One Functional Class of Uniform Convergence on a Segment of Truncated Whittaker Cardinal Functions
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ABSTRACT

One functional class is described in terms of one-sided modulus of continuity and the modulus of positive (negative) variation on which there is a uniform convergence of the truncated cardinal Whittaker functions.
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1. Introduction and Preliminaries

E. Borel and E.T. Whittaker introduced the notion of a truncated cardinal function, whose restriction on the segment \([0, \pi]\) reads as follows:

\[
C_\Omega(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\sin((\Omega x - k\pi)f(k\pi/\Omega)}{\Omega x - k\pi} f(k\pi/\Omega),
\]

where \(\Omega > 0\) and \(n = \lfloor \Omega \rfloor\) is integer part \(\Omega \in \mathbb{R}\). The function \(\frac{\sin(\Omega x)}{\Omega x}\) called sinc-function. Up to now, a fairly well-studied problem is the one concerning sinc approximations of analytic functions on the real axis decreasing exponentially at infinity. The most complete survey of the results obtained in this direction by 1993 be found in [11].

Sinc approximations have wide applications in mathematical physics, in constructing various numerical methods and the approximation theory for the functions of both one and several variables [1–7], in theory of quadrature formulae [1,8] in theory of wavelets or wavelet-transforms in [29–11]. In book [16] designated perspective directions of development of sinc approximations.

One test for the uniform convergence on the axis for Whittaker cardinal functions were provided in [12,13]. Another important sufficient condition for convergence of sinc approximations was obtained in [14]. It was established that for some subclasses of functions absolutely continuous together with their derivatives on the interval \((0, \pi)\) and having a bounded variation on the whole axis \(R\) Kotelnikov series (or cardinal Whittaker functions) converge uniformly inside the interval \((0, \pi)\). In [15] was obtained by an upper bound for the best possible approximations of sincs.

Unfortunately, while approximating continuous functions on a segment by means of (1.1) and many other operators, Gibbs phenomenon arises in the vicinity of the segment end-points, see, for instance [18]. In [19] and [18] various estimates for the error of approximation of analytic in a circle functions by sinc-approximations (1.1) (when \(\Omega = n\)) were obtained. In papers [17] there were obtained estimates for the error of approximations of uniformly continuous and bounded on \(R\) functions by the values of various operators being combinations of sincs.

In paper [19] sharp estimates were established for the functions and Lebesgue constants of operator (1.1) (when \(\Omega = n\)). Works [20,21] were devoted to obtaining necessary and sufficient conditions of pointwise and uniform in interval \((0, \pi)\) convergence of values operators (1.1) (when \(\Omega = n\)) for functions \(f \in C[0, \pi]\). In [22] there was constructed an example of continuous function vanishing at the end-points of the segment \([0, \pi]\) for which the sequence of the values of operators (1.1) (when \(\Omega = n\)) diverges unboundedly everywhere on the interval \((0, \pi)\). Work [23] was denoted to studying approximative properties of interpolation operators constructed by means of solutions to the Cauchy problems with second order differential expressions. Papers [24,25] were devoted to applications of considered in [23] Lagrange-Sturm-Liouville interpolation processes.
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In [26] the results of work [23] were applied for studying approximative properties of classical Lagrange interpolation processes with the matrix of interpolation nodes, whose each row consists of zeroes of Jacobi polynomials $p_n^α,β$, with the parameters depending on $n$. In the works [27–29] of construction of new operators sinc approximations. They allow you to uniformly approximate any continuous function on the segment.

In the present work we follow the lines of publications [30–40]. We functional class is described on which there is a uniform convergence of the truncated cardinal functions in terms of Jacobi Whittaker functions for in terms of one-sided modulus of continuity and the modulus of positive (negative) variation.

