizing student engagement". Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 26(4), 425–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-022-09561-4

[7]Nortvedt, G. A., & Buchholtz, N. (2018). Assessment in mathematics education: responding to issues regarding methodology, policy, and equity. ZDM Mathematics Education, 50, 555–570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-018-0963-z

[8]Nurbavliyev, O., Kaymak, S., & Sydykov, B. (2022). The Effect Of Active Learning Method On Students' Academic Success, Motivation And Attitude Towards Mathematics. Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 18(2), 701-713. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1347347.pdf

[9]Pereira, E. A., Bryce, J., Quek, E., & Daud, N. (2022). Online Learning Challenges Faced by Academicians During the Pandemic. International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Society, 4(3), 166-172. ISSN 2682-8138. Retrieved from https://myjms.mohe.gov.my/index.php/ijares/article/view/20243

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Top-notch offline courses Advanced Mathematics 1 of Guangdong Technology College (YLKC202110).