Chemical Induced Liver Injury: Types, Mechanisms and Biomarkers

Richa Sachan¹, Rajbala Singh²

¹Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea

²kamboj Institute of pharmacy, Saharanpur, Uttarpradesh

ABSTRACT

Liver is a primary organ involved in biotransformation of foods and drugs. Liver diseases are a major worldwide problem; Hepatic disorders are mainly caused by toxic chemicals, e.g. - alcohol, carbon tetra chloride, anticancer agent, analgesic, anti-inflammatory drugs, anti-tuberculosis agent and heavy metals. Various risk factors for liver damage include age, gender, alcoholism, nutrition and genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P₄₅₀ have also been considered. The present review enumerate various hepatic diseases, risk factors and chemicals induced hepatic injury via different mechanical pathway as well as numerous biochemical changes viz. serum biomarkers, proteomics biomarkers, genomic biomarkers, metabolic biomarkers and micro RNA. This review could be immensely useful for researchers especially for pharmacologists, toxicologist working on hepatotoxicity and drug research organization.

Keywords: Liver; Biotransformation; Risk Factors.

1. Introduction

Liver, the largest organ of the human body located between the absorptive surface of gastrointestinal tract offer wide range of functions including protein synthesis, detoxification and production of biochemicals necessary for digestion^[1]. It is central target of the toxicity of drug, xenobiotic and oxidative stress because of an important role in metabolism and relationship to the gastrointestinal tract. The frequent cause of hepatic injury is drug but it also depends upon its anatomical location and its biochemical and physiological function^[2]. Drug and its active metabolite induced different appearance on liver at cellular level as well as genetic level. Extensive use of drugs even at therapeutic level damage liver in susceptible individuals^[3] [Figure1, Figure 2].

Figure 1; Number of death due to cancer (Total=8.2 million death).

Figure 2; Figure illustrate Common factors affecting liver damage OTC (over the counter); BH-3 (B-cell lymphoma-2 homology₃-only members of the B-cell lymphoma-2 protein family).

2. Types of Hepatotoxicity

2.1 Hepatitis

Hepatitis is the most common disease of liver inflammation. Hepatitis viruses are the most common cause of hepatitis in the world but other infections can also cause hepatitis such as toxic substances (e.g. alcohol,

certain drugs), and autoimmune diseases. There are mainly 5 hepatitis virus assigned as A, B, C, D and E among which particularly due to B and C, more than 1 million people die each year (WHO, 2014). Viral infection generally causes inflammatory reaction marked by release of cytokines and chemokines which may lead to cancer development^[4]. Inflammation induced oxidative stress acquire Kupffer cells to promote stellate cells activation via NF- κ B and AP1. Continual activation of these genes results in cirrhosis, fibrosis and severe liver damage leading to development of HCC^[5, 6].

2.2 Cirrhosis

Cirrhosis of liver is an advanced and consequence stage of liver diseases. Excessive use of alcohol and chronic infection with hepatitis viruses (such as hepatitis B and hepatitis C) are the most common causes of cirrhosis.

2.3 Non –alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)

NAFLD is metabolic disorder commonly observed in obese and diabetic patients^[7]. Insulin resistance is a key pathogenic factor resulting in hepatic fat accumulation. The exact mechanism of hepatic triglyceride accumulation and subsequent hepatocellular damage are incompletely understood. Hepatic triglyceride accumulation subsequently leads to hepatic insulin resistance by interfering with tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrates 1 and 2^[8]. This may exacerbate overall insulin resistance^[9, 10].

2.4 Cholestasis

Disruption or failure of bile formation is a pathophysiological process termed as cholestasis. Cholestasis can be defined by three ways like: biochemical, physiological and morphological. In biochemical condition, altered serum constitute observed in cholestasis e.g. hyperbilirubinemia, bile academia and elevated enzymes such as alkalinephosphatase and gamma glutamyl transpeptidase. In physiological condition, reduced bile flow is observed. Morphologically, cholestasis characterized by presence of greenish yellow–orange waxy plugs in hepatocellular canaliculi most evident in the centrilobular areas in many species. This change is often observed by deformation and loss of canalicularmicrovilli^[11, 12].

2.5 Steatosis

Abnormal retention of lipid within the liver cells

lead to generation of Steatosis. Type 2 diabetes, obesity and Steatosis are closely related with each other^[9]. Multiple factors worked together for the development of fatty liver disease. The mechanism of lipid accumulation is not fully understood but probably relate to alterations of the pathways of uptake, synthesis, degradation, or secretion in hepatic lipid metabolism resulting from insulin resistance. Acute exposure of many chemicals e.g. carbon tetra chloride and several drugs e.g. aspirin as well as alcohol can induce Steatosis^[8, 13, 14].

3. Risk Factors

3.1 Age

Generally old age is relatively at high liver damage risk than other ages. The increased risk of drug induced liver damage in elderly carries some biological changes in absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination. Older age was a predictor of cholestatic expression of drug induced liver damage regardless of the type of drug involved^[15, 16] [Figure 2].

3.2 Gender

The research studies clearly pointed towards the women at higher risk for drug induced liver diseases. A study conducted in Japan and Sweden clearly support the percentage of liver injury is 8.7 % in children ranging from 3 to 17 years^[17, 18] [Figure 2].

3.3 Alcohol

Several studies reported the risk of developing liver damage accelerate with the increased alcohol consumption. A lot of studies support the positive relationship between alcohol intake and liver cancer yet its exact role is not fully understood^[19-21] [Figure 2].

3.4 Medication interaction

Concomitant administration of drugs sometime results in interaction which is complex, challenging and complicates causality assessment^[22, 23]. The administration of drugs simultaneously may have mutual effects such as drugs can be synergistic or antagonistic for liver damage. Antibiotic are the most common cause of liver injury in the United States and Europe^[24] [Figure 2].

3.5 Nutrition

Deficiency of nutrition may initiate liver disorder as reported in patients with HIV, tuberculosis or alcoholism. It may be due to reduced hepatic glutathione in liver tissues of these patients^[25, 26] [Figure 2].

3.6 Genetic polymorphism

Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome enzyme and protein involved in the metabolism of drugs are important predisposing factors in liver diseases. Slow acetylation status has increased and severity of anti-tubercular drug induced hepatotoxicity^[27, 28][Figure 2].

3.7 Pre-existing liver disease

Previous studies showed that there is no risk of drug induced liver disease in patients having chronic liver disease. The study performed in Spain and United State have not shown that alcohol consumption increase the severity or chronicity of idiosyncratic drug induced liver disease^[29] but recent data suggest that presence of fatty liver disease or chronic viral hepatitis may stimulate the drug induced liver disease^[30][Figure 2].