Fix $ρ_λ = o\left(\frac{1}{ln λ}\right)$ as $λ → +∞$, let $h(λ) ∈ R$, and for each nonnegative $λ$ let $q_λ$ be arbitrary function in the ball $V_ρ[λ][0, π]$ of radius $ρ_λ$ in the space of functions with bounded variation vanishing at the origin, so that

$$V_0[λ][q_λ] ≤ ρ_λ,$$  
$\lambda → +∞,$  
$q_λ(0) = 0.$  
(1.2)

For a potential $q_λ ∈ V_ρ[π][0, π]$, where $\lambda → +∞$ the zeros of solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} y'' + (λ - q_λ(x))y = 0, \\
y(0, λ) = 1, y'(0, λ) = h(λ), \end{array}\right.$$  
(1.3)

or, provided that $h(λ) ≠ 0$

$$V_0[λ][q_λ] ≤ ρ_λ$$

as $\lambda → +∞$, $q_λ(0) = 0$, $\lambda → +∞$, $q_λ(0) = 0$, $h(λ) ≠ 0$,  
(1.4)

the zeros of Cauchy problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} y'' + (λ - q_λ(x))y = 0, \\
y(0, λ) = 1, y'(0, λ) = h(λ), \end{array}\right.$$  
(1.5)

which lie in $[0, π]$ and are numbered in ascending order, will be denoted by

$$0 ≤ x_0, λ < x_1, λ < \cdots < x_n(λ),$$

$$λ ≤ π \left( x_{n+1, λ} < 0, x_{n(λ)+1, λ} > π \right).$$  
(1.6)

(Here $x_{n+1, λ} < 0$, and $x_{n(λ)+1, λ} > π$ are the zeros of the extension of solution of the Cauchy problem (1.3) or (1.5) corresponding to some extension of function $q_λ$ outside $[0, π]$ having similar bounds for the variation).

In [23] the properties of the Lagrange type approximation investigated. The operators which include the solution of the Cauchy problem of the form (1.5) or (1.6) and the continuous function which bind

$$S_λ(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{y(x, λ)}{y(x_k, λ)} f(x_k, λ),$$  
(1.7)

it interpolates $f$ at the nodes $\{x_n, λ\}_{k=0}^{n}$.

Let $C_0[0, π] = \{f : f ∈ C[0, π], f(0) = f(π) = 0\}$.

When approximation using sinc approximations (1.1) function $f ∈ C[0, π]$ near the endpoints of the Gibbs phenomenon occurs. This problem can be solved with the help of the reception that was used in the construction of the operator [23], formula (1.9)

$$T_λ(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{y(x, λ)}{y(x_k, λ)} \left( f(x_k, λ) - \frac{f(0) - f(π)}{π} x_k - f(0) \right),$$  
(1.8)

where $(x, λ)$- solution problem Cauchy (1.3) or (1.5) and $x_{n, λ}$- the zeros of the solutions.

2. Main result

Unless otherwise stated, suppose that for each $λ > 1$, $ν := [√λ], Ω := [√λ]$ and $x_{n, λ} := \kappa π/√λ$ and $l_{n, λ}(x) := (-1)^{x/π} sin\frac{x}{π}$. Let $Ω$ set of real continuous non decreasing convex up on $[0, b − a]$, vanishing at zero functions $ω$. Let $C(ω, [a, b])$ and $C(ω', [a, b])$ is the set of elements of $C[a,b]$ such that for any $x$ and $x + h (a ≤ x < x + h ≤ b)$ we have the equalities

$$f(x + h) - f(x) ≥ -K_f ω(h),$$

or $f(x + h) − f(x) ≤ K_f ω(h),$  
(2.1)

accordingly. Where $ω ∈ Ω$. Selecting positive constants $K_f$ may depend only on the function $f$. In this case the function $ω (h)$ is sometimes referred to, accordingly, the left-hand or right-hand continuity module. In principle, the definition of a unilateral module of continuity could be considered any functions $ω(h)$ vanishing at zero, continuous on $[0, b − a]$ or $[0, ∞)$. The wording of all the results of this work in this case, would remain in force. Without loss of generality, in the definition of unilateral modulus of continuity (2.1) can be considered $ω ∈ Ω$.

Classic modulus of continuity $f ∈ C[0, π]$ denoted as usual $ω (f, δ) = sup_{x∈[0, δ]} |f(x + h) − f(x)|$.

