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Application of an experimental design in the hydrothermal synthesis of GIS-NaP zeolite from brickwall wastes

Marcelo Rodríguez Valdivia*, Rivalino Guzmán Ale, Martha Huamán Gutiérrez

Escuela Profesional de Ingeniería de Materiales, Facultad de Ingeniería de Procesos FIP, Universidad Nacional San Agustín de Arequipa, Arequipa, Peru. E-mail: materiales@unsa.edu.pe

ABSTRACT

This article reports the results of an investigation carried out in order to obtain zeolitic material of the GIS-NaP type with high cation exchange capacity using brick waste. The hydrothermal synthesis was carried out in a stainless-steel reactor using NaOH activating solutions at concentrations of 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 M, activation temperatures of 100, 120 and 140 °C and activation times of 7, 8 and 9 hours. The product obtained was characterized by X-ray Fluorescence, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray Diffraction, and Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC).

The results obtained showed that for the hydrothermal conversion test at 140 °C/2 M/7 hours, GIS-NaP synthetic zeolite with a cation exchange capacity equal to 163.5 meq/100 g was obtained. The statistical analysis, applying a factorial experimental design, indicated that the main factors with a great effect on the cation exchange capacity (CEC) are the activation temperature and the interaction between it and the concentration of the activating solution, with a degree of significance of 0.049250 and 0.056631 for a confidence level of 90.82%. An empirical mathematical model was developed and validated by applying ANOVA analysis that considers the interaction effects of all factors and was optimized by applying the response surface methodology.
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1. Introduction

Worldwide, the construction industry represents a very important economic activity in the development of each country. In addition to consuming thousands of tons of non-renewable natural resources, it generates large amounts of waste, the disposal of which has an impact on the environment[1].

Among these wastes are bricks made of clay, which represent a large and rapidly increasing volume due to the great need for housing by the population[2]. In order to comply with environmental regulations, many researches and publications have raised its management and reuse as aggregates for concretes, geotextiles, soil stabilization, flexible pavements, etc.,[3,4], so as to reduce the amount of waste that is transferred to landfills[5].

Zeolites are microporous materials consisting of tetrahedral crystalline structures of alumina (AlO₄) and silica (SiO₂) that give rise to a three-dimensional lattice bonded by oxygen atoms[6]. They are widely used for pollution control due to their high performance and low production cost[7]. Synthetic NaP zeolite with GISmondine structure type (GIS) possesses high cation exchange capacity and due to its small pore...
size is widely used in gas separation, removal of heavy metals, ammonium, radioactive elements, seawater treatment, water softening, water softener in detergent industry\[8,9\].

The hydrothermal synthesis process consists of three stages: dissolution, condensation and crystallization. The process of transformation of a mineral precursor into zeolite could be represented as follows\[10,11\]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(Mineral precursor)} & \quad \text{(Mixture reaction)} \\
\text{SiO}_2^4^- + Al_2O_3^3^- + Na^+ + OH^- & \quad Na_{x+a}[Al_{y}Si_{z}O_{2x+y-2}] \cdot zH_2O \\
\text{(Zeolite)} &
\end{align*}
\]

Where x (between 2 to 10), z, y (between 2 to 7); are integers corresponding to the unit cell. Na is a metal cation with valence n = 1.

Several authors define that the variables or factors to be considered to obtain zeolites via hydrothermal conversion are temperature, reaction time and NaOH concentration and that the application of an experimental design allows to better analyze the effect of these factors on a response and seeks the optimization of the synthesis process\[12\].

In the present research work, the synthesis of GIS-NaP zeolite using brick waste from building demolition is proposed due to its high SiO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) contents\[13\], which allow the application of a successful hydrothermal treatment. It is also sought to statistically evaluate the effect of the conversion factors on the cation exchange capacity.