4. Chemicals induced Hepatotoxicity

4.1 Alcohol

Consumption of chronic heavy alcohol developed serious health problems including severe liver diseases including fatty liver, alcoholic hepatitis, fibrosis/ cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma^[31]. In a report published by WHO, 70 % of mortality due to liver disease is directly related to alcohol. Alcohol consumption leads to liver injury mainly through endotoxin, oxidative stress and inflammation. The metabolism of alcohol in liver occurred by oxidation mainly supported by alcohol dehydrogenase. Since liver is mainly responsible for metabolizing ingested alcohol; therefore it is more susceptible to alcohol related injury^[32]. Chronic alcohol consumption inhibits hepatic alcohol dehydrogenase and induced biochemical changes mainly cytochrome P450 2E1 isozyme. Both, ADH- and CYP2E1 catalyzed oxidation of ethanol are shown to be associated with generation of acetaldehyde (a reactive aldehyde that binds to cellular proteins and DNA) and/or reactive oxygen spe-

cies (ROS) causing peroxidation of unsaturated lipids and oxidation of proteins and DNA. Such reactions alter systemic redox balance by reducing anti-oxidative capacity [such as glutathione (GSH) depletion] resulting in enhanced oxidative stress. However, it is not well understood whether generation of acetaldehyde and ROS, and depletion of GSH, or both are responsible for oxidative stress in liver disease related to chronic alcohol consumption^[33, 34].

4.2 CCl₄

Carbon tetra chloride in one of the most extensively used toxicant for inducing liver injury for mutagenicity and DNA damage study in animals. Hepatic microsomal enzyme (CYP2E1) metabolized carbon tetra chloride to degraded metabolites, trichloromethyl (CCl₃) and trichloromethyl peroxy (CCl₃O₂) which is mainly responsible for hepatotoxicity^[35]. These metabolites are unstable radicals and show strong binding affinity towards protein and lipids in the cell membrane or removing a hydrogen atom from an unsaturated lipid, there by triggering lipid peroxidation and causing liver damage^[36, 37].

4.3 NSAIDs

NSAIDs are the most commonly prescribed drug for the treatment of rheumatic arthritic disease and other chronic inflammatory disorders^[38]. NSAIDs which mostly influence to produce toxicity are nimesulide, diclofenac and sulindac. NSAIDs are associated with idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity about 19/100,000 treated individual with elevation of serum transaminase to hepatocellular or cholestatic injury and occasionally to fatal hepatitis. Drug induced liver injury is commonly classified in to intrinsic like idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity which is further classified as allergic and non-allergic and other clinical classification differentiate e.g. hepatocellular, cholestatic or mixed liver enzyme patterns, histological criteria, acute vs. chronic onset, or severity^[39, 40] [Table 1].

Associated Drugs	Signature disease	Reference
Methotrexate, Tetracycline, Valproic Acid,	Steatosis	78
Paracetamol, Acetaminophen, Isoniazid, Methyldopa, Troglitazone,	Hepatitis	79,
Thioacetamide,	Cirrhosis	80
Amiodarone, tamoxifen, valproic acid, Perhexiline Male-ate, Amiodarone, Estrogens, Calcium channel blockers	Steatohepatitis	78, 09
Methotrexate, Corticosteroids, Colchicine, Angiotensin inhibitors, Tocopherol,	Fibrosis	80, 81
Amoxicillin/Clavuanate, Clopidogrel, Estrogen, Oral contraceptive, Erythromycin, Amitriptylin, Allopurinol, Captopril, Carbamazepine, ACE inhibitors	Cholestasis	82
Statins, Isoniazid, Acetaminophen, Aspirin, Allopurinol, Ciprofloxacin, Rifampin, Tetracycline, Imatinib, Losartan	Hepatocellular	83

Table 1. Clinical and pathological symptoms of drug-induced liver diseases

4.4 Paracetamol (PCM)

PCM is most widely used analgesic and antipyretic agent which induced liver injury in dose dependent manners. The reactive metabolite, N-acetyl-p-benzoquinoneimine (NAPQI) covalently binds to glutathione protein to form conjugate which lead to irreversible hepatocyte injury and necrosis by different mechanism. NAPQI bind to sulfhydryl group of glutathione causing depletion of hepatic anti-oxidative capacity and oxidative damage of various cell components which result in necrosis ultimately result in death^[41, 42] [Table 1].

4.5 Thioacetamide

In many experiments, Thioacetamide is used for induction of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. It induced liver injury by oxidative stress and mainly due to formation of toxic metabolite Thioacetamide-S-oxide, formed during its biotransformation by the microsomal flavine adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-containing monooxygenase^[43, 44]. Oxidative bioactive Thioacetamide is the toxic metabolite responsible for protein covalent binding that leads to toxicity^[45] [Table 1].

4.6 Azathioprine

Azathioprine is prodrug for mercaptopurine, used as an immunosuppressive drug used in organ transplantation and autoimmune disease like refractory severe rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease^[46]. Although it has

several beneficial effects yet its use is limited due to harmful effect on liver and bone marrow. The mechanisms of azathioprine include depletion of GSH due to free radical formation leading to mitochondrial injury, depletion of ATP and finally lead to death by necrosis thus causing liver injury^[47] [Table 1].

4.7 Anti-tubercular agent

The three key tuberculosis drug isoniazid, pyrazinamide and rifampicin play a central role in inducing hepatotoxicity. A meta-analysis of studies involving the use of combinations of antituberculosis drug regimens mainly in adults has shown an incidence rate of liver toxicity of 2.6% with isoniazid and rifampicin co-administration Isoniazid is a prodrug which is activated by catalase-peroxidase enzyme^[48]. This drug is mainly metabolized by the microsomal enzyme, CYP2E1 by acylation in liver. The principal metabolite, N-hydroxy-acetyl hydrazine undergoes further dehydration to form toxic metabolite, acetyl diazine which further break in to acetylonium ion, acetyl radical and ketenes which bind to hepatic macromolecules and induce liver injury^[49] [Table 1].

Rifampicin is another drug used in treatment of tuberculosis, also cause liver injury is metabolized to desacetyl rifampicin by desacetylation and further hydrolyzed to 3-formyl rifampicin which is the main inducing agent for liver injury^[50].

5. Mechanisms of Hepatotoxicity

Since liver received blood majorly from gastrointestinal viscera, which also bring drugs and xenobiotics in concentrated form, Because of an important target of metabolism and unique relationship with gastrointestinal tract, it's become a target for toxicity of drugs, xenobiotics and oxidative stress. Hepatic injury may cause due to direct cell toxicity of chemicals or metabolic. Hepatic injury occurred by different mechanism include bile acid induced apoptosis during cholestasis, pathophysiological effect of mitochondrial dysfunction and cell damage by reactive oxygen species and nitrogen species.