The module of continuity of $f ∈ C[0, π]$, if $a = 0, b = π$ will denote
\[ \omega \left( f, \delta \right) = \sup_{[b] \in [0, n]} \left| f(x + h) - f(x) \right|. \]

Module of change of \( f \) on the interval \([a, b]\) is called function defined by the equation

\[ v(n, f) = \sup_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k) \right|, \]

where \( T_n = \{ a \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n \leq b \} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Take a non-negative, non-decreasing convex up function of a natural argument \( v(n) \). If \( f \) is a module of changes of function \( f \) on the interval \([a, b]\), such that \( v(n, f) = 0 \) \((v(n))\) with \( n \to \infty \), then we say that \( f \) belongs to the class \( V(v) \). Here, also, the choice of uniformity of the constants \( c \)-symbolization can only depend on \( f \).

By analogy with the positive (negative) change of function will be called positive (negative) module of change of function \( f \) on the interval \([a, b]\), accordingly, the function of a natural argument type

\[ v^+(n, f) = \sup_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k))_+, \]

and \( v^-(n, f) = \inf_{T_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k))_- \),

where \( z_+ = \frac{x+1}{2} \) and \( z_- = \frac{x-1}{2} \) and \( T_n = \{ a \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n \leq b \} \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We say that \( f \) belongs to the class of \( V^+(v) \) or \( V^-(v) \), if there exists a constant \( M_v \), that for any natural \( n \) true inequality

\[ v^+(n, f) \leq M_v(n) \quad \text{or} \quad v^-(n, f) \geq -M_v(n) \]

accordingly.

We define two functional classes. The function \( f \in C(\omega^1, [a, b]) \cap V^+(v) \) \((f \in C(\omega^2, [a, b]) \cap V^+(v))\) here, \( 0 < a < b < \pi, \quad 0 < \epsilon < (b - a)/2 \), if there is a nondecreasing concave function of a natural argument \( v(n) \) and the function \( \omega \in \Omega \) such that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq n} \omega \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{k!} \right) k^{k-1} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k-1} v(k) = 0, \]

where \( k_1, k_2 + 1 \) — the smallest and largest number of nodes \( x_{k,\lambda} = k\pi/\Omega \) falling in the interval \([a, b]\), and \( f \in C(\omega^1, [a, b]) \cap V^+(v) \) \((f \in C(\omega^2, [a, b]) \cap V^+(v)) \).

The description of the first class contains a restriction only on decreasing the function. The description of the second class contains a restriction only on the increase of the function.

**Theorem 2.1.** If \( f \in C(\omega^1, [a, b]) \cap V^-(v) \) \((f \in C(\omega^2, [a, b]) \cap V^-(v)) \), \( 0 < a < b < n, \ 0 < \epsilon < (b - a)/2 \), then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} |f - C_0(f, \cdot)|_{C[a+\epsilon, b-\epsilon]} = 0. \]

Where operator \( C_0(f, \cdot) \) defined in (1.1).

**Remark 2.2.** On the set \([0, \pi]\) \([a, b]\) ratio (1.1) can be not performed (See(22)).

We present auxiliary results, which will be used in the future.

**Proposition 2.3** (23, Proposition 9). Let \( y(x, \lambda) \) be the solution of Cauchy problem (1.5) or (1.6) and assume that in case of the Cachy problem (1.5) relations (1.2) hold, while in the case of (1.6) relations (1.4) hold. If \( f \in C_0[0, \pi] \), then

\[ \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \left| y(x) - S_\lambda(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})) \right| = 0, \]

For any \( 0 \leq a < b \leq \pi, 0 < \epsilon < (b - a)/2 \) denoted

\[ Q_\lambda(f, [a, b], \epsilon) :=\]

\[ = \max_{p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3} \left| \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{f(x_{2m+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{2m, \lambda})}{p - 2m} \right|. \]

Here the dashes on the summation signs in (2.5) mean that are no terms with zero denominator. Where \( p_1, p_2, m_1, m_2 \) are the indices of the zeros determined by the inequalities

\[ x_{p_1, \lambda} \leq a + \epsilon < x_{p_1+1, \lambda}, \quad x_{p_2, \lambda} \leq b - \epsilon < x_{p_2+1, \lambda}, \]

\[ x_{k_1-1, \lambda} \leq a < x_{k_1, \lambda}, \quad x_{k_2-1, \lambda} \leq b < x_{k_2+1, \lambda}, \]

\[ m_1 = \left[ \frac{k_1}{2} \right] + 1, \quad m_2 = \left[ \frac{k_2}{2} \right]. \]

Here \( [z] \) denote the integer part \( z \).