In the first stage, the brick residue is characterized by chemical and physical analysis. For the conversion process, NaOH solutions at different concentrations with different temperatures and treatment times are used. In a second stage, the zeolitic product obtained is characterized by chemical analysis with X-ray fluorescence, morphological analysis with electron microscopy (SEM), crystal identification with X-ray diffraction and determination of the cation exchange capacity (CEC). The study of the effect of the factors is performed by applying a two-level factorial experimental design with STATISTIC V.5 software.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and methods

(1) Material. It is made up of pieces of brick from the demolition of buildings in the city of Arequipa-Peru. On a sample of 5 kg, granulometric analysis was performed in order to determine the distribution of particle size classes. Fine material of 100 microns was used for the experimental tests.

(2) Characterization and analysis equipment. The chemical composition was determined using an X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (XRF) S4 Explorer manufactured by Bruker AXS. Morphological analysis of the brick residue and zeolitic product was carried out using a FEI Quanta 200 high and low vacuum electron microscope (SEM) with SED and BSED microanalysis detectors. The identification of zeolitic crystals was performed using a Rigaku miniflex II X-ray diffractometer, with a CuK\(\alpha\) (1.5405 Å) radiation source, with a step of \(2\theta = 0.002^\circ\) and a time per step of 10 seconds. Data were collected over a range of 0 to 60° \(2\theta\). For the evaluation of cation exchange capacity (CEC), double cation exchange tests were performed using 1.0 N sodium acetate and 1.0 N ammonium acetate solutions. Na+ exchange analysis was performed using a Perkin Elmer OPTIMA-2100-DV ICP adsorption equipment at Laboratorios del Sur.

(3) Reagents. The solutions used were prepared with the following salts: NaOH (99.5%), Na\(_2\)C\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\)·3H\(_2\)O, NH\(_4\)C\(_2\)H\(_3\)O\(_2\)·3H\(_2\)O (99.0%), isopropyl alcohol (99.0%), purchased from Diproquim.

(4) GIS-NaP zeolite production. The tests to obtain synthetic zeolite were carried out using an AISI 304 stainless steel vessel that was placed inside an oven at temperatures of 100, 120 and 140 °C. These parameters are close to those used by Wang, et al.\[14\], who report having obtained NaP zeolite using activation temperatures between 80 and 120 °C from met. In the container, 10 g of brick residues and NaOH solutions with M concentrations were placed, maintaining a solid/liquid ratio of 12.5. The
activation time (contact between the liquid) was 7, 8 and 9 hours. The product obtained was washed with distilled water and filtered and finally dried in an oven at 60 °C for 6 hours, conditions defined according to the reviewed literature\[15\].

(5) Cation exchange capacity (CEC). The analytical method of double cation exchange using sodium acetate and ammonium acetate solutions was employed\[16\]. The cation exchange capacity is determined on the basis of the Na⁺ content exchanged and expressed in meq/100 g.

(6) Two-level factorial experimental design. In order to evaluate the effect of the experimental conditions on the hydrothermal conversion process of the brick waste, a two-level factorial experimental design was used. This design is very consistent and allows defining the optimum conditions of the process through the analysis of variance. STATISTIC V.5 software was used for this purpose. Three independent factors were evaluated: activation temperature, NaOH activating solution concentration, activation time. The dependent response was the cation exchange capacity. Table 1 describes the factors and levels evaluated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Level (-)</th>
<th>Level (+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activation temperature (°C)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activating solution (M)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation time (hours)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Characterization of brick waste

(1) Chemical composition. Table 2 presents the analysis of brick residue in its natural state according to the literature\[3\].

The ground brick residue has a SiO₂ + Al₂O₃ content equal to 89.38%. According to the literature consulted\[17\], they indicate that this ratio should be approximately 89%, and that these constitute a determining factor in the type of zeolite to be formed. Compounds containing Ca, Fe are impurities that can limit the application of this precursor in the synthesis of zeolites. It presents main mineralogical constituents corresponding to quartz, feldspar and hematite\[18\].

Table 2. Chemical composition-residue of brick\[3\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>LOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>25.49</td>
<td>63.89</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOI: Loss on ignition determined by gravimetry.