5.1 Bile acid induced hepatocyte apoptosis

Bile acid only synthesized by liver, important for metabolism of fatty acid and lack of bile acid lead to a pathophysiological headway termed as cholestasis. Accumulation of bile acid during cholestasis leads to hepatocyte apoptosis due to stimulation of magnesium ion dependent endonuclease. Hydrophobic bile acids are especially hepatotoxic, and they accumulate in the liver in cholestatic disorder. The failure to secrete bile acids in to the bile results in liver injury, cirrhosis and death from liver failure^[51]. Apoptosis mainly occurred by two path ways (1) death receptor pathway and (2) the mitochondrial pathway. To determine if death-receptor pathways contribute to bile acid-mediated apoptosis, hepatocytes from tumor necrosis factor-receptor 1 (TNF-R1) and Fas-deficient mice were exposed to GCDC. TNF-R1 and Fas are the predominant death receptors expressed by hepatocytes. Hepatocytes from Fas-deficient *lpr* mice were resistant to GCDC-mediated apoptosis, whereas TNF-R1-deficient hepatocytes readily underwent apoptosis. Unexpectedly, hepatocytes from Fas ligand-deficient mice were also sensitive to GCDC stimulated apoptosis. These data implicate ligand-independent Fas-mediated apoptosis as a contributing mechanism for bile acid-related liver injury. To further test this concept, the bile ducts of wild type and Fas-deficient mice were ligated to produce severe extrahepatic cholestasis. Caspase 8, an initiator cysteine-aspartate protease in apoptosis, was activated in wild type animals but not Fas-deficient mice. Bile duct ligated Fas-deficient animals also had less apoptosis, decreased liver injury, and improved survival as compared to wild type mice. Thus,

Fas activation appears to play a dominant role in bile acid cytotoxicity^[52, 53] [Figure 3,4].

Figure 3; Bile acid-induced hepatocyte apoptosis; Bile acids are normally secreted rapidly from hepatocytes by transporters located in the canalicular membrane. In cholestasis, secretion is impaired, resulting in elevated concentrations of toxic bile acids (TBA) within hepatocytes. At pathophysiologic concentrations, toxic bile acids trigger translocation of intracellular Fas bearing vesicles to the plasma membrane where they self-aggregate in the absence of ligand. Activated Fas receptor complexes on the plasma membrane then cause caspase 8 activation and an apoptotic cascade.

Figure 4; Drug induced mitochondrial dysfunction APAF (Apoptotic protease activating factor); FADD (Fas-Associated protein with Death Domain).

5.2 Drug induced mitochondrial dysfunction

Finding the mechanism of drug induced liver injury is a challenge because it always involves several mechanisms, regulatory system and risk factor complex interaction. Drug induced liver injury involves intrinsic and extrinsic pathways emphasizing the central role of mitochondria for the mechanisms leading to apoptosis. Drug

or its active metabolites create direct cell stress by which it target mitochondrial function. Reactive metabolites can exert initial cell stress through a wide range of mechanisms including depletion of glutathione (GSH), or binding to enzymes, lipids, nucleic acids and other cell structures^[54] [Figure 3,4].

6. Biomarkers of Hepatotoxicity

6.1 Serum biomarker

6.1.1 ALT

The most widely used clinical biomarker of liver disease in preclinical species and humans is ALT. Alanine is present in liver in higher concentration and ALT is responsible for its metabolism (transamination)^[55]. When hepatocyte liver injury occurs, the liver abundant ALT will leak in to the extracellular space and enter the blood, wherein it shows a slow clearance rate with a half-life of approximately 42 hr. The typical reference range is 7-35 IU/L in females and 10-40IU/L in males. An elevation of serum ALT activity is often reflective of liver damage. Unfortunately, extra-hepatic injury such as muscle injury can also lead to elevation in ALT making ALT not entirely hepato-specific. In addition,

fenofibrate was found to increase ALT gene expression in the absence of apparent liver injury and hepatotoxin microcystin-LR was reported to suppress Alt gene expression^[56, 57] [Figure 5 ,6] [Table 2].

Figure 5; Biochemical indicators of hepatotoxicity (↑) increased value during hepatotoxicity, (↓) decreased value during hepatotoxicity)ALP(Alanine phosphate);AST (Aspartate aminotransferase); CH (cholesterol);γ-GGTP (γ-glutamyl transpeptidase); GDH (glutamate dehydrogenase); HDL (high density lipoprotein); LDL (low density lipoprotein); MDH (malate dehydrogenase); SDH (sorbitol dehydrogenase); TB(Total bilirubin);TG(triglyceride);VLDL (very low density lipoprotein).

Figure 6. Figure illustrate cellular, molecular, immunological and biochemical alteration during hepatotoxicity induced by various factors. ALT (Alamine aminotransferase); AST (Aspartate aminotransferase); LDL (low density lipoprotein); HDL (high density lipoprotein); TB (Total bilirubin); TG (triglyceride); JNK (C-Jun N-terminal kinase; IL (interleukins); TNF-α (Tumor necrosis factor-α); ER (endoplasmic reticulum); TRAIL (Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis inducing ligand).

Bio-marker	Specific function	Tissue Localization	Injury	Specific damage marker	Comments	References
ALT	Transamination in alanine cycle	Primarily localized in liver	Elevated in blood due to liver necrosis and with heart and skeletal muscle injury (necrosis)	Hepatocellular necrosis	Commonly used to assess hepatocellular injury	55, 56, 57
AST	Catalyzes the reversible transfer of an α -amino group between aspartate and glutamate	Localized in heart, brain, skeletal muscle and liver	Elevated in blood due to liver or extrahepatic tissue injury	Hepatocellular necrosis	Less specific than ALT	56, 58
TBL	Main physiologic role as an antioxidant	Taken up, conjugated in liver and secreted in bile	increased markers of hepatobiliary injury and liver function, due to hemolysis	Cholestasis, biliary, liver function	Conventional biliary injury, in conjunction with ALT, better indicator of disease severity in humans	56, 57, 58
ALP	Remove phosphate group from molecules such as proteins, nucleotides etc.	Broad tissue localization	Marker of hepatobiliary injury	Cholestasis	Conventional biliary injury, associated with drug induced cholestasis in humans	60
GGT	Involve in transfer of amino acids across the cellular membrane and glutathione metabolism	Activity localized to kidney > liver, pancreas	Marker of hepatobiliary injury	Cholestasis, biliary	Conventional biliary injury, high sensitivity in humans, elevation caused by alcohol/ heart disease	57
Albumin	Regulate colloidal osmotic pressure of blood	Main constituent of serum total protein	Decreased in blood with chronic liver disease	Liver function	Liver fails to synthesize enough protein, especially albumin	84
Cholesterol/ triglycerides	Build and maintain cell membrane as well as fluidity of membrane	Cell membrane localization	Increased in blood due to the failure of bile elimination	Liver function	Liver fails to remove them to bile ducts	85

Urobilinogen			Low level in urine due to biliary obstruction	Liver function	Colorless product of bilirubin reduction, similar role to bilirubin	86
--------------	--	--	---	----------------	---	----

Table 2. Summary of current clinical biomarkers of liver toxicity

ALP (Alanine phosphate); ALT(Alanine aminotransferase); AST (Aspartate aminotransferase); GGT (Gamma glutamyl transferase); TBL(Total bilirubin)

6.1.2 Aspartate amino transferase (AST)

In the last 30 years, AST has also been proved as a standard biomarker for identification of severity of various liver diseases^[56, 58]. Similar to ALT, AST is responsible for metabolism (transamination of aspartate). Even though the sensitivity of the AST test is believed to be lower than that of ALT because of low mitochondrial enzyme, it is still a widely used liver biomarker^[57] [Figure 5, 6] [Table 2].