**Proposition 2.4.** If \( f \) function \( f \in C[0, n] \), then from a ratio

\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} Q_\lambda(f, [a, b], \epsilon) = 0 \]

follows (2.3).

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We denote

\[ \psi_{k, \lambda} = f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda}), \quad k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \ \lambda > 0. \]

We take into account that we have the estimate

\[ |\psi_{k, \lambda}| = |f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})| \geq \omega \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{h}} \right) \]

for all \( k_1 \leq k \leq k_2; \ \lambda > 0. \)

We fix an arbitrary \( x \in [a + \epsilon, b - \epsilon] \). Choose in-
where \( a = a(x, \lambda) \in [0,1] \).

From (2.8) for all \( x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon] \) we have the estimate

\[
\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k + a} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k - 1} \right| \leq \omega \left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)
\]

(2.9)

Notice, that if \( h(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}, q_1 = 0 \) solution of the Cauchy problem (1.5) is \( y(x, \lambda) = \sin \sqrt{\lambda} x \).

We take into account (2.7). We decompose the sum in (2.4) as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in [0,1]} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}(x)
\]

(2.10)

Now, using the triangle inequality, of (2.7), (2.9) uniformly for \( x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon] \) the estimate

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} (f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{k,\lambda})) l_{k,\lambda}
\]

\[
- \sin \sqrt{\lambda} x \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k + a} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k - 1}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k + a} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda}}{p - k - 1} + \frac{5}{\pi} \omega \left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)
\]

(2.11)

There are a constant \( C \) and number \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) independent of function \( f \in C[0,\pi] \), \( 0 \leq a < b \leq \pi \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon < (b-a)/2 \), such that for all \( x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon] \) and \( n > n_0 \) the inequality is fair
\[
\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k|p-k|>n} \psi_{k,\lambda} \right| \leq \frac{4\|f\|_{C[a,b]}}{n+1} + 4\|f\|_{C[a,b]} \sum_{k=tn}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)}.
\]

Hence by (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16) we obtain the uniform estimate for all \( x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon] \)
\[
\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=tn}^{m} \psi_{k,\lambda} \right| = o(1). \tag{2.17}
\]

Notice, that if \( h(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda} \), \( q_1 = 0 \) solution of the Cauchy problem (1.5) is \( y(x,\lambda) = \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x) \). Then by (2.4), (2.5), (2.12), (2.13), (2.17) and triangle inequality we obtain the relation
\[
|f(x) - C_0(f,x)| \leq \left| f(x) - C_0(f,x) - \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}x)}{2\pi} \sum_{k=tn}^{m} (-1)^k \psi_{k,\lambda} \right| + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \left| \psi_{2m,\lambda} \right| + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=tn}^{m_1} \left| \psi_{2k,\lambda} \right| + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=tn}^{m_1} \left| \psi_{2k,\lambda} \right| + O\left( \omega\left( f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} Q_\lambda(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) + o(1).
\]

From which it follows the sufficiency (2.6) for uniform convergence (2.3). Proposition 2.4 proved.

For all \( 0 \leq a < b \leq \pi, 0 < \varepsilon < (b - a)/2 \) denoted \( Q_\lambda(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) = \max_{p,1 \leq p \leq 2} \left| \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=tn}^{m} \left| f(x_{2m+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{2m,\lambda}) \right| \right| \). \tag{2.18}

Proposition 2.5. If function \( f \in C[0,\pi] \), then the ratio of
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_\lambda(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) = 0 \tag{2.19}
\]
implies (2.3).

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 2.4 satisfy the condition (2.19) implies truth of the saying (2.6) and therefore, the ratio (2.3).

Remark 2.6. Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 are analogues of known signs of A.A. Pri-valov uniform convergence of trigonometric polynomial and algebraic interpolations polynomial Lagrange with the matrix of interpolation nodes P.L. Chebyshev \( \text{[33]} \).