(2) Morphological characterization

Figure 1 shows regular shaped particles of different size with high content of fine material.

Figure 1. SEM scanning micrograph showing ground brick particles.

(3) Cation exchange capacity (CEC). Table 3 presents the cation exchange capacity (CEC) determined on samples of the zeolitic products obtained at different test conditions and for the untreated material.

Figure 2 presents the ionic interca capacity as a function of the weight loss ratio Pf/Pi (Pf = final weight, Pi = initial weight) which is the efficiency of the hydrothermal synthesis process\[19\].

In the 140 °C/2 M/7 hours condition, the weight loss ratio (Pf/Pi) is 0.859 with respect to the other tests performed and corresponds to the highest cation exchange capacity (163.48 meq/100 g). For the 100 °C/3 M/7 hours condition there is a ratio of 0.856 but a cation exchange capacity of (129.57 meq/100 g.). The weight loss is related to the lower or higher dissolution of silica and alumina, which finally affects the degree of crystallization of the zeolite. According to Szeremen et al.\[20\], the cation exchange capacity depends on the anionic charge density (Al³⁺ and Si⁴⁺ substitution) of the formed
zeolite structure. For the particular case, the low cation exchange capacity is due to the low crystallinity of GIS-NaP zeolite formed by partial transformation of the amorphous phase (SiO₂) at 100 °C\textsuperscript{[21]}.

Table 3. Cation exchange capacity (CEC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Test Conditions</th>
<th>(CEC) meq/100g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100 °C/2 M/7 h</td>
<td>107.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140 °C/2 M/7 h</td>
<td>163.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100 °C/3 M/7 h</td>
<td>129.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>140 °C/3 M/7 h</td>
<td>97.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100 °C/2 M/9 h</td>
<td>88.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140 °C/2 M/9 h</td>
<td>129.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100 °C/3 M/9 h</td>
<td>87.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>140 °C/3 M/9 h</td>
<td>109.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>120 °C/2.5 M/8 h</td>
<td>127.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>120 °C/2.5 M/8 h</td>
<td>110.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>120 °C/2.5 M/8 h</td>
<td>133.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ground brick</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Cation exchange capacity as a function of weight loss.

(4) Chemical composition of GIS-NaP zeolite. On the synthetic zeolite that presented the highest cation exchange capacity (140 °C/2 M/7 hours), a chemical and morphological characterization was performed in order to identify the type of synthetic zeolite formed. Table 4 shows the results of the chemical analysis expressed in oxides present.

(5) Morphological characterization. Figure 3 shows pseudospherical crystal formations of GIS-NaP zeolite. These results are similar to those presented by Hildebrando et al.\textsuperscript{[22]}, who claim to have identified GIS-NaP zeolite with pseudospherical morphology in the hydrothermal synthesis of metakaolin at 100 °C and 20 hours of treatment. Authors such as Ali et al.\textsuperscript{[23]}, call pseudospherical formations as aggregates of crystals with cactus/cactus morphology. They observe formations are constituted by small plates with well-defined edges indicating an oval.

Figure 3. Formation of pseudospherical crystals of GIS-NaP zeolite. Test 140 °C/2 M/7 h.

(6) X-Ray Diffraction. Hong et al.\textsuperscript{[24]} claim to have obtained peaks of high intensity at 12.5°, 17.6°, 21.6°, 28.2°, and 33.4°, which are clear characteristics of NaP zeolite formation. Coincidentally, the diffract obtained in this study shows main peaks at 12.4°, 17.62°, 21.56°, 28.04° and 33.34°; which confirms that it is a NaP zeolite of type GIS.

Figure 4. X-ray diffraction of GIS-NaP zeolite.