6.1.3 Alkaline phosphate activity (ALP)

ALP is mainly present in cell membranes in multiple tissues mainly in hepatocytes. At alkaline pH, it hydrolyzes monophosphates. Several isoenzymes have been identified in different organs like intestinal, kidney and placental forms. Identification of bile duct blocked when ALP concentration increased. It is also identified as major diagnostic biomarker as recommended as FDA guidance and by clinicians^[57] [Figure 5, 6] [Table 2]

6.1.4 Total bilirubin

Total bilirubin is a composite of indirect (nonhepatic) and direct (hepatic) bilirubin. This product of hemoglobin degradation is a marker of hepatobiliary injury, especially cholestasis and biliary effects^[59]. In acute human hepatic injury, total bilirubin can be a better indicator of disease severity compared to ALT. Bilirubin may also be increased due to non-hepatic causes such as he-

molysis. Analysis of indirect compared to direct bilirubin does not necessarily add information in routine assessment when compared to total bilirubin^[60] [Figure 6] [Table 2].

6.1.5 Gamma-glutamyl transferase activity (GGT)

Gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) activity is localized to liver, kidney, and pancreas tissues, yet enzyme concentration in liver is low compared to kidney GGT has multiple functions including catalytic transfer of gamma-glutamyl groups to amino acids and short peptides hydrolysis of GSH to a gamma-glutamyl moiety and cysteinyl glycine in GSH and GSH conjugate catabolism. GGT activity is a marker of hepatobiliary injury, especially cholestasis and biliary effects^[61] [Figure 6] [Table 2].

6.1.6 Bile acid

Bile acids functionally contribute to the catabolism and elimination of cholesterol; are the primary determinant of bile flow; regulate pancreatic secretions; and release of GI peptides, and contribute to the digestion and absorption of fat (and indirectly fat-soluble vitamins) in the small intestine. Total bile acids are also implicated in various signal transduction pathways and are elevated with liver injury and functional change; it can be influenced by diet and fasting^[62, 63] [Figure 6] [Table 2, 3].

Biomarker candidate	Bio-fluid evaluated	Origin	Proposed indication	References
Interleukin-1	Plasma	Produced by a variety of cells	Cellular response to tissue damage	87
Glutathione S-transferase P-form	serum	Present in the hepatocytes	Hepatocellular injury	88
Glutamate dehydrogenase	serum	Primarily found in the liver and to a lesser degree in the kidney and skeletal muscle	Hepatocellular necrosis	88,
Malate dehydrogenase	serum	Localized in the mitochondria and extra mitochondrial compartment, found primarily in the liver but also in skeletal muscle, heart and brain	Hepatocellular necrosis	88
Purine nucleoside phosphorylase	serum	Primarily in the liver but also present in heart muscle and brain; mainly in the cytoplasm of endothelial cells, kupffer cells, and hepatocytes	Hepatocellular necrosis	46
Paraoxanase 1	serum	Produced primarily in the liver but also found in the kidney , brain and lung	Hepatocellular necrosis	20
Glutathione S-transferase alpha	serum	Liver specific	Hepatocellular necrosis	81
Apopipoprotein E	serum	Produced in the liver but also found in the brain and kidney	Hepatocellular necrosis	56
Bile acids	Urine serum	Synthesized primarily in the liver	Liver dysfunction including intrahepatic cholestasis	89
Steroids	Urine	Metabolites of Cholesterol	Oxidative stress and liver damage	90
Acylcarnitines	Urine serum	Located in the heart, muscle, brain, liver and kidney	Failure of fatty acid oxidation	91
miRNA-122	Plasma/Serum	Liver specific expression	Viral-, alcohol- and chemical induced liver injury	92
miRNA-192	Plasma/Serum	Liver specific expression	Chemical induced liver injury	92
miRNA-291a-5p	Urine	Unknown	Chemical induced liver injury	93

Table 3. Summary of emerging biomarkers of liver toxicity

6.2 Genomic approach:

Genomics is a discipline in genetics that applies recombinant DNA, DNA sequencing methods, and bioinformatics to sequence, assemble, and analyze

the function and structure of genomes (the complete set of DNA within a single cell of an organism)^[64]. In the identification of drug induced liver injury, the use of genomic approach to determine patterns of changes in

mRNA transcripts, introduced as toxicogenomics grab the attention^[65] [Table 4].

Types of hepatotoxicity	Drug associated with hepatotoxicity	Types of Human study	Enzyme/H LA allele	Reference
Virus	Efavirenz	Open-label trial (n=156)	CYP2B6	94
Drug	Anti-tuberculosis drug	Cohort study (n=89)	SLCO1B1 & SLC10A1	95
Drug	Anti-tuberculosis drug	Case study (n=445)	IL-4 and IL-10	92
Drug	Isoniazid-containing antituberculosis drug regimen	Case study (n=33)	cytochrome P450E1	96
Drug	Rifampin	Open study (n=273)	SLCO1B1 15 haplotype	97
Drug-induced idiopathic hepatitis	Chlorpromazine	Case study (n=71)	HLA-D R6	1

Table 4. Select examples of genetic polymorphisms associated with a possible increased risk of hepatotoxicity from specific drugs

6.3 Proteomics evaluation:

The qualitative and quantitative proteomics evaluation is an important step to differentiate the protein expression for better understanding of novel protein biomarker in diverse biological function^[66, 67] [Table 5].

Disease	Manifestation	Biomarker	Reference
Nonalcoholic fatty	Inflammation	Ferritin	66
		High sensitivity-reactive protein	66, 98
		TNF- α	
		Adipokines	
		Adiponectin	66
		Visfatin	
		Leptin	
		IL-6	99

liver disease	Apoptosis	Cytokeratin-18	66
	Oxidative stress	Malonaldehyde	
		TBARS	
		Oxidised (LDL)	66
	Fibrosis	Hyaluronic acid	66
		Type IV collagen S	
		Fibronectin,	
		TIMP1	
		Procollagen III N peptide	

Table 5. Select examples of proteomics biomarker along with hepatotoxicity

TNF- α (Tumor necrosis factor- α), **TBARS** (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances), **LDL** (low density lipoprotein), **IL-6** (Interleukin-6), **TIMP1**(tissue inhibitor of metalloproteases)

6.4 Metabolomics approach:

Metabolomics involved the measurement of the metabolite pool that exists within a cell or tissues under a particular set of conditions. The metabolic profile is greatly influenced by both genetic and environmental factors, thereby providing phenotypic-specific data that can be evaluated in a longitudinal manner. Metabolomics analyses focus on the discovery of novel, clinically relevant biomarkers in easily obtained bio fluids such as urine and serum^[68]. As hepatotoxicity is the major cause for drug related adverse events, metabolomics has been employed in multiple preclinical studies to identify more selective markers of drug induced liver injury. Metabolites from several major pathways have been reported in multiple studies^[69] [Table 3].