Proof of the Theorem 2.1 Let the function \( v \) and \( w \) satisfies the condition (2.2) and \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^-(v) \). We show that the relation (2.19) is true. By virtue of the uniform continuity and boundedness of \( f \), for any positive \( \varepsilon \) there exist natural numbers \( n_1 \) such that for all \( \lambda \geq n_1 \), \( (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}) \) simultaneously take place two inequalities
\[
\omega\left( f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \tag{2.20}
\]
and
\[
24\|f\|_{C[a,b]} < \varepsilon. \tag{2.21}
\]

Let \( \lambda \geq n_1 \). We find \( p_0 \), depending on \( n, a, b, \varepsilon \) and \( f \) at which the maximum in the definition (2.18)
\[
Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) = \sum_{m=m_1}^{m_2} \frac{\left| f(x_{2m+1,\lambda}) - f(x_{2m,\lambda}) \right|}{p_0 - 2m}.
\]

Assuming that
\[
Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\left| f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_k,\lambda) \right|}{p_0 - k}.
\]

The value of \( Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) \) is obtained from \( Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) \) by the addition of non-negative terms, therefore is fair the inequality
\[
Q_\lambda(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) \leq Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon). \tag{2.22}
\]

We divide \( Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) \) into two terms
\[
Q_\lambda^*(f,[a,b],\varepsilon) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\left| f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_k,\lambda) \right|}{p_0 - k} - 2 \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{\left| f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_k,\lambda) \right|}{p_0 - k} = S_1(p_0) + S_2(p_0), \tag{2.23}
\]

where two strokes mean that in the sum are absent non-negative summands and with index \( k = p_0 \).

First, we estimate the first sum. Representing it in the form
\[
S_1(p_0) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_k,\lambda)}{p_0 - k} + \sum_{k=k_1}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1,\lambda}) - f(x_k,\lambda)}{p_0 - k} = S_1(p_0) + S_2(p_0). \tag{2.24}
\]

In the case \( \{k : k \in [k_1, k_2], 0 < |p_0 - k| \geq \varepsilon\} = \emptyset \) believe that the second term is zero.

From the inequality (2.20) have
\[
|S_1(p_0)| \leq 2\omega(f, \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \tag{2.25}
\]

We now estimate the amount \( S_2(p_0) \). If \( p_0 \) such that inequalities are fair \( k_1 \leq p_0 \leq v < p_0 < p_0 + v \leq k_2 \),
then ratios take place \( p_0 - k_1 \geq v \quad k_2 - p_0 \geq v \). Hence by (2.21), after taking the Abel transform we obtain estimate

\[
|S_{1.2}(p_0)| \leq \left| \sum_{k=k_1}^{p_0-v-1} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{p_0 - k} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=p_0+v}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{p_0 - k} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=p_0+v}^{k_2-1} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(p_0 + v)}{(p_0 - k)(k + 1 - p_0)} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=p_0+m+1}^{k_2} \frac{f(x_{k, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda} + v)}{p_0 - k} \right| \leq 4\|f\|_{C[a, b]} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{1+1} + \frac{1}{v} \right) \leq 8\frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
\]

Similarly we prove (2.26), if \( p_0 = 0 \) would be so, that will be inequality \( p_0 - v < k_1 \leq p_0 < p_0 + v \) or inequality \( k_1 - p_0 \leq m \leq k_2 < k_2 + v \). Of the possible variant remained only when \( p_0 - v < k_1 < p_0 < k_2 < p_0 + v \). In this situation, we have \( |S_{1.2}(p_0)| = 0 \).

From (2.24), (2.25) and (2.26) we obtain inequality

\[
|S_{1}(p_0)| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.
\]

for all \( \lambda \geq n_1 \).