(7) Statistical analysis ANOVA. Table 5 presents the results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the factorial model considering the effect of each factor (i), (ii), (iii); as well as the effect caused by their interactions 1*2, 1*3, 2*3, 1*2*3. The result of the ANOVA analysis for the factorial model considers the sum of squares of the treatments (SS), the degrees of freedom (df), the sum of squares of the means (MS). The evaluation of the incidence of treatment effects was performed by using the F distribution. The p-value statistic allows comparison.
with the significance value $\alpha = 0.10^{25,26}$. If $p < \alpha$, the null hypothesis is rejected and it is concluded that the corresponding effect is active or influences the response variable. The quantity $R-sqr = 0.90818$, indicates that CEC values with approximately 90.82% variability are obtained, while $\text{Adj. (R-sqr adj.)}$ equal to 0.69394; corresponds to the size-adjusted value or the number of factors in the model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Mn$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>LOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LOI: Loss on ignition determined by gravimetry.

**Table 4. Chemical composition - synthetic zeolite**

**Table 5. Analysis of variance for the factorial model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Fo</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Activation temperature</td>
<td>954.845</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>954.845</td>
<td>6.151667</td>
<td>0.089250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Solution concentration</td>
<td>524.556</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>524.556</td>
<td>3.379495</td>
<td>0.163307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Activation time</td>
<td>861.955</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>861.955</td>
<td>5.553217</td>
<td>0.099713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*2</td>
<td>1,418.314</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1418.314</td>
<td>9.137602</td>
<td>0.056631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1*3</td>
<td>187.211</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>187.211</td>
<td>1.206124</td>
<td>0.352342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*3</td>
<td>61.383</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61.383</td>
<td>0.395466</td>
<td>0.574063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1<em>2</em>3</td>
<td>597.542</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>597.542</td>
<td>3.849716</td>
<td>0.144560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>465.652</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SS</td>
<td>5,071.459</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA; Var. CEC; R-sqr = 0.90818; Adj: 0.69394; 2** (3-0) desing: MS Residual = 155.2173 DV: CEC

From the $Fo$ analysis, it can be seen that the most significant effect is the interaction between activation temperature and activating solution concentration, followed by activation temperature and activation time. The $p$ values (0.056631, 0.089250 and 0.099713) are less than $\alpha = 0.10$; therefore, they influence the cation exchange capacity (CEC).

(8) Analysis of the main effects and their interactions. The interaction of the three conversion factors on the CEC can be best represented in a Pareto diagram$^{26}$. **Figure 5** presents the Pareto diagram for the effects of the factors (TEMPACTI = Temperature of activation, TIEMPACT = Time of activation and CONCSOLU = Concentration of activating solution).

**Figures 6, 7 and 8** show the individual effects for the factors TEMPACTI = Temperature of activation, TIEMPACT = Time of activation and CONCSOLU = Concentration of activating solution).

As can be seen in **Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7**, the activation temperature has a positive effect on the cation exchange capacity. On the contrary, the activation temperature and the concentration of the activating solution have a negative effect. The CEC temperature measurement and its adjustment is fundamental in the experimental process.
Figures 9, 10 and 11 present the effect of the interactions between the factors TEMPACTI = Temperature of activation and CONCSOLU = Concentration of activating solution, which cause reaching high values of cation exchange capacity CEC. Using a temperature of 140 °C, the CEC turns out to be increased in important quantities with a concentration of activating solution of 2 M NaOH. There is a significant interaction between activation time and temperature, and activating solution concentration and activation time (Figures 8 and 9) as the lines between the actual values are not completely parallel. With an activation time of 7 hours, the CEC is improved when tested at an activation temperature of 140 °C. The magnitude of CEC increases when using an activating solution concentration of 2 M NaOH and maintaining an activation time of 7 hours.

(9) Analysis of response surface plots. Figures 12 and 13 show the three-dimensional response surface plots for the factors considered: TEMPACTI = Temperature of activation, TIEMPACT = Time of activation and CONCSOLU = Concentration of activating solution.

It is well known that different combinations of variables or factors result in a high ionic capacity. The response surface between activation temperature and activating solution concentration (Figure 11), shows that the maximum CEC is achieved at high temperature values (140 °C) and low concentrations
(2 M). For the response surface between activation time and activating solution concentration (Figure 12), the best CEC is obtained at a time of 7 hours and a concentration of 2 M NaOH.