6.5 Micro RNA as biomarker:

Micro RNAs are short approx 22 nucleotide, noncoding RNAs which have been recently identified as vital post transcriptional regulators of gene expression in most eukaryotic genome^[69, 70]. The human genome is predicted to encode ~1000 mi RNAs and it is assumed that they can regulate approximately one third of all human transcripts^[71]. Recent several studies proved mi-RNA as useful noninvasive diagnostic marker and its unique stability with unique position in biofluid including blood and urine in liver diseases^[72, 73, 74, 75] [Table 6].

mi-RNA omarker	bi-	Etiology	Inference	Reference
miR-224		HCC(n=19)	Up-regulation of miR-224 reflect HCC	100
miR-500		HCC (n=40)	an oncofetal miRNA, highly expressed in fetal liver aberrantly expressed in HCC	101
miR-338		HCC (n=20)	Down regulation of miR-338 in HCC metastasis	102
miR-122		Hepatitis B viral infection (n=83)	Increase of miR-122 in Hepatitis B viral infection	71, 103
miR-25, -92a, let7f, miR-375		Hepatitis B viral infection (n ≈ 150)	miR-375 is Hepatitis B viral infection specific and predicts HCC	73
miR-122, miR-34a		Chronic Hepatitis C viral infection (n=) and Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (n= 34)	Both display liver damage and fibrosis	104
miR-885-5p		HCC,Liver cancer and Chronic hepatitis B (n ≈ 100)	specifically predict HCC	104
miR-122,-222 , -223 miR-21		HBV patients without HCC (n=48) and HBV patients with HCC	miR-122 up-regulation in HBV patients with HCC	105
miR-29, miR-133a		HCC	miR-29 increase while miR-133a down-regulation reflect the liver fibrosis	106
miR-122, miR -192		Acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury	Up-regulation reflect liver damage	107
miR-21, miR -122, miR -223		Hepatocellular carcinoma, Chronic hepatitis b virus (n ≈ 150)	All increased in Hepatocellular carcinoma, Chronic hepatitis b virus	108
miR-122, miR-192, miR-21, miR-223, miR-26a, miR-27a and miR-801		Hepatitis B Virus–Related HCC (n ≈ 800)	Clearly differentiate between health Hepatitis B Virus and Hepatocellular carcinoma	109
miR-122		Hepatitis C Virus (n =68)	Correlate the necro-inflammation with chronic hepatitis C virus infection	110
miR-122, miR -148a, miR -194		Liver transplant	Show the rejection and hepatic injury after liver transplantation	111
miR-571, miR-652		Hepatitis C induced liver cirrhosis or Alcoholic patients	reflect their putative roles in the mediation of fibrogenic and inflammatory processes in distinct cellular compartments involved in the pathogenesis of liver cirrhosis	106
miR-21		HCC, chronic hepatitis (n = 166)	A promising biomarker for HCC	112
miR-106b ,miR 181 b		Hepatitis B Virus (n=62)	Show high diagnostic accuracy for liver cirrhosis	90
miR-197-3p,mi R-505-3p		Primary biliary cirrhosis(n=10), Hepatitis B Virus (n= 5), Hepatitis C Virus (n=5)	Down-regulation of both these markers serve as clinical biomarker of primary biliary cirrhosis	113
miR-483-5p		HCC (n=69)	Highly expressed in HCC tumor tissues	114
miR-20a and miR-92a		Hepatitis C Virus (N=58)	Sensitive and cost-effective biomarkers for early detection of HCV infection.	115
miR-122		chronic Hepatitis C Virus-induced fibrosis (n=164)	Reflect the liver injury and inflammation	116
16 miRNA panel		Hepatitis B Virus in children(n=60)	Reflect Hepatitis B Virus in children	117
microRNA-122 , microRNA-22		Hepatitis B virus (HBV) (n=198)	miR-122 and miR-22 levels were elevated in acute or chronic HBV infection	118

miR-125b-5p and miR223-3p	Hepatitis B Virus -positive HCC (n=66)	Novel biomarkers of HBV-positive HCC in very early and chronic stage	119
miR-122	chronic hepatitis C patients(n=25)	miR-122 reflects HCV infection	120
miR-106 b	Hepatocellular carcinoma (n=104)	Independent biomarker for predicting the clinical prognosis of HCC	121
52 miRNA panel	HCV-associated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (n = 97)	Reflect HCV-associated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma	122

Table 6. Some studies show serum alteration on mi RNA in human liver diseases

7. Conclusion

Liver being a dynamic and vital organ participates actively in multi-metabolic functions of foods, chemicals, biological and xenobiotic as well as detoxification of viral and bacterial products. The present review focus on types of liver injury and damage elicited by various factors along with serum, genomics, proteomics, and metabolomics biomarkers. This review also focuses on new emerging biomarkers mi RNA appeared in different liver diseases. The various risk factors such as age, gender, alcohol, medication interaction, genetic factors and nutrition are also been outlined. All the biomarkers considered show specificity and sensitivity which is useful tool in understanding the liver injury. Furthermore the review should be helpful for researchers pursuing in field of Hepatology, hepatic disorders and Hepatoprotective drugs.

Author Contribution

The first author of the manuscript has given a frame to manuscript while work has done by corresponding author.

Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest with anyone.

Acknowledgments

There is no funding or sponsorship.

References

- Njoku DB. Drug-induced hepatotoxicity: Metabolic, genetic and immunological basis. *International Journal of Molecular Science* 2014; 15(4):6990-7003.
- Abboud G, Kaplowitz N. Drug-induced liver injury. *Drug Safety* 2007; 30:277-94.
- Boelsterli UA, Lim PL. Mitochondrial abnormalities--A link to idiosyncratic drug hepatotoxicity. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 2007; 220:92-107.
- Balkwill F, Mantovani A. Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow? *Lancet* 2001; 357:539-545.
- Fattovich G, Stroffolini T, Zagni I, *et al.* Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis: Incidence and risk factors. *Gastroenterology* 2004; 127:35-50.
- Ganem D, Prince AM. Hepatitis B virus infection—Natural history and clinical consequences. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2004; 350:1118-1129.
- Björnsson E, Angulo P: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *The Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 2007; 42:1023-1030.
- Angulo P. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Mexican Journal of Gastroenterology* 2005; 70:52-56.
- Farrell G C, Larter CZ. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: From steatosis to cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2006; 43:99-112.
- Samuel VT, Liu ZX, Qu X, *et al.* Mechanism of hepatic insulin resistance in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2014; 279:32345-32353.
- Hirschfield GM, Chapman RW, Karlsen TH, *et al.* Reviews in basic and clinical gastroenterology and hepatology. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144:1357-1374.
- Katarey D, Verma S. Drug induced liver injury. *Clinical Medicine* 2016; 16:104-109.
- Saadeh S. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Obesity. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice* 2007; 22:1-10.
- Powell EE, Jonsson JR, Clouston AD. Steatosis:

- Co-factor in other liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2005; 42:5-13.
15. Björnsson ES, Bergmann OM, Björnsson H, *et al.* Incidence, presentation, and outcomes in patients with drug-induced liver injury in the general population of Iceland. *Gastroenterology* 2013; 144:1419-1425.
 16. Lucena MI, Andrade RJ, Kaplowitz N, *et al.* Phenotypic characterization of idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury: The influence of age and sex. *Hepatology* 2009; 49:2001-2009.
 17. Fernandez-Liz E, Modamio P, Catalan A, *et al.* Identifying how age and gender influence prescription drug use in a primary healthcare environment in Catalonia, Spain. *The British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2008; 65:407-417.
 18. Devarbhavi H, Dierkhising R, Kremers WK. Anti-tuberculosis therapy drug-induced liver injury and acute liver failure. *Hepatology* 2010; 52:798-799.
 19. Michikawa T, Inoue M, Sawada N, *et al.* Development of a prediction model for 10-year risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in middle-aged Japanese: The Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study Cohort II. *Preventive Medicine* 2012; 55:137-143.
 20. Turati F, Galeone C, Rota M, *et al.* Alcohol and liver cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *The Annals of Oncology* 2014; 25:1526-1535.
 21. Thomson CA, Wertheim BC, Hingle M *et al.* Alcohol consumption and body weight change in post menopausal women: Results from the Women's Health Initiative. *International Journal of Obesity (London)* 2012; 36:1158-1164.
 22. Chamorro JG, Castagnino JP, Musella RM, *et al.* Sex, ethnicity, and slow acetylator profile are the major causes of hepatotoxicity induced by antituberculosis drugs. *The European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 2013; 28:323-328.
 23. FDA: Guidance for Industry Drug Interaction Studies—Study Design, Data Analysis, Implications for Dosing, and Labeling. Recommendation-<http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.
 24. Zaccara G, Perucca E. Interactions between antiepileptic drugs, and between antiepileptic drugs and other drugs. *Epileptic Disorder* 2014; 16:409-31.
 25. Holecek M. Ammonia and amino acid profiles in liver cirrhosis: Effects of variables leading to hepatic encephalopathy *Nutrition*. 2015; 31:14-20.
 26. Singla R, Sharma SK, Mohan A, *et al.* Evaluation of risk factors for antituberculosis treatment induced hepatotoxicity. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2010; 132:81-86.
 27. Neafsey P, Ginsberg G, Hattis D, *et al.* Genetic polymorphism in CYP2E1: Population distribution of CYP2E1 activity. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part B* 2009; 12:362-388.
 28. Vuilleumier N, Rossier MF, Chiappe A, *et al.* CYP2E1 genotype and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in patients treated for latent tuberculosis. *The European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2006; 62:423-429.
 29. Chalasani N, Fontana RJ, Bonkovsky HL, *et al.* Causes, clinical features, and outcomes from a prospective study of drug-induced liver injury in the United States. *Gastroenterology* 2008; 135:1924-1934.
 30. Lammert C, Einarsson S, Saha C, *et al.* Relationship between daily dose of oral medications and idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury: Search for signals. *Hepatology* 2008; 47:2003-2009.
 31. Pari L, Karthikesan K. Protective role of caffeic acid against alcohol-induced biochemical changes in rats. *Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology* 2007; 21:355-361.
 32. Testino G, Leone S, Borro P. Alcohol and hepatocellular carcinoma: A review and a point of view. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 2014; 20:15943-15954.
 33. Sheron N, Hawkey C, Gilmore I. Projections of alcohol deaths--A wake-up call. *Lancet* 2011; 377:1297-1299.
 34. Saravanan S, Pandikumar P, Pazhanivel N, *et al.* Hepatoprotective role of *abelmoschus esculentus* (Linn.) moench, on carbon tetrachloride-induced liver injury. *Toxicology Mechanisms and Meth-*

- ods 2013; 23:528-536.
35. Manibusan MK, Odin M, Eastmond DA. Postulated carbon tetrachloride mode of action: A review. *The Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C: Environmental Carcinogenesis and Ecotoxicology Reviews* 2007; 25: 185-209.
 36. Feng Y, Wang N, Ye X, *et al.* Hepatoprotective effect and its possible mechanism of coptidis rhizoma aqueous extract on carbon tetrachloride-induced chronic liver hepatotoxicity in rats. *The Journal of Ethno pharmacology* 2011; 138:683-690.
 37. Debnath S, Ghosh S, Hazra B. Inhibitory effect of nymphaea pubescens wild flower extract on carrageenan-induced inflammation and CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 2013; 59:485-491.
 38. Bissoli F. Nimesulide-induced hepatotoxicity and fatal hepatic failure 2008; 49: 436-437.
 39. Hussaini SH, Farrington EA. Idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury: An update on the 2007 overview. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety* 2014; 13:67-81.
 40. Unzueta A, Vargas HE. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced hepatotoxicity. *Clinical liver Disease* 2013; 17:643-56.
 41. Cover C, Liu J, Farhood A, *et al.* Pathophysiological role of the acute inflammatory response during acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 2006; 216:98-107.
 42. Graham GG, Scott KF, Day RO. Tolerability of paracetamol. *Drug Safety* 2005; 28:227-40.
 43. Lee TY, Chang HH, Wen CK, *et al.* Modulation of thioacetamide induced hepatic inflammation, angiogenesis and fibrosis by andrographolide in mice. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 2014; 158:423-430.
 44. Jang YO, Kim MY, Cho MY, *et al.* Effect of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells on hepatic fibrosis in a thioacetamide-induced cirrhotic rat model. *BMC Gastroenterology* 2014; 14:1-12.
 45. Hajovsky H, Hu G, Koen Y, *et al.* Metabolism and toxicity of thioacetamide and thioacetamide S-oxide in rat hepatocytes. *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 2012; 25:1955-1963.
 46. Petit E, Langouet S, Akhdar H, *et al.* Differential toxic effects of azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine and 6-thioguanine on human hepatocytes. *Toxicology In Vitro* 2008; 22: 632-642.
 47. El-Beshbishy HA, Tork OM, El-Bab MF, *et al.* Antioxidant and antiapoptotic effects of green tea polyphenols against azathioprine-induced liver injury in rats. *Pathophysiology* 2011; 18:125-135.
 48. Suarez J, Rangelova K, Jarzecki AA, *et al.* An oxyferrous heme/protein-based radical intermediate is catalytic ally competent in the catalase reaction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis catalase-peroxidase. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2009; 284:7017-7029.
 49. Saad E I, El-Gowilly SM, Sherhaa MO, *et al.* Role of oxidative stress and nitric oxide in the protective effects of alpha-lipoic acid and aminoguanidine against isoniazid-rifampicin-induced hepatotoxicity in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 2010; 48:1869-1875.
 50. Huang YS, Chern HD, Su WJ, *et al.* Cytochrome P450 2E1 genotype and the susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. *Hepatology* 2003; 37:924-930.
 51. Strautnieks SS, Bull LN, Knisely AS, *et al.* A gene encoding a liver-specific ABC transporter is mutated in progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis. *Nature Genetics* 1998; 20:233-238.
 52. Faubion WA, Gores GJ. Death receptors in liver biology and pathobiology. *Hepatology* 1999; 29:1-4.
 53. Faubion WA, Guicciardi ME, Miyoshi H, *et al.* Toxic bile salts induce rodent hepatocyte apoptosis via direct activation of Fas. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 1999; 103:137-145.
 54. Miyoshi H, Rust C, Roberts PJ *et al.* Hepatocyte apoptosis after bile duct ligation in the mouse involves Fas. *Gastroenterology* 1999; 117:669-677.
 55. Amacher DE. *Toxicologist's guide to biomarkers*