Let’s move on to the study of the properties of the sum \( S_{1}(p_0) \). Take any integer \( m : 1 \leq m \leq k_2 - k_1 - 2 \) and represented \( S_{1}(p_0) \) in the form

\[
\sum_{k \in [k_1, k_2 - k_0 + m]} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} \leq \frac{M_f}{2} \left( \frac{v(k - p_0 - m)}{k(k + 1)} + \frac{v(k - m)}{k(k + 1)} \right) \leq 4K_f \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.
\]

We estimate the amount \( S_{2.2}(p_0) \).

\[
0 \leq S_{2.2}(p_0) \leq \sum_{k \in [k_1, k_2 - k_0 + m]} \frac{f(x_{k+1, \lambda}) - f(x_{k, \lambda})}{|p_0 - k|} \leq 4K_f \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + 4K_f \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.
\]

Hence (2.28), (2.29) and (2.30) we have
\[ 0 \leq S_2(p_0) \leq 4K_f \omega \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k_2-k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k_1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right) + 4M_f \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} + 4K_f \omega \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{A}} \right). \]

Conditions (2.2), due to the non-negativity of both summands, equivalent to

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{1 \leq m \leq k - 1} \max_{1 \leq k_2 - k - 1} \left\{ \omega \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{A}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k_2-k_1=1}^{m} \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_2-k_1} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} \right) \right\} = 0.
\]

Therefore there exists an \( n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for every \( \lambda \geq n_2 \) there are \( m : 1 \leq m \leq k - 2 \) for which the inequality

\[
0 \leq S_3(p_0) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad (2.31)
\]

As result of (2.22), (2.23), (2.24), (2.27) and (2.31) we get that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) exists an \( n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \), that for every \( \lambda > n_2 + n_1 \) there exists an \( m : 1 \leq m \leq k - 2 \) for which the inequalities

\[
Q_3^m(f, [a, b], \epsilon) \leq Q_3^m(f, [a, b], \epsilon) < \epsilon
\]

Now Theorem 2.1 follows from Proposition 2.5.

To prove the theorem 2.1 if \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^+(v) \) is sufficient to note that if \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^+(v) \), then \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^-(v) \) and operator \( C_\Omega^+(f, \cdot) \) is linear. Theorem 2.1 proved.

Remark 2.7. In the case when \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^+(v) \) or \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^-(v) \) (\( v \) is the majorant classical module change \( v(n, f_i) \)) in[33] proved that the conditions of the form (2.2) are sufficient for the uniform convergence of trigonometric interpolation processes and sequences of classical Lagrange interpolation polynomials with the matrix of interpolation nodes P.L. Chebyshev.

The paper[34] set uniform convergence of trigonometric Fourier series for the \( 2\pi \) periodic functions of the class \( f \in C(\omega^1 1[a,b]) \cap V^+(v) \), where functions \( \omega(f, \delta) \) are majorants classical modulus of continuity \( \omega(f, \delta) \) and module changes \( v(n, f) \).

Remark 2.8. From Theorem 2.1 it follows that if \( f_1 \in C(\omega^1 [a,b]) \cap V^+(v_1) \), and \( f_2 \in C(\omega^1 [a,b]) \cap V^-(v_2) \), and the two pairs of functions \( (v_i, \omega_i) \), where \( i = 1, 2 \), satisfy the relation (2.2), that, although a linear combination of \( f = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 \) can non-belong to any of classes, however because of the linearity of the operator \( C_\Omega^+(f, \cdot) \), will have the relate (2.3).

Remark 2.9. Each of the classes of functions: Dini-Lipschitz \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega \left( f_n \right) \ln n = 0 \) (see[20], Corollary 2), and satisfying the condition of Krylov (continuous function of bounded variation), is a subset of functional class, described by the terms (2.2).

Remark 2.10. If \( f \in C[0,\pi] \) there are the relations

\[
\nu^+(n, f) \leq \nu(n, f) \leq 2 \nu^+(n, f) + ||f||_{C[0,\pi]},
\]

\[
\nu^-(n, f) \leq \nu(n, f) \leq 2(\nu^-(n, f) + ||f||_{C[0,\pi]}).
\]

Corollary 2.11. From Theorem 2.1 follow that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega \left( f_n \right) \ln n = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \to \infty} \omega \left( f_n \right) \ln n = 0 \text{ ensure fairness (2.3)}. \)

Corollary 2.12. If a non-decreasing, concave function of natural argument \( v \) such that

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(k)}{k^2} < \infty, \quad (2.32)
\]

then for any function \( f \in C[0,\pi] \cap V^+(v) \) its true ratio (2.3). The proof is complete.
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