Figure 12. Surface diagram: Relationship between activation temperature and activating solution concentration on Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC).

Figure 13. Surface diagram: Relationship between activation time and activating solution concentration on the Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC).

Figure 14. Cube Graph: relationship between temperature, activation time and activating solution concentration on Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC).

(10) Cube chart analysis. It is used to find the direction of improvement of the experimental process. Figure 14, presents the Cube plot in which the estimated response at each point of the design and how the combination of the three factors (TEMPACT = Temperature of activation, TIMEACT = Time of activation and CONCSOLU = Concentration of activating solution) affect the CEC. It is true that the CEC increases when the activation temperature is 140 °C, 2 M NaOH and an activation time of 7 hours.

The results obtained in the synthesis tests to obtain GIS-NaP zeolite from ground brick residue revealed that:

3.2 GIS-NaP zeolite production

(1) Effect of activation temperature. At the test condition 140 °C/2 M/7 hours, the cation exchange capacity reaches the maximum obtainable of 163.48 meq/100 g. Ji et al. [27] mention that at high temperatures a high zeolitic phase crystallinity is achieved due to a complete reaction between NaOH and the solid phases present in the starting material. At this temperature, a complete dissolution of Si and Al, present in the precursor, is achieved and a high formation of zeolite NaP is ensured [28, 29].

(2) Effect of activating solution concentration. The highest CEC is obtained when a low concentration of the activating alkaline solution (2 M) is used. It is clearly observed that using 2 M concentrations the maximum value of CEC = 163.5 meq/100 g is reached. Compared to the value shown for the brick residue CEC = 2.1 meq/100 g, there is an increase of 161.40 meq/100 g. An activating solution with a high concentration of NaOH activates the dissolution of Si and Al contained in the precursor. In addition; Na+ promotes a high formation of soluble sodium silicate which accelerates the crystallization process of zeolite.

(3) Effect of activation time. The best results are obtained in tests with longer activation times. In particular, for times of 7 hours, the best CEC values are obtained when 2 M NaOH concentrations at 140 °C are used. This is the optimum activation time to obtain a high zeolite crystallinity. With a short time, there is no chemical reaction and the amorphous solid phase is maintained; whereas with an
excessively long time the formation of GIS-NaP zeolite crystals decreases\[30].

(4) Factorial experimental design. The analysis of variance showed that the variables that directly influence the process are temperature and activation time, as well as the relationship between temperature and the concentration of activating solution with a probability of 90%. The response surface diagram allowed to identify the significance of the studied factors, their interaction and the most optimal CEC values that can be expressed in a mathematical model\[31].


(5) Cation exchange capacity. The determination of the cation exchange capacity (CEC) showed that the highest CEC value achieved at 140 °C/2 M/7 hours corresponds to a GIS-NaP sodium synthetic zeolite with a content of 32.7% of zeolitic crystals. Lower values would indicate the formation of zeolitic materials with low crystallization contents in GIS-NaP or formation of zeolitic crystals of different types. The CEC value is related to the crystallinity and purity of the synthesized zeolite.

4. Conclusions

Brick waste from building demolition was treated by a hydrothermal conversion process to obtain synthetic sodium zeolite of the GIS-NaP type with high cation exchange capacity (CEC).

The test carried out at 140 °C/2 M/7 hours, allowed to obtain synthetic zeolite GIS- NaP with a cation exchange capacity equal to 163.5 meq/100 g.

The use of an experimental design proved to be a very useful tool to evaluate the different conversion conditions, determining the domains in which the factors of activation temperature, activation time and activating solution concentration can be optimized to obtain pure GIS-NaP.

The main factors with a large effect on the cation exchange capacity are the activation temperature and the interaction between the activation temperature and the concentration of the activating solution, with a significance of 0.049250 and 0.056631 for a confidence level of 90.82%.

Considering the results obtained, the use of brick waste for the production of GIS-NaP zeolite, constitutes a viable alternative and with the possibility of application for environmental remediation.
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