- of hepatic response. *Human & Experimental Toxicology* 2002; 21:253-262.
56. Ozer J, Ratner M, Shaw M, *et al.* The current state of serum biomarkers of hepatotoxicity. *Toxicology* 2008; 245:194-205.
 57. Shi L, Wu LL, Yang JR, *et al.* Serum peroxiredoxin3 is a useful biomarker for early diagnosis and assessemnt of prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in Chinese patients. *The Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention* 2014; 15:2979-2986.
 58. Kim HC, Nam CM, Jee SH, *et al.* Normal serum aminotransferase concentration and risk of mortality from liver disease: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2004; 328:1-6.
 59. Zhao P, Liu WW, Li JF, *et al.* Predictors of liver failure in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences* 2015; 28:1-5.
 60. Wintrobe MM, Greer JP. *Wintrobe's Clinical hematology*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 12th edition 2009.
 61. Targher G. Elevated serum gamma-glutamyltransferase activity is associated with increased risk of mortality, incident type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular events, chronic kidney disease and cancer - a narrative review. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine* 2010; 48:147-157.
 62. Geuken E, Visser D, Kuipers F, *et al.* Rapid increase of bile salt secretion is associated with bile duct injury after human liver transplantation. *Journal of Hepatology* 2004; 41:1017-1025.
 63. Zollner G, Marschall HU, Wagner M, *et al.* Role of nuclear receptors in the adaptive response to bile acids and cholestasis: Pathogenetic and therapeutic considerations. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* 2006; 3:231-251.
 64. Ji C, Wu H, Wei L, *et al.* Proteomic and metabolomic analysis reveal gender-specific responses of mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* to 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (BDE 47). *Aquatic Toxicology* 2013; 15:449-457.
 65. Mendrick DL, Schnackenberg L. Genomic and metabolomic advances in the identification of disease and adverse event biomarkers. *Biomarkers in Medicine* 2009; 3:605-615.
 66. Fitzpatrick E, Dhawan A. Noninvasive biomarkers in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Current status and a glimpse of the future. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 2014; 20:10851-10863.
 67. Gupta N, Benhamida J, Bhargava Vang J, *et al.* Comparative proteogenomics: Combining mass spectrometry and comparative genomics to analyze multiple genomes. *Genome Research* 2008; 18:1133-1142.
 68. Coen M. Metabolic phenotyping applied to pre-clinical and clinical studies of acetaminophen metabolism and hepatotoxicity. *Drug Metabolism Reviews* 2015; 23:1-16.
 69. Filipowicz W, Bhattacharyya SN, Sonenberg N. Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: Are the answers in sight? *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2008; 9:102-114.
 70. Gori M, Arciello M, Balsano C. MicroRNAs in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Novel biomarkers and prognostic tools during the transition from steatosis to hepatocarcinoma. *BioMed Research International* 2014; 1-14.
 71. Zhang Y, Jia Y, Zheng R, *et al.* Plasma microRNA-122 as a biomarker for viral-, alcohol-, and chemical-related hepatic diseases. *Clinical Chemistry* 2010; 56:1830-1838.
 72. Baraniskin A, Kuhnhenh J, Schlegel U, *et al.* Identification of microRNAs in the cerebrospinal fluid as marker for primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma of the central nervous system. *Blood* 2011; 117:3140-3146.
 73. Cermelli S, Ruggieri A, Marrero JA, *et al.* Circulating microRNAs in patients with chronic hepatitis C and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLoS One* 2011; 6:1-8.
 74. Roderburg C, Mollnow T, Bongaerts B, *et al.* Micro-RNA profiling in human serum reveals compartment-specific roles of miR-571 and miR-652 in liver cirrhosis. *PLoS One* 2012; 7:1-11.
 75. Tan Y, Ge G, Pan T, *et al.* A pilot study of serum microRNAs panel as potential biomarkers for diagnosis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

- PLoS One 2014; 9:1-12.
76. Bosetti C, Levi F, Lucchini F *et al.* Worldwide mortality from cirrhosis: An update to 2002. *Journal of Hepatology* 2007; 46:827-839.
 77. Mokdad AA, Lopez AD, Shahrz S, *et al.* Liver cirrhosis mortality in 187 countries between 1980 and 2010: a systematic analysis. *BMC Medicine* 2014; 18:1-24.
 78. Vitins AP, Kienhuis AS, Speksnijder EN, *et al.* Mechanisms of amiodarone and valproic acid induced liver steatosis in mouse in vivo act as a template for other hepatotoxicity models. *Archives of Toxicology* 2014; 88:1573-1588.
 79. Slim R, Fathallah N, Aounallah A, *et al.* Paracetamol-induced Stevens Johnson syndrome and cholestatic hepatitis. *Current drug safety* 2015; 10:187-189.
 80. Xie Y, Wang G, Wang H. Cytochrome P450 dysregulations in thioacetamide-induced liver cirrhosis in rats and the counteracting effects of hepatoprotective agents. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* 2012; 40:796-802.
 81. Dawwas MF, Aithal GP. End-stage methotrexate-related liver disease is rare and associated with features of the metabolic syndrome. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 2014; 40:938-948.
 82. Yuan L, Kaplowitz N. Mechanisms of drug-induced liver injury. *Clinical Liver Disease* 2013; 17:507-518.
 83. Al-Halawani M Z, Thawabi M, Asslo F, *et al.* Losartan-induced Ischemic Hepatocellular Hepatotoxicity: A Case Report and Literature Review. *Journal of Family Medicine and Prime Care* 2014; 3:272-274.
 84. Poli G, Biasi F, Leonarduzzi G. 4-Hydroxynonenal-protein adducts: A reliable biomarker of lipid oxidation in liver diseases. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine* 2008; 29:67-71.
 85. Poynard T, Ratzu V, Naveau S, *et al.* The diagnostic value of biomarkers (SteatoTest) for the prediction of liver steatosis. See comment in PubMed Commons below *Comp. Hepatol* 2005; 23:4-10.
 86. Wang X, Zhang A, Han Y, *et al.* Urine metabolomics analysis for biomarker discovery and detection of jaundice syndrome in patients with liver disease. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 2012; 11:370-380.
 87. Wang J, Chen R, Tang S, *et al.* Interleukin-4 and interleukin-10 polymorphisms and antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Chinese population. *Journal of Clinical Pharm Ther* 2014; 1-6.
 88. El-Meghawry, El-Kenawy A, Osman HE, *et al.* The effect of vitamin C administration on monosodium glutamate induced liver injury. An experimental study. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* 2013; 65:513-521.
 89. Yang SL, Fang X, Huang ZZ, *et al.* Can serum glypican-3 be a biomarker for effective diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma? A meta-analysis of the literature. *Dis. Markers* 2014; 1-11.
 90. Chen R, Wang J, Tang S, *et al.* Association of polymorphisms in drug transporter genes (SLCO1B1 and SLC10A1) and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in a Chinese cohort. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*. 2014; 1-7.
 91. Chen X, Ba Y, Ma L, *et al.* Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. *Cell Research* 2008; 18:997-1006.
 92. Wang Y, Lee AT, Ma JZ, *et al.* Profiling microRNA expression in hepatocellular carcinoma reveals microRNA-224 up-regulation and apoptosis inhibitor-5 as a microRNA-224-specific target. *J. Biol. Chem* 2008; 283:13205-13215.
 93. Yang Y, Fan YC, Gao S, *et al.* Methylated cysteine dioxygenase-1 gene promoter in the serum is a potential biomarker for hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* 2014; 232:187-194.
 94. Manosuthi W, Sukasem C, Lueangniyomkul A, *et al.* CYP2B6 haplotype and biological factors responsible for hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected patients receiving efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2014; 43:292-296.
 95. Chen YJ, Zhu JM, Wu H, *et al.* Circulating mi-

- croRNAs as a Fingerprint for Liver Cirrhosis. PLoS One 2013; 8:1-9.
96. Mishra S, Daschakraborty S, Shukla P, *et al.* N-acetyltransferase and cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug hepatotoxicity in an Indian population. Natl. Med. J. India 2013; 26:260-265.
 97. Li L M, Chen L, Deng GH, *et al.* SLCO1B1 15 haplotype is associated with rifampin-induced liver injury. Molecular Medicine Rep 2012; 6:75-82.
 98. Targher G. Relationship between high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels and liver histology in subjects with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Journal of Hepatology 2006; 45:879-881.
 99. Kumar R, Prakash S, Chhabra S, *et al.* Association of pro-inflammatory cytokines, adipokines & oxidative stress with insulin resistance & non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Indian Journal of Medicinal Research 2012; 136:229-236.
 100. Wang Y, Lee A T, Ma JZ, *et al.* Profiling microRNA expression in hepatocellular carcinoma reveals microRNA-224 up-regulation and apoptosis inhibitor-5 as a microRNA-224-specific target. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2008; 283:13205-13215.
 101. Yamamoto Y, Kosaka N, Tanaka M *et al.* MicroRNA-500 as a potential diagnostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Biomarkers 2009; 14:529-538.
 102. Huang XH, Wang Q, Chen JS, *et al.* Bead-based microarray analysis of microRNA expression in hepatocellular carcinoma: miR-338 is down regulated. Hepatol. Res. 2009; 39:786-794.
 103. Li L M, Hu ZB, Zhou ZX, *et al.* Serum microRNA profiles serve as novel biomarkers for HBV infection and diagnosis of HBV-positive hepatocarcinoma. Cancer Research 2010; 70:9798-9807.
 104. Gui J, Tian Y, Wen X, *et al.* Serum microRNA characterization identifies miR-885-5p as a potential marker for detecting liver pathologies. Clinical Science (Lond). 2011; 120:183-193.
 105. Qi P, Cheng SQ, Wang H, *et al.* Serum microRNAs as biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. PLoS One 2011; 6:1-8.
 106. Roderburg C, Luedde M, Vargas CD, *et al.* MiR-133a mediates TGF- β -dependent derepression of collagen synthesis in hepatic stellate cells during liver fibrosis. Journal of Hepatology 2013; 58:736-742.
 107. Starkey LPJ, Dear J, Platt V, *et al.* Circulating microRNAs as potential markers of human drug-induced liver injury. Hepatology 2011; 54:1767-1776.
 108. Xu J, Wu C, Che X, *et al.* Circulating microRNAs, miR-21, miR-122, and miR-223, in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma or chronic hepatitis. 2011; 50:136-142.
 109. Zhao P, Liu WW, Li JF, *et al.* Predictors of liver failure in primary biliary cirrhosis. Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences 2015; 28:1-5.
 110. Bihrer V, Friedrich-Rust M, Kronenberger B, *et al.* Serum miR-122 as a biomarker of necro inflammation in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. American Journal of Gastroenterology 2011; 106:1663-1669.
 111. Farid WR, Pan Q, van der Meer AJ, *et al.* Hepatocyte-derived microRNAs as serum biomarkers of hepatic injury and rejection after liver transplantation. Liver Transplant 2012; 18:290-297.
 112. Tomimaru Y, Eguchi H, Nagano H, *et al.* Circulating microRNA-21 as a novel biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma. Journal of Hepatology 2012; 56:167-175.
 113. Ninomiya M, Kondo Y, Funayama R, *et al.* Distinct microRNAs expression profile in primary biliary cirrhosis and evaluation of miR 505-3p and miR197-3p as novel biomarkers. PLoS One. 2013; 8:1-11.
 114. Shen J, Wang A, Wang Q, *et al.* Exploration of genome-wide circulating microRNA in hepatocellular carcinoma: MiR-483-5p as a potential biomarker. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers Preview 2013; 22:2364-2373.

115. Shrivastava S, Petrone J, Steele R, *et al.* Up-regulation of circulating miR-20a is correlated with hepatitis C virus-mediated liver disease progression. *Hepatology* 2013; 58:863-871.
116. Trebicka J, Anadol E, Elfimova N, *et al.* Hepatic and serum levels of miR-122 after chronic HCV-induced fibrosis. *Journal of Hepatology* 2013; 58:234-239.
117. Winther TN, Heiberg IL, Bang-Berthelsen CH, *et al.* Hepatitis B surface antigen quantity positively correlates with plasma levels of microRNAs differentially expressed in immunological phases of chronic hepatitis B in children. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:1-13.
118. Arataki K, Hayes CN, Akamatsu S, *et al.* Circulating microRNA-22 correlates with microRNA-122 and represents viral replication and liver injury in patients with chronic hepatitis. *British Journal of Medicine and Virology* 2013; 85:789-798.
119. Giray BG, Emekdas G, Tezcan S, *et al.* Profiles of serum microRNAs; miR-125b-5p and miR223-3p serve as novel biomarkers for HBV-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *Molecular Biology Report* 2014; 41:4513-4519.
120. Kumar S, Chawla YK, Ghosh S, *et al.* Severity of hepatitis C virus (genotype-3) infection positively correlates with circulating microRNA-122 in patients sera. *Dis. Markers* 2014; 1-6.
121. Li BK, Huang PZ, Qiu JL, *et al.* Upregulation of microRNA-106b is associated with poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Diagn Pathology* 2014; 9.
122. Augello C, Gianelli U, Savi F, *et al.* MicroRNA as potential biomarker in HCV-associated diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 2014; 67:697-